




Why Site Specific Parameterization is Vital for Dose Assessment

Jonathan B. Napier, Delvan R Neville,
Emily A. Caffrey, Kathryn A. Higley.

Overview

- Variables used in dose assessment calculations
- Problems / concerns
- An approach
- Conclusions



Known Variables in Dose Assessment

- At the point of impact
 - Decay Energy – E_i
 - Absorbed Fractions – AF_i
 - Radiation Weighing Factor – W_r
 - Total Number of Source Decays – U_s
 - Target Mass – m

Internal Dose Determination

Calculation of dose


$$H_{50,T} = 1.6 \times 10^{-10} \sum_s U_s SEE$$

$$SEE = \frac{\sum_i Y_i * E_i * AF_i * W_r}{m}$$

$$U_s = A_o \int R(t) dt$$

How do you determine A_0 ?

- For food consumption:
 - Concentration Ratios or
 - Transfer factors (similar)
- What is a concentration ratio?
 - Ratio between soil and the plant or animal.
$$CR = \frac{\text{Activity in Plant (Bq/kg)}}{\text{Activity in Soil (Bq/kg)}}$$
 - Assumes equilibrium



Problems / Concerns

- The “problem with concentrations ratios is that they are influenced by many factors associated with the properties of the radionuclide, the organism, and the ecosystem. As a result, individual measurements display a great deal of variability.” – Whicker and Schultz 1982.
- Data Gaps - plentiful *but largely ignored*

Protein-based Transfer Factors from IAEA TecDoc 1616

Key:

No Data

> 5 values

6 - 25 values

> 25 values

Stable data

Element	Beef	Cow Milk	Sheep Meat	Sheep Milk	Goat Meat	Goat Milk	Pork	Poultry	Egg
Ag	No Data	No Data	1	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Am	1	1	1	No Data	No Data	2	No Data	No Data	1
Ba	2	15	No Data	1	1	3	No Data	2	1
Be	No Data	1	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Ca	3	15	No Data	Stable	No Data	12	No Data	2	1
Cd	8	8	1	1	No Data	1	No Data	2	No Data
Ce	No Data	6	1	No Data	No Data	1	No Data	No Data	1
Cl	1	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Co	4	4	2	2	No Data	1	No Data	2	2
Cr	No Data	3	No Data	1	No Data	2	No Data	No Data	No Data
Cs	58	288	41	28	11	28	22	13	11
Fe	4	7	No Data	Stable	No Data	Stable	1	No Data	2
I	5	104	1	7	No Data	24	2	3	4
La	3	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Mn	2	4	1	1	No Data	Stable	1	2	3
Mo	1	7	No Data	No Data	No Data	4	No Data	1	3
Na	2	7	1	No Data	No Data	Stable	No Data	1	2
Nb	1	1	No Data	No Data	1	1	No Data	1	1
Ni	No Data	2	No Data	1	No Data	2	No Data	No Data	No Data
Np	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	1	No Data	No Data	No Data
P	1	Stable	No Data	Stable	No Data	Stable	1	No Data	1
Pb	5	15	2	Stable	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Po	No Data	4	No Data	No Data	No Data	2	No Data	1	1
Pu	5	No Data	2	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	2
Ra	1	11	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Ru	3	6	2	No Data	No Data	No Data	1	No Data	1
S	No Data	1	3	Stable	No Data	12	No Data	No Data	No Data
Sb	2	3	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Se	No Data	12	No Data	No Data	No Data	2	1	4	4
Sr	35	154	25	4	8	21	12	7	9
Te	1	11	No Data	1	1	1	No Data	1	1
Th	6	3	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
U	3	3	No Data	No Data	No Data	1	2	2	2
W	No Data	7	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Y	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	1	1	No Data	No Data	No Data


Approach to Addressing Data Gaps

- Mass Sampling
 - Collect samples of opportunity
 - Water
 - Soil
 - Vegetation
 - Invertebrates
- Determine elemental concentrations
 - Neutron Activation Analysis
 - Atomic Spectroscopy


Two examples of Mass Sampling

- Hanford Site at Richland, WA, USA
 - Napier, JB 2013
- OSU McDonald-Dunn Research Forest at Corvallis, OR, USA.
 - Higley, KA 2010


Studied Locations



Hanford Site Riparian and Shrub Steppe



McDonald-Dunn Forest



Conclusion

- Site Assessments give data specific to that location
 - This data can be then included in transport codes such as ERICA (Brown et al) or RESRAD
- Gives best answer instead of best guess
- Promotes confidence in assessment and understanding of results

References

- Brown, J.E., Alfonso, B., Avila, R., Beresford, N.A., Copplestone, D., Pröhl, G., Ulanovsky A. (2008). The ERICA Tool. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 99, Issue 9, pp.1371-1383.
- Higley, K. A. (2010). Estimating transfer parameters in the absence of data. *Radiation and Environmental Biophysics*, 49(4), 645–656.
doi:10.1007/s00411-010-0326-9
- IAEA. (2009). *TecDoc-1616 Quantification of Radionuclide Transfer in Terrestrial and Freshwater Environments*. Vienna
- Napier, J. (2013). *Establishment of Concentration Ratios for Riparian and Shrub Steppe Areas of the Eastern Washington Columbia Basin*. Oregon State University.
- Whicker, F., & Schultz, V. (1982). *Radioecology: Nuclear Energy and the Environment V. 1*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.