Export Requirements Challenges for third countries to attend Russia and European Union Requirements with respect of certain veterinary drug residues in animal products





International Symposium on Food Safety and Quality: Applications of Nuclear and Related Techniques

November 10 to 13, 2014

Alfredo M. Montes Niño and Rodrigo H. M.M. Granja, Laboratório Microbioticos Campinas, SP - Brazil



Codex Adopts Ractopamine Limits for Beef and Pork

Contentious 69-67 vote on key trade issue pits United States against China and the EU By Helena Bottemiller | July 6, 2012

• A tight victory for countries such as USA, Brazil and Canada

A defeat to European Union and China
represents 70% of world swine meat production

Ractopamine- Codex Limits

Species	Tissue	MRL (µg/kg)
Bovine	Muscle	10
Bovine	<mark>Live</mark> r	40
Bovine	Kidney	90
Bovine	Fat	10
Porcine	Muscle	10
Porcine	Liver	40
Porcine	Kidney	90
Porcine	Fat & skin	10

Ractopamine

<u>Chemical Name (ractopamine hydrochloride)</u>: Benzenemethanol, 4-hydroxy- α -[[[3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1-methylpropyl]amino]methyl]-, hydrochloride

CAS Registry Number: 90274-24-1

Molecular Formula: C18H23O3N·HCl

Molecular Weight: 337.8

Structural Formula:



MIXTURE OF ALL STEREOISOMERS RR, SS, RS, SR

- Ractopamine is a type 1 Beta- Agonist
- Different of other Beta Agonists such as Clenbuterol and other Anilinic type 2 Beta-Agonists
- Conjugation in tissues
- Increase porcentage of lean meat and decreases carcass fat
- 45% of Swines in the US has been administrated by any form of ractopanine

Type 1 Beta-Agonist Conjugation



Beta Agonist

Enz

Enzyme, pH controlled Hydrolisis

Conjugate

Free -Form

Legislation

European Union Hormone and Beta Agonist Ban

23. 5. 96	EN Official Journal of the European Communities No L 125/3	
	COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 96/22/EC	
	of 29 April 1996	
concerning the prohibition on the use in stockfarming of certain substances having a hormonal or thyrostatic action and of beta-agonists, and repealing Directives 81/602/EEC, 88/146/EEC and 88/299/EEC		

Legislation

EU Council Directive 96/22/EC
Strong Legislation
Apply to Member States
Applied to third countries as well
Split system
Ensure Animals not treated at any stage in ife.

If so, not eligible for export to EU (and Russia)

Prohibited Substances

Pharmacologically active substance	
Aristolochia spp. and preparations thereof	MRL cannot be established
Chloramphenicol	MRL cannot be established
Chloroform	MRL cannot be established
Chlorpromazine	MRL cannot be established
Colchicine	MRL cannot be established
Dapsone	MRL cannot be established
Dimetridazole	MRL cannot be established
Metronidazole	MRL cannot be established
Nitrofurans (including furazolidone)	MRL cannot be established
Ronidazole	MRL cannot be established

Prohibited Substances

EU and Russia - Ilegal act if use them 3rd countries may use Residues should not be present Minimum Required Performance Limit (MRPL)...or better...LEVEL Reference Point of Action (RPA) Hormones, Beta-Agonists and Thyreostats

Prohibited Substances

Rapid Alert System for FOODS and FEEDS (RASFF)

- If found in concentrations above MRPL/RPA^(*)
 - Product Rejection
 - Product Recall
 - Product Destruction
 - Protective Measures
 - Intensive Testing
 - Country Delisting

(*) Kennedy at. Al.

Rússia suspeita de ractopamina

Abatedouros são suspeitos de infringir padrões

09 de junho de 2014 • 17h35 • atualizado às 17h42

veterinários

em carne suína da BRF

Recommended Concentrations

CRL GUIDANCE PAPER (7 December 2007)

CRLs VIEW ON STATE OF THE ART ANALYTICAL METHODS FOR NATIONAL RESIDUE CONTROL PLANS

The following is the Community Reference Laboratories' (CRLs) view on state of the art analytical methods for national residue control plans established in accordance with Council Directive 96/23/EC. The purpose of this technical guidance is to improve and harmonise the performance of analytical methods used for those substances for which maximum residue limits (MRLs) have not been established according to Council Regulation (EC) No 2377/90. Thus substances with MRLs are generally not listed in this guidance paper.

NO LEGAL BASIS!

Ractopamine Situation

NO MRPL, NO RPA But...with a reccomended concentration EU 1 ppb for liver, muscle and kidney Russia 0.1 in muscle. Is this a good tisssue to IN HOUSE control? Not a LIMIT – but a TARGET Labs should go lower than that to offer better warranties to their costumers Microbioticos – LQ of 0.05 ppb in muscle Any detected amount – NON COMPLIANT!

Split Systems

Project Plan Evidence and outcomes Not easy Segregation needed "Magic" procedures to remove traces for line production - carryover Remember: Treatment at any stage of life is banned – residues not present

Carry - over

No Economic viable "Magic" solution
 Use of Calcarium (bad choice)
 Use of Nano Particles – could work but very expensive
 average of 2% Carry-Over. (*)

Tissues

Lung- Highest concentration – dificult to handle from slaughterhause sampling to laboratory Urine- Ease on handling Liver or Kidney- Are these exportable? Muscle- Exported product. Too risky Sampling Detection /Quantification capabilities of laboratories

Tissues

Mith or Fact: "...If Urine less than 1 ppb – Muscle OK..."

Should be....but concrete scientific evidence needed.

 Microbioticos Validated method with an LOQ of 0.17 ppb

 Lung, Liver and Kindey less than 1 ppb should avoid problems in muscle ^(*)
 Regulatory Tissues

Solution

Use a laboratory capable to detect and quantify ractopamine at the lower level possible in the market
Cooperation with European Reference Laboratories
Techniques Used.
Screenning Methods
LC-MS/MS methods

Screenning Methods

According to *Elliott et. Al.:*

- "....Screening methods should be capable to provide a strong evidence of the presence of the molecule in the sample..."
- Commercial kits declare a LOD similar to recommended concentration
 - But does this means that the kit is capable to detect the declared LOD with 95% or 99% confidence (false negatives)?
 - Costs of those kits in Developing countries, taxes, delays, the same problem ever....

Confirmatory Methods

Capable to detect lower levels compared to Screening methos LOD

Costs- Laboratories can offer confirmatory test at a lower cost compared to screening tests performed at slaughterplants

Education of Slaughter Plants Quality Assurance employees.
 No margin for non accurate interpretation ornon scientific basis solutions.

IS ABOUT NA EXACT SCIENCE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT!

Conclusions

- Third Countries should implement a zero tolerance plan on ractopamine if willing to export to Russia
- Muscle should be monitored in every consignment to Russia and EU.
- Laboratories with lowest quantification limits in the market should be used. This limit should be as low as the destination market laboratory
- The use of screening tests should be very detailed evaluated in terms of costs and risks
- Educational programmes for decision makers at meat industry should be taken into consideration
 - Go to where the problem is and solve it, and not only actions to "try to clear" the container from customs and see what happens.