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Milestone of Fukushima Response

**Phased Approach by: Licensee and Regulator**

1. **2011**
   - Special Safety Inspection
   - 50 Recommendations
   - Licensee Implementation Plan

2. **Jan. 2012**
   - Identification of Safety Improvement considering Intl Measures
     - Plans for Revision of Regulatory Requirement
     - International Cooperation

3. **3**
   - Activated Emergency Response Team
   - Interval of Environ. Rad. Monitoring (15 → 5 min)
   - Providing information to the public thru SNS

4. **4**
   - Review Design Capability for Domestic NPPs
   - Conduct Site Inspection for Sample Facilities
   - Dispatched Experts to Japan & IAEA

5. **5**

6. **6**

7. **7**

8. **8**

9. **9**

10. **10**

11. **11**

12. **12**

**Feedback of International Lessons Learned**
- Long term Implementation and Initiation of Research Project

**Emergency Response Center**
Implementation of Lessons Learned

Site Selection to void External Event

- Measures against tsunami, precipitation, flooding, etc. will be evaluated during safety review process in order to verify sufficient margins

Design and Operation

- Balanced treatment of rare-yet-credible external events
- Reevaluation of EDG and AAC
- Securing component cooling pump and water injection capability

Severe Accident Mitigation

- Simultaneous accidents at multiple units
- Regular drills for effectiveness of emergency preparedness

Release of Radioactive Materials  On/Off-Site Emergency Response System  Limited Communication
Challenges on Nuclear Safety

Nuclear Accident In Japan (‘11.3)

Concerns on radiation fallout from Japan (~’11)

Public Fear on Radiation in Asphalt-paved Road (‘11.11)

Cover-up of SBO at Kori 1 (‘12.3)

Reactor Pressure Vessel Integrity of Kori 1 (‘12.5)

Use of Unapproved Parts by Forged Certificates (‘12.11)

SCC of CRDM at YGN 3 (‘12.11)

Safety culture questions after loss of power at Kori 1

22 March 2012

The manager of the Kori 1 nuclear power reactor in South Korea has been sacked for covering up a safety-related incident at the plant last month. The plant owner now faces prosecution by safety regulators.

A report yesterday by the Nuclear Safety and Security Commission (NSSC) said the incident came about during Kori 1’s month-long maintenance outage in February.

Placed in a cold shutdown state, the reactor had been receiving power from one of its three grid connections while the other two were undergoing maintenance. One of the two diesel generators was also under maintenance while the other was on standby and a third was available for manual start.
Cover-up of SBO Event & Review of RPV Integrity at Kori 1

Violation of procedure and work order can be described w/ engineering facts

Follow procedures & technical spec.

Y

N

- Loss of Offsite Power: by human error
- SBO: EDG B fails to start by mechanical error

Declare & Report

Y

N

- Violation of reg. requirement → declaring "White Alert"
- Bad Practices procedures violation

Late Reporting

Y

N

Review Committee

- Investigation and penalty
- Eroding PA

Make invalid all efforts & Degrade public acceptance

- Violation of Reg. Requirement (cover-ups)
- Violation of keeping record of SBO

Reconfirmation of RPV Integrity & Restart

- Reviewing the results of the surveillance capsule in RV
- Inspection for all safety-class components replaced after 2008
- Verifying the fatigue in long-term operation (CV, RPV, etc…)

NSSC approved the restart of Kori 1
Unapproved Items with Forged Certificates

Self-investigation by Licensee (1 Nov.)
- After informed by outside (21 Sep.)
- 60 forged certificates by Involvement of 8 suppliers and a broker

Report to NSSC & Open to the public (5 Nov.)
- 5 NPPs with falsely-certified items
- 136 types (5,233 items) with forged Certificates were installed

Investigation Results and Follow-up Measures
- 2 units had been shut down for replacement (YGN5&6) by licensee’s voluntary action & one for overhaul (YGN3)
- All the falsely-certified items of 2 units are planned to be replaced in on-line because most of them are non-critical to the safe operation(YGN4 & UCN3)

Special Investigation Team by Regulator (8 Nov.)
- Review of certificates for all dedicated items during 2003~2012
- To check the existence of additional ones
- To verify overall effectiveness of licensee’s purchasing system

Interim Results (as of 10 Dec.)
- Additional 53 types (919 items) with forged certificates
- 34 types (among 53) were installed in operating NPP
- UCN 4 is added to the list
- One more company was engaged
- One item of SKR ¾ (fire P/P control pannel) was forged

Improvement of QA and QM system including procurement & (sub) contract
Crack on Control Rod Driving Mechanism at YGN3

**Safety Issues**
Cracks in 6 CRDM nozzles out 84 nozzles during overhaul of YGN

**Estimated Causes of Cracks**
PWSCC (Primary Water Stress Corrosion Cracking) & Alloy 600 material characteristics

**Public Concerns and Issues**
Suspicion on Concealment

“why doesn’t utility open it to the public?”

**Recommendations by Regulatory Body**
- Repair all the cracked penetration tube
- Check integrity of all penetration tubes and welds
- Plan the reactor head replacement
- Change the material Alloy 600 to Alloy 690 for high resistant to PWSCC
Safety Improvement in DiD Perspectives

Organizational & Human Performance

Emergency Response
- Response to multi-units accidents
- Protection of local residents and workers

Mitigation of Severe Accidents
- Facilities
- Guidelines Strategies

Prevention of Severe Accidents
- Power System
- Cooling in SBO

Extreme Natural Hazards
- Design against earthquake
- Inundation Protection
Role of Trusted Regulator

Engineering Safety

- Emergency Response
  - Response to multi-units accidents
  - Protection of local residents and workers

- Mitigation of Severe Accidents
  - Mitigation of Power Systems
  - Prevention of Severe Accidents
  - Guideline of Strategies

- Facilities
  - Extreme Natural Hazards
  - Cooling SBO

Social / Communication Barrier

Safety.... as it is?

- Independent regulators as trusted, authoritative and impartial source of information

- "Expertise’s good, Empathy’s better" in Public Communication

<By UK STC>

Public trust rests with regulators

09 July 2012

Independent regulators should play a greater role in communicating the risks associated with energy generation and distribution because the government is not considered as an impartial source of information, according to a report from the UK parliament’s Science and Technology Committee.
Role of Trusted Regulator

Correlation between trust in regulators and belief in NPP’s safety

- Strong correlation between trust in regulators and trust that nuclear plants can be safe
- Trust in the regulators is crucial to gaining support for nuclear.
- Trust in regulators and operators rises as confidence in legislation improves

A strong independent regulator leads to greater public acceptance

Lessons Learned from Fukushima Accident

**TECHNICAL ISSUES**

- Although addressing low-probability events is very difficult, a treatment for natural-phenomenon hazards is necessary.
- The appropriate regulatory bodies should conduct a multiple-unit risk assessment.
- It needs to improve a severe accident management and mitigation guideline and emergency operating procedures.
- Regional safety network should be strengthened to minimize the impact of the severe accident from neighboring countries.
- Risk communication with domestic stakeholders should be more emphasized.
- Role of robust, independent, impartial, technical excellent and trusted regulator should be recognized as a key subject.
Lessons Learned from Recent Safety Issues in Korea

Negative Movement on Nuclear

- Legislation on “Nuclear Phase-out” by Members of the National Assembly
- Risk Simulation on Severe Accident by NGO
- Strong Objection to Nuclear by Local Residents
- Attitudes raising **Suspicion** by the Mass Media

*Trapped by the chain of Suspicion and mistrust*

How to Build up **Trust**

Regulatory actions based on

Independence / Technical Competency / Transparency
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