International Experts Meeting on

Radiation Protection after the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident

Promoting confidence and understanding



IAEA Action Plan on Nuclear Safety IAEA Headquarters

17–21 February 2014 Vienna, Austria



WHO's response to the Fukushima Daiichi NPP accident



Dr E. van Deventer

Radiation Programme

Department of Public Health, Environmental and Social Determinants of Health



Outline

- WHO's mandate
- Early response after March 11
- WHO's public health risk assessment
- Discussion



World Health Organization

- Function: act as the UN directing and coordinating authority on international health work
- Objective: "the attainment by all peoples of the highest possible level of health"
- Definition: "HEALTH is a state of COMPLETE physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the ABSENCE of disease or infirmity" (Constitution, 1948)







International Classification of Disease WHO took over the responsibility for the International Classification of Disease (ICD), which dates back to the 1850s and was first known as the International List of Causes of Death. The ICD is used to classify diseases and other health problems and has become the international standard used for clinical and epidemiological purposes.

World Health Day.

on 7 April 1948 – a date we now celebrate every year as diseases such as HIV/AIDS.

grown to also cover health problems that were not even known in 1948, including relatively new

952 Dr Jonas Salk (US) develops 1967 South African surgeon

the first successful polio vaccine. Christlaan Barnard conducts the

Global yaws control programme

first heart transplant.

that afflicted some 50 million people in 1950. The global yaws control programme, fully operational between

1952-1964, used long-acting penkillin to treat yaws with one single injection. By 1965, the control programme

had examined 300 million people in 46 countries and reduced global disease prevalence by more than 95%.

One of the first diseases to claim WHO's attention was yaws, a crippling and disfiguring diseas

and 18 million children spared from the disease. Thousands of farmers have been able to reclaim 25 million hectares of fertile river land that had been abandoned because of the risk of infection.

1977 The first

Essential Medicines

two years after the

List appeared in 1977,

World Health Assembly

introduced the concepts

of "essential drugs" and

"national drug policy".

156 countries today

have a national list of

essential medicines

1974 The World Health

to all the world's children.

Assembly adopts a resolution to

create the Expanded Programme on

Immunization to bring basic vaccines



he stands with the doctor who



1978 The

aspire.

International Conference

on Primary Health Care,

In Alma-Ata, Kazakhstan

sets the historic goal

of "Health for All" - to

which WHO continues to

treated him more than 25 years ago. Ali has since worked on polio eradication campaians.

Global Polio

2003 Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome 2005 World Health Assembly revises the (SARS) first recognized and then controlled. International Health Regulations

and disease around the world.

intense negotiations, the World Health

global public health treaty. The treaty is

designed to reduce tobacco-related deaths

Assembly unanimously adopted WHO's first

2004 Adaption of

the Global Strategy on

Health.

Diet, Physical Activity and

Eradication Initiative established

1983 Institut Pasteur (France)

Identifies HIV.

Since its launch in 1988, the Global Polio Eradication Initiative has reduced the number of cases of polio by more than 99% - from more than 350 000 per year to 1956 in 2006. Spearheaded by national governments, WHO, Rotary international, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and UNICEF, it has immunized more than two billion children thanks to the mobilization of more than 20 million volunteers and health workers. As a result, five million children are today walking, who would otherwise have been paralysed, and more than 1.5 million childhood deaths have been averted. THE GOAL IS TO ERADICATE POLID WORLDWIDE SO THAT NO CHILD WILL EVER AGAIN BE PARALYZED BY THIS DISEASE.

WHO's role in Radiation Emergency Response

WHO Constitution (1948)

- Article 2 (d): "...to furnish appropriate technical assistance and, in emergencies, necessary aid upon requests of Government."
- **Emergency Conventions (1986)**
 - "Early Notification" and "Assistance"
- **Joint Radiation Emergency Management Plan** (since 2000)
 - currently in its 6th edition

WHA Resolution 55.16 (2002)

- "Global public health response to natural occurrence, accidental release or deliberate use of biological and chemical agents or radionuclear material that affect health"

International Health Regulations (2005)





Convention on Early Notification

Nuclear Accident and onvention on Assistance

(2005)

IHR (2005) and Radiation Emergencies

- IHR: complementary notification to the Emergency Conventions
 - Health surveillance (e.g. unknown origin outbreaks) in addition to radiological monitoring
 - Reporting through National Focal Points in 191 State Parties
 - Updated information on Event Information Site (EIS)
 - Ongoing monitoring of travel and trade measures
- IHR Expert Roster includes radiation emergency management experts
- Mechanisms and tools for assessment, monitoring, and assistance to strengthen preparedness and response capacity of Member States





WHO's Relevant Emergency Networks

WHO REMPAN network (1987)

- Radiation Emergency Medical Preparedness and Assistance Network (REMPAN), 40+ centers world wide <u>http://www.who.int/ionizing_radiation/a_e/rempan/en/</u>
- WHO/FAO INFOSAN network (2004)
 - International Food Safety Authorities Network (INFOSAN) <u>http://www.who.int/foodsafety/fs_management/infosan/en/</u>

