

Fuel Rod Mechanical Behaviour Under Dynamic Load Condition on High Burnup Spent Fuel of BWR and PWR

International Conference on Management of Spent Fuel from Nuclear Power Reactors: An Integrated Approach to the Back-End of the Fuel Cycle 15-19 June 2015 Vienna, Austria

T. HIROSE, M. OZAWA, A. YAMAUCHI

Regulatory Standard and Research Department Secretariat of Nuclear Regulation Authority (S/NRA/R)



Contents

- 1. Background
- 2. Test Plan
- 3. Test Equipment and Test Specimen
- 4. Elemental Mechanical Tests of Cladding
- 5. Dynamic Load Impact Tests of Fuel Rod
- 6. Summary



1. Background

Under existing conditions, Mutsu Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility can storage the following spent fuel.

BWR \leq 50 GWd/t, PWR \leq 48 GWd/t

- High burnup spent fuel (55 GWd/t) are also candidates for future storage.
 - Concerns of high burnup spent fuels
 - Increase of hydrogen content in cladding
 - Increase of hydrides precipitated in radial orientation during storage
 - Concerns on cladding ductility or fuel rod integrity and potential criticality (pellet dispersal) under accident condition during storage and transportation
- From FY2009 to FY2014, for the assessment of high burnup fuel integrity at the dry cask drop accident, mechanical performance of cladding and fuel rod under the dynamic load condition was examined using BWR and PWR fuel irradiated up to 55GWd/t.

This test was planned and conducted by Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization (JNES) which was consolidated into NRA in March 2014.



2. Test Plan

2.1 Objectives

For the assessment of high burnup spent fuel integrity at the cask drop accident;

- To acquire the elemental mechanical properties of BWR and PWR fuel cladding under dynamic load condition
- To evaluate the dynamic behaviour of BWR and PWR fuel rod under accident condition during storage and transportation

2.2 Test Items

Drop mode Test	Axial drop	Lateraldrop	
Elemental mechanical tests of cladding	Dynamic tensile test	Dynamic ring compression test	
Dynamic load impact tests of fuel rod	Axial load test	Lateral load test	
Examinations after impact tests	Dispersed pellet survey (particle size, amount), Metallography, SEM, etc.		



2.3 Test Matrix

	Fuel Type			55GWd/t type ^a	
Test fuel rod				BWR 9X9	PWR 17X17
	Rod average burnup (GWd/t)			56	52-55
	Cladding material			Zry-2 ^b	MDA ^c
	Heat treatment			RX ^d	SR ^e
	Hydrogen content (ppm)			100 - 140	70 - 170
Test item and number	Elemental mechanical test of cladding	Dynamic tensile test (up to 200 s ⁻¹)	As-irradiated	6	12
		Dynamic ring compression test (up to 4000 mm/s)	As-irradiated	4	3
			Hydride reoriented ^f	4	6
	Dynamic load impact test of fuel rod	Axial load test	As-irradiated	5	5
		Lateral load test	As-irradiated	5	3
			Hydride reoriented ^f	1	2

^a Licensed maximum bundle average burnup ≤ 55 GWd/t

^e Stress relieved annealing

^c Mitsubishi Developed Alloy (Zr-0.8Sn-0.2Fe-0.1Cr-0.5Nb)

 $erage burnup \leq 55 GWd/t$ b With Zr linerLr-0.8Sn-0.2Fe-0.1Cr-0.5Nb) $d Recrystallized annealing<math>f Dummy pellets (made of forsterite (Mg_2SiO_4)) loaded$



3. Test Equipment and Test Specimen

3.1 Test Equipment for Dynamic Load Impact Test (BWR)

- Dynamic load or impact speed to specimen was controlled by drop velocity of weight using spring expansion force.
- Deformation behaviour of specimen was recorded by high speed cameras.
- This equipment was also used for a part of dynamic tensile test (200 s⁻¹) and dynamic ring compression test (4000mm/s).





Setup for axial load

Setup for lateral load



3.2 Test Equipment for Dynamic Load Impact Test (PWR)

- The test equipment was designed to install transversely due to the height restriction of the PIE facility.
- Dynamic load or impact speed to specimen was controlled by horizontal velocity of weight using spring expansion force.
- Deformation behaviour of specimen was recorded by high speed cameras.



