The Synergies of PLIM, PLEX, and Power Uprates: Lessons Learned From Recent BWR Experience





Brian Jordan

GE Energy Optimization and Control Nuclear Market Segment Leader

Craig Nichols

GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy Manager-Steam Dryer Products



magination at work

The Synergies of PLiM, PLEX, and Power Uprates: Lessons Learned From Recent BWR Experience

- Business Case
- Key Lessons from Implementations
 - -Vibration
 - -Plant Reliability & Maintenance
 - -Implementation Strategy
- Conclusions



Business Case – Synergies

Operations Safety, Capacity Factors, Cost Reduction

Life Extension

Power Uprates – Extended Power Uprates







Safety System Performance Percentage of Systems Achieving 2010 Industry Goal





Courtesy of NEI Website GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy and GE Energy/IAEA-CN-155-022 PLM/ Oct 2007





Courtesy of NEI Website

5 GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy and GE Energy/IAEA-CN-155-022 PLM/ Oct 2007

Strategic Projects

Power Uprate

- > Cost effective additional generation
- > Economics dictate limits to uprates
 - System and component limits can be increased
 - Analytical limits (technical/licensing approaches)
- Plant Life Extension
- > Regulatory process straight forward
- > Requires economic planning for equipment life
- > Many of the same issues as power uprate planning



"In my view, the vast majority of nuclear power plants in the US could be serious candidates for license extension for up to 80 years of operation, and I believe the NRC must prepare itself to consider that question." – USNRC Commissioner

6 022 PLM/ Oct 2007

Why EPU?

• NRC approved 108 uprates since 1977, or

- 4,600 additional MWe, or
- Equivalent of 4 to 5 reactors

1 150 MW Wind Farm, or 1 EPU = 1 Heavy Duty Simple Cycle Gas Turbine, or 1 Small Hydro Facility, or









Courtesy of NEI Website

8 GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy and GE Energy/IAEA-CN-155-022 PLM/ Oct 2007

BWR / Uprate Schematic

- Increased steam flow requires upgrade of key equipment
- Balance of plant including turbine/generator modifications and increased fuel cycle costs are all incremental with power level



Source: Nuclear Regulatory Commission



Key Lessons from Implementations

Lesson: Vibration

Steam dryer cracking and flow-induced vibration damage on components and supports for the Main steam and Feedwater lines.

Actuators for the Electromatic Relief Valves (ERVs) experienced significant fretting and wear.

Steam Dryer EPU Experience



Event 1 - Lower Cover Plate

Event 2 - Outer Hood









Current State of Knowledge

> Recent Experience - Curved Hood Dryers

- Hydrodynamic loads more consequential
- Peak stresses are in internal areas making modifications more difficult
- Current load definition tools do not adequately address in-vessel sources
- USNRC requiring large margin to account for load definition uncertainty
- > Replacement can be cost effective option
 - Reduced outage duration and worker dose offset higher capital cost. Supports life extension
 - Improved regulatory certainty



imagination at work

Dryer Program (NRC RG 1.20

- •Calculation of expected excitation sources
- •Comprehensive and conservative dryer analysis including uncertainty assessment with a benchmarked model
- •Evaluation of dryer acoustic and hydrodynamic loads at CLTP with margin for EPU using steam line measurements
- •Monitoring and evaluation of dryer loads with plant instruments during power ascension with licensed limits
- •NRC review/acceptance at each stage of power ascension
- •EPU License Condition for dryer and piping FIV issues
- Long term commitment to follow-on inspections



Steam Dryer Innovations

Load Definition -

- No plant-specific tuning required... eliminates constant model revisions
- Reduces singularities present in other methods
- Solves both in-vessel and MSL loads
- Benchmarking with QC2 data complete
- LTR expected in 2007







Load Mitigation

- Addressing MSL and in-vessel sources
- Retrofit option for operating plants
- Incorporate into new plant /dryer design
- Does not impact plant operation/ maintenance
- Scale model testing progressing
- Provides plants with options for EPU, LCM, and PLEX

P20- ERV Performance

Damaged Original



Original actuator failed due to excessive wear

- Guide Bushings wore sufficiently to allow springs to slide thru
- Guide rods jammed in bushing due to wear
- Plunger jammed in solenoid due to loss of alignment
- Actuator life was less than 24 months

GE modified existing actuator to withstand high frequency vibration.

- Hardened guide posts and guides
- More stable guide support assembly centers motion
- Dampened input into base
- Controlled tolerances on interface parts reduces lateral movement
- Engineered springs for consistent load

Electromatic relief valves (ERV)



imagination at work

GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy and GE Energy/IAEA-CN-155-022 PLM/ Oct

Modified



16 2007

Plant Reliability and Maintenance

Majority of the problems attributed to EPU are in the Balance-of-Plant (BOP) area and involve pre-EPU plant component deficiencies or minimal operating margins



Because 89% of failures are not age related, Condition-Based Maintenance is more effective than relying on Time-Based Maintenance for these failure modes.

GE/Bently Nevada LLC And Management Resources Group Inc. Proprietary

18





imagination at work

Life Extension Reliability Impact



Power Uprate Reliability Impact



Combined PLEX and PU Reliability Impact



Reliability & Margin Enhancement









Synergy: PLiM, PLEX, EPU **Instrumentation & Control**



- Power Range Neutron Monitoring (PRNM)
- Turbine Control, BOP I&C
- Steam Dryer Instrumentation





Implementation Strategy

Perform a comprehensive pre-EPU assessment of the plant – AS-IS condition with plant owner collaboration

Evaluate plant procedures for all systems and components that may be affected by EPU and revise appropriately prior to implementation of EPU

Review Main Steam and Feedwater components for potential vibration and wear related degradation

Condition Monitoring and I&C Upgrades as part of evaluation process

EPU feasibility study = decision making information



Pinch Point Map Essential for Long Term Equipment Planning



Recent Examples and Results

Recent North US BWR – 20% power uprate plus modernization and margin recapture

Uprate capacity factor highest ever – No EPU impacts

MidWest US BWR – Integrated EPU and LCM Plan

- Effective replacements vs. marginal modifications

Steam dryer options

- Modify, replace, mitigate
- Instrumentation as needed



imagination at work





Conclusions

Synergies: Power Uprates, Life Extensions, and Plant Life Maintenance are cost effective means to produce additional RELIABLE MW if planned correctly:

Vibration: Review of Main Steam and Feedwater
 Reliability Studies with Actions

 Balance of Plant Systems Maintenance and Procedures
 Have upgrade plan for BOP and I&C systems,
 add Condition Monitoring

 Do as much prior/coordinated to EPU to minimize risk

Summary

Long-term view - Build in increased margins and reliability for additional operating period of 20 to 40 years during plant modernization and uprate activities.

- > Develop a long term strategic plan
- > Evaluate best sequence of projects
- > Perform overall study (GE version is Performance 20)
- > Leverage Best Practice Experience and Regulatory Guidance
- > Overall Maintenance Plan and Procedures
- > Condition Monitoring and Digital I&C Upgrades