WHO BioDoseNet (2007)

Global network of 60+ biodosimetry laboratories
<u>http://www.who.int/ionizing_radiation/a_e/biodosenet/en/</u>





Joint Radiation Emergency Management Plan (2010)

			Joint Radiation Emergency
Advice or assistance (on request directly from a State or through international organization)			Management Plan
To	offer good offices		International Organizations
To send request for advice or assistance to relevant international organizations			
To arrange for advice or assistance on			in countration with cost unscene
-	potential radiological hazards, assessment of facility conditions and accident mitigation		DATE REPERTORS: 1 JANUARY 2010
-	weather information (observations, forecasts, and warnings)		
-	atmospheric transport and dispersion predictions		International Atomic Energy Agency
-	physical dosimetric measurement services		IAEA
-	radiological assessment and application of international standards		IAEA
-	public health risk assessment and response		WHO, PAHO
-	biological and clinical dosimetry	W.	HO, PAHO, IAEA
-	re-establishing disrupted police services		INTERPOL
-	radiation protection support, personnel and equipment for operations in affected areas		IAEA
-	emergency medical response including diagnosis and treatment of radiation casualties	W.	HO, PAHO, IAEA
-	longer term medical follow-up		WHO, PAHO
-	mitigation of mental health impact		WHO, PAHO
-	agricultural countermeasures		FAO
-	environmental monitoring and sampling programmes for interventions related to food		IAEA, FAO
-	implementation and enforcement of control measures for imported and exported food/feed		FAO
-	control of food and feed		FAO, WHO
-	investigating crimes and seeking international suspects		INTERPOL
-	environmental monitoring and sampling programmes and assessment of long term impact	IA	EA, UNEP, FAO
-	relocation, resettlement		IAEA, UNEP
-	decontamination, waste management		IAEA
-	response on a vessel at sea or in port		IMO

Outline

• WHO's mandate

• Early response after March 11



World Health Organization

IHR communication Fukushima Daiichi NPP accident

- On March 11 2011, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan notified the situation at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant through the National IHR Focal Point within a few hours
- WHO immediately communicated the event to all Member States in the region through its National IHR Focal Points







WHO Response to Fukushima accident





IEM on Radiation Protection after the Fukushima Daiichi Accident, 17 February, Vienna, Austria

Immediately after the Fukushima Daiichi NPP accident WHO activated its emergency response plan





WHO's short-term actions

- **Monitor situation** (WPRO, Kobe, ENAC, social media,...)
- **Assess health risks** (IHR, PHE, FOS, other programs, relevant experts)
- **Provide technical advice** to national authorities (food, water, travel, transport, trade, mental health, ...)
- Activate relevant expert networks (REMPAN, INFOSAN)
- **Implement** inter-agency coordination (IAEA, WMO, FAO, ILO, UNSCEAR, ICAO, EC, CTBTO, OECD/NEA...)
- **Provide information to the public** (dedicated website, media statements, press conferences, Fact Sheets and Q&As, social media)
 - To inform decision-making (e.g. travel, trade), prevent risky reactions (e.g. potassium *iodide),* allay unnecessary fears (e.g. travel, breastfeeding), and promote healthy behaviours (e.g. pregnancy),



rganization

Effective communication in emergencies

The importance of inter-agency collaboration during the Japan disasters



by MARGARET CHAN Director-General of the World Health Organization

One year has now passed since Japan r suffered a nearly unimaginable triple r catastrophe: an early unimaginable triple r tunnami, followed by a nuclear disaster at the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power at the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power pant, which caused the release of radioactive isotopes into the atmosphere on 11 March 2010. a

nature of the event was a result of the advice quickly about those matters and resilience and resourcefulness of the many others, including urgent issues lapanese people, in addition to the of daily living, such as evacuation, early response actions of many national relocation, trade and travel, maternal and local agencies, working together. health and bre ding, and menta health. Effective communication was sary to inform decision-making This complex event demanded prevent risky actions, allay fears a multi-hazard, multi-agency collabora



IOP PUBLISHING

J. Radiol. Prot. 32 (2012) N119-N122

doi:10.1088/0952-4746/32/1/N119

NOTE

WHO's public health agenda in response to the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear accident

Emilie van Deventer¹, Maria del Rosario Perez¹, Angelika Tritscher², Kazuko Fukushima² and Zhanat Carr¹

¹ Department of Public Health and Environment, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland ² Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland





Outline

- WHO's mandate
- Early response after March 11
- WHO's public health risk assessment



Health Risk Assessment

Purpose

- Fulfil WHO's role and responsibilities under the *Joint Radiation Emergency Management Plan*
- Provide information for policy makers and health professionals in WHO Member States, as well as international organizations
- Give an indication of the health implications of the accident



Health Risk Assessment The classical steps

World Health Organization

IEM on Radiation Protection after the Fukushima Daiichi Accident, 17 February, Vienna, Austria