Setup for axial load





Setup for lateral load

NRA, Japan

3.3 Test Specimen for Dynamic Load Impact Test



^a Influence of hydride reorientation was evaluated using dummy pellet specimen
 ^b Influence of rod length on dynamic behaviour was evaluated using long length and short length specimens



4. Elemental Mechanical Tests of Cladding

4.1 Results of Dynamic Ring Compression Test (BWR & PWR)





5. Dynamic Load Impact Tests of Fuel Rod

5.1-1 Results of Axial Dynamic Load Impact Test (BWR)

	······································			THE PROPERTY		
 Shearing failure occurred. Sparks flied from the breakage part at 0.3 ms after maximum load. 	418F3KY50				AIBF3X46	
Weight mass	3.5kg					
Impact speed	12m/s	9m/s	6m/s	3.7m/s	6m/s	
Specimen type		Plenum section				
Maximum load	60 kN	53kN	46kN	29 kN	22 kN	
Pellet dispersal	18.2 g	No failure	No failure	No failure	No failure	
Deformation	Spiral breakage	Bowing at lower part	No deformation	No deformation	Dog-leg at plenum part	



5.1-2 Axial Dynamic Load Impact Test (BWR): Amount of Dispersed Pellet and Particle Size Distribution (12m/s, 60 kN)

Dispersed pellet at the moment of breakage



425 µm – 850 µm





≥2.00 mm



* Uncollected = (Specimen mass before test) – ((Specimen mass after test) + (Collected mass))

* Since element analysis was not carried out, a little impurities might be mixed.

NRA, Japan

5.2-1 Results of Axial Dynamic Load Impact Test (PWR)

Specimen No. & type		S-1	L-3	S-2	L-2	L-1
		Short/Fue l	Long/Fuel	Short/Fuel	Long/Fuel	Long/Plenum
Weight mass		3 kg			3 kg	
Impact speed		11 m/s 8 m/s		11 m/s	11 m/s	
Maximum load (With LPF of 3 kHz)		40 kN	36 kN	35 kN	33 kN	17 kN
Failure mode S:Sound F:Failure	Shearing	F	S	S	S	F
	Bending (high-order)	-	F	S	S	-
	Bending (first-order)	-	-	F	S	-
Crack direction		Spiral	Circumferential + Axial	Circumferentia l	-	Circumferential + Axial
Pellet dispersal		11.2 g	8.9 g	0.6 g	-	0 g

- Failures occurred at maximum load of 35 kN or more except L-1 (Plenum specimen).
- L-1 was failed at plenum spring area without pellet dispersal.
- After initial impact, axial load increased with compression, and high-order mode bending occurred, then first-order mode bending occurred. "Shearing failure" and "Bending failure" were observed.
- In the failed specimens, circumferential and/or spiral cracks were observed and some cracks branched in the axial direction.
- The effect of specimen length on dynamic behaviour was not observed.

NRA, Japan Nuclear Regulation Authority

5.2-2 Axial Dynamic Load Impact Test (PWR): Time History of Deformation State by High Speed Camera Image

(a) at max. impact load (36 kN)



(a) at max. impact load (40 kN)

9.2mm





(a) at max. impact load (33 kN)



IAEA International Conference on Management of Spent Fuel from Nuclear Power Reactors, 15 - 19 June 2015, Vienna, Austria

chronological change of deformation



5.2-3 Axial Dynamic Load Impact Test (PWR): Amount of Dispersed Pellet and Particle Size Distribution (S-1:11m/s, 40 kN)





5.3 Outline of Lateral Dynamic Load Impact Test Result (BWR & PWR)



Failure mode and strength were different between "with" pellet (lateral dynamic load impact test of fuel rod) and "without" pellet (dynamic ring compression test of cladding).
Amount of dispersed pellet and particle size distributions were also measured.

•Influence of hydride reoriented (test results of dummy pellet specimen) is under evaluation.



6. Summary

- Mechanical properties of <u>cladding</u> and mechanical performances of <u>fuel rod</u> under the dynamic load condition were examined using high burnup spent fuels.
- In the axial dynamic load impact tests, shearing failure caused by initial impact (BWR and PWR) and bending failure with time delay (PWR) were observed.
- In the lateral impact tests (BWR and PWR), different failure mode and strength were observed between "with" and "without" pellet.
- Amount of dispersed pellet and particle size were measured.



Thank You for Your Attention!