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Introduction

• Programs for final disposal of spent nuclear fuel similar in Sweden and Finland
• Extensive cooperation countries over the years
  – KBS-3 concept in common
• Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co. (SKB)
  – License applications in 2011 for a final repository at Forsmark, Sweden.
  – Currently reviewed
• Posiva, Finland
  – STUK’s statement on construction license application just published
• Soon a stage of final design and implementation
  – cooperation will be deepened, aiming when possible for the same technical design.
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STUK’s review of Posiva’s application

• Green light for next step – clear statement of safety!
  – Along with SKB in Sweden, Posiva is a forerunner in arguing that a repository for spent nuclear fuel in crystalline basement rocks will be safe. Both organizations have adopted the same, KBS-3 disposal concept (with much common development work), and both have compiled and presented a post-closure safety case to their national regulatory authorities within a year of each other.
  – Based on our review, STUK concludes that Posiva provides, overall, a clear and credible case that the proposed repository will be safe and will meet our regulatory requirements. The safety case is also in accordance with international best practices.

• Work needed before operational license and some already before construction of deposition tunnels
  – In STUK’s opinion there remains a need to develop safety argumentation and methodologies further, and there is also a need to reduce some uncertainties regarding performance of the barriers.
  – No real surprises and most issues to resolve are in our common plans – but a stress on the urgency to resolve

• The repository will remain passively safe after closure without monitoring or supervision of the site
Posiva/SKB common vision: “Operating optimized repositories and other facilities in 2030”

• Technical designs as well as the design basis and requirements shall be similar
  – Harmonize requirements
  – Canister design, welding and testing.
  – Development of manufacturing technology and design of production system.
  – Bentonite materials supply and production chain.
  – Buffer and backfill design, Deposition tunnel plug, (Installation techniques).
  – Detailed investigations and tunnel production
  – Research on long term safety and foundation of the ongoing technology development.

• Quality objectives
  – The joint work and documentation shall enable both parties to get the licenses needed

• Timely objectives
  – Detailed design targeted to be finished by 2018.
  – Joint optimized facilities - 2030.

• Efficiency in costs and resources

• Potential to expand cooperation to design, construction and operation of facilities ongoing.
Joint work plan (JWP)

- Detailed technical design in time for the detailed design of the planned facilities
  - i.e. the encapsulation plant, the facility for buffer and backfill bentonite component production and the underground repository
- Aims for a common holistic view
  - Identifies the various development efforts needed in relation to the program plan for the spent nuclear fuel program with regard to time and resources.
- The joint work agreed in the JWP will be implemented through Joint Projects
Design Requirements

• Design requirements (design premises)
  – Requirements which the KBS-3 facilities with their barriers must satisfy in order to ensure safety both during operation and after closure

• Harmonize the requirements ongoing since August 2013
  – Based on experience from the ongoing technology development work and the safety assessments
  – Cross-check between requirements for operation and post-closure safety
  – Requirements that are practically achievable and verifiable for all considered barriers.
  – Strive for requirements that entail simple, robust and effective solutions.

• Common report ("KUPP/VAHA") planned end June 2015
  – Need to consider implications of STUK comments on safety functions
Canister

- Overall aim to settle the detailed design and its fulfilment of all requirements
- Ongoing (or soon to be started) joint projects
  - Welding technology FSW
  - Design analysis
  - Sulphide project (corrosion aspects)
- Still discussed cooperation
  - Copper corrosion
  -Testing (NDT)
  - Insert manufacturing
  - Production system

\[2 \text{Cu} + \text{HS}^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Cu}_2\text{S} + \text{H}_2\]
Buffer and backfill

- Overall aim to settle the detailed design and its fulfilment of all requirements
- Ongoing (or soon to be started) joint projects
  - Requirement specifications for the bentonite materials
  - Pressing technology
  - Deposition tunnel plugs
  - Handling of water
  - Sulphide project (corrosion aspects)
  - Updated BBC design project
  - Common clay advisory group
- Still discussed cooperation
  - Additional R&D on clay issues
  - Production system and quality control
Deposition areas

• Ongoing (or soon to be started) joint projects
  – Earthquake assessment
  – Developing acceptance criteria for deposition holes based on geological and hydrogeological data
• Still discussed cooperation
  – DFN-modelling
  – EDZ assessment
  – Rock and underground construction advisory group
Spent fuel

- Joint fuel cooperation
  - A joint advisory group established.
- Areas discussed
  - Long-term criticality – joint activity proposed with joint meetings and perhaps joint reports
  - Cr- and Al-doped fuel dissolution experiments
  - Safeguards issues
  - Fuel measurements – Spire project
  - Data bases
Full scale testing and monitoring

- Ongoing (or soon to be started) joint projects
  - A few full scale buffer tests
  - Plug tests (DOMPLU/POPLU)
  - Joint planning of FISST
  - KBS-3H multipurpose test
  - Plans for monitoring the EBS (part of EU project Modern 2020)

- Still discussed cooperation
  - What tests to conduct and to what extent they should be mutual
  - Cooperation on technical equipment
Conclusions

• License applications for a final repository for spent nuclear fuel at Forsmark, Sweden, and at Olkiluoto, Finland
  – a technically feasible reference design and site-adapted layouts presented
  – shown to comply with the regulatory acceptance criteria in the respective countries.
  – Clear statement from the Finnish authority (STUK). Statement from Swedish authority (SSM) pending

• Detailed designs adapted to an industrialized process designed to fulfilling specific requirements on quality, cost and efficiency remain to be developed.
  – Implementation cooperation will be deepened, aiming when possible for the same technical design.
  – Plans for these common developments are now being made jointly by the two companies.