Independent experts

Lynn Anspaugh Mikhail Balonov Carl Blackburn Florian Gering Stephanie Haywood Jean-René Jourdain Gerhard Proehl Shin Saigusa Jane Simmonds Ichiro Yamaguchi

and other contributors listed in the report

Observers:

World Health Organization

Preliminary dose estimation

from the nuclear accident after the 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami

Assessed exposure pathways

IAEA report on Environmental consequences of the Chernobyl accident and their remediation: twenty years of experience (2006)

IEM on Radiation Protection after the Fukushima Daiichi Accident, 17 February, Vienna, Austria

Dosimetric endpoints

- Effective doses and equivalent doses to the thyroid for the first year after the accident
- Populations considered: 1 year old infants, 10 year old children and adults
 - These age groups provide a sufficient level of detail to characterize radiological impact with consideration of younger, more sensitive population

World Health

Organization

TIEM on Radiation Protection after the Fukushima Daiichi Accident, 17 February, Vienna, Austria

World Health Organization

WHO preliminary radiation dose estimates after the Fukushima No. 1 nuclear plant crisis

DAILY YOMIURI ONLINE THE DAILY YOMIURI

Health risk assessment

from the nuclear accident after the 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami

based on a preliminary dose estimation

Independent experts

Makoto Akashi Billy Amzal Lynn Anspaugh Anssi Auvinen Nick Gent Peter Jacob Dominique Laurier Charles Miller Otsura Niwa Roy Shore Richard Wakeford Linda Walsh Wei Zhang

and other contributors listed in the report

Observers:

International Labour Organization

- Radiation doses and risks to the public and emergency workers (dose estimations provided by TEPCO were used to assess workers' risks)
- Different age groups
 - Public: 1y infants, 10y children and 20y adults (females and males)
 - Workers: 20, 40, 60 year olds (males)
- Global geographical coverage (excluding the evacuation zone within 20 km of the NPP)
 - Fukushima Prefecture, other prefectures in Japan, countries neighbouring Japan, and rest of the world

Psychological impact

- Although psychological impact was beyond the scope of the assessment, it is addressed in the report because
 - It is a challenge to the medical community and health authorities, in particular due to the nature of the triple disaster
 - the psychosocial impact can outweigh direct radiological consequences (lesson from Chernobyl)
- Communicating risk to the affected target groups (e.g. emergency workers, evacuees, parents of young children) and conveying clear messages is key to reduce mental health impact of a radiation emergency
- Soon after the accident, WHO recommended improving availability and access to community mental health services in the affected areas of Japan

IASC Guidelines on Mental Health and

Psychosocial Support in Emergency Settings

IASC

World Health Organization

Health endpoints considered

- **Cancer risks** were estimated by using risk models for:
 - all-solid cancers incidence
 - leukaemia incidence
 - thyroid cancer incidence
 - female breast cancer incidence

Non-cancer risks were considered but not modeled

thyroid nodules, thyroid dysfunction, visual ____ impairment, circulatory diseases, reproductive dysfunctions, risk to embryo and fetus

Drganization

General approach for characterizing cancer risks

World Health

Organization

Organ Doses General population

Organ Doses Emergency workers

Cancer risk models used in the report

Dose-response relationship for the site-specific cancer

- Life Span Study cohort of Japanese atomic bomb survivors
- **UNSCEAR 2006 report**
- Whenever available, incidence models were chosen over mortality models

Organization

Measures of lifetime risks

Lifetime Attributable Risk (LAR)

probability of a premature incidence of a cancer related to radiation exposure

Lifetime Baseline Risk (LBR)

- cumulated baseline probability of having a specific cancer over the lifetime
- Lifetime Fractional Risk (LFR)
 - LFR = LAR / LBR

Cumulative risk for a segment of life (AR₁₅)

for the 15-year period of life after radiation exposure

Attributable risk

Figure 16. Lifetime attributable risk (LAR) for leukaemia as a function of attained age for a female, one year age-atexposure, in Location ①.

over a lifetime ...

Key choices in the HRA

Selection of input data

- Exposure data
- Lifetime dose
- Health statistics data
- Incidence vs. mortality data
- International classification of diseases (ICD)
- Assumed exposure scenarios for workers

 Selection of models and approaches

- Non-threshold models (LNT)
- DDREF
- Selection of cancer sites
- Latency periods
- Selected age at exposure
- Adopted risk quantity
- Models based on atomic bomb survivors vs. nuclear accident
- Transfer weights

Final considerations

- The assumptions used in this assessment were deliberately chosen to minimize the possibility of underestimating eventual health risks. The results can be seen as
 - upper-bounds of health risks
 - inferences of the magnitude of health risks (not health effects)
- This HRA provides information for setting priorities in the coming years for population health monitoring, as has already begun with the Fukushima Health Management Survey
- When more precise dose estimations become available (e.g. UNSCEAR study), the WHO HRA framework can be used to refine the risk estimates

Te IEM on Radiation Protection after the Fukushima Daiichi Accident, 17 February, Vienna, Austria

Thank You