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Introduction and objectives

- A prototype accelerator based on positive ion system was originally used to demonstrate the feasibility of a SINGAP accelerator.

- With this accelerator we demonstrated that we could accelerate a D- beam to 910 keV with a current density $J^- = 3 \text{ mA / cm}^2$.

- The measured beam profiles on the target corresponded well with those predicted by calculations. However not with the quality required for ITER.

- In order to demonstrate ITER NBI (1MV, 40 A) relevant beam optics a new “ITER-like” accelerator has been put into operation at the Cadarache 1MV, 100 mA test bed.

- The “ITER-like” accelerator incorporates all the beam optics calculated to be necessary for the ITER SINGAP system.

- Present work under EFDA 5.1 B contract. The original contract period was from 19/12/2001 to 19/3/2004. It has been extended to June 2005.
Introduction and objectives cont.

With the new ITER-like accelerator and ion source the objectives are to:

1. Demonstrate voltage holding with a main acceleration gap of 350 mm without and with gas from the ion source.

2. Produce H\(^-\) or D\(^-\) beams with acceptable beam-optics for ITER at energies close to 1 MeV (1 MeV and either 28 mA/cm\(^2\) H\(^-\) or 20 mA/cm\(^2\) D\(^-\) for pulse lengths \(\geq 1\) s).

3. Demonstrate the efficiency of the electron traps in a SINGAP accelerator.

4. Carry out test of the reliability of a SINGAP accelerator. Attempts will be made to fire a sequence of 50-100 pulses of up to 2 s length onto the beam dump with an energies close to 1 MeV.
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The 1 MV SINGAP test bed with the ITER-like accelerator

(1) negative ion source
(2) extractor / pre-accelerator
(3) post-accelerator (+1 MV)
(4) 1-D CFC graphite target
(5) 1 MV bushing
(6) 9x100 MΩ resistor chain
(7) vacuum vessel floor (0 V)
(8) infra-red camera

The background pressure of <10⁻⁷ mb is provided by a cryo sorption pump.
The “ITER-like” accelerator

(1) ’Drift Source’
(2) plasma grid support (~10 V)
(3) extraction grid support (<+10 kV)
(4) pre-acceleration grid support (<+50 kV)
(5) electrostatic screen
(6) electrostatic "kerb" structure
(7) post-accelerator electrode (+1 MV)
(8) electrostatic stress ring
(9) drift tube
The “ITER-like” accelerator

**Plasma grid**

**Extraction grid**

**Pre-acc. grid**

- **Vertical cut**
  - Co Sm Magnets
  - Water channels

- **Horizontal cut**
  - Co Sm Magnets
The “ITER-like” accelerator

Four different plasma grids are available for:

- High J- studies (with existing 1 MV 100 mA power supply)
- Space charge and kerb effects
- Higher current beams (≈ 1A) at JAERI

Thermocoax Heating element

Thermocouples
The “ITER-like” accelerator

Post accelerator

Movable post-accelerator electrode provides aperture offset steering to simulate the vertical steering (±0.55°) required on ITER or just for correction of misalignment.

Post acceleration electrode with electrostatic electrode.
The “ITER-like” accelerator

Accelerator and ion source during assembly
## Results from SINGAP accelerators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prototype accelerator 625 mm gap</th>
<th>Prototype accelerator 350 mm gap</th>
<th>“ITER-like” 350 mm gap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HV only gas added to suppress dark current</td>
<td>1000 kV 0.017 Pa Helium</td>
<td>940 kV 0.03 Pa Helium or Deuterium</td>
<td>940 kV 0.07 Pa Helium or deuterium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deuterium beams</td>
<td>910 keV 3 mA/cm² 600 keV 7 mA/cm²</td>
<td>914 keV 5 mA/cm² 500 keV 12 mA/cm²</td>
<td>850 keV 1.5 mA/cm² 730 keV 12 mA/cm² 580 keV 15 mA/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Over focused beams</td>
<td>Over focused beams</td>
<td>Optics OK for ITER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Beam measurements

IR data from back of the 1D Mitsubishi MFC 1A graphite target.

IR picture taken after 1 s of beam with Flir 550 IR camera system

Power density profile 1 s after beam turned off, from data with Agema 782 IR camera system
For the shown shot (7545), the expected power density profile was calculated.

- Due to the magnets in the pre-accelerator, the aperture pattern is distorted. This is expected and included in the ITER design.
- The centre beamlet is 7 mm higher than calculated.
- The beamlet divergence is close to 3 mrad.
- The measured power density is too low.
- A halo seems to be present
A Halo can be seen in shots with Cs!

Shot 8354
Beams from 1.9 – 3.4 secs.
Shot 8354, Horizontal power density at Y = -18 mm.

- Power from Halo ≈ 30%
No obvious Halo in volume production without Cs!
• We measure ≤60% of the electrical current as power on the target.

• Therefore cannot reach objective with 3 apertures → single aperture.

Current needed from MV Power Supply:
(3 apertures)x1.5 cm² x(J⁻ = 20 mA/cm²) = 92 mA

With 60 % transmission we would need 153 mA to have 20 mA/cm²

MV PS limit=100mA
Beamlet divergence for ITER-Relevant shot 8672.

- Horizontal: <5.3 mrad
- Vertical: <6.8 mrad

Lateral heat diffusion in target not taken into account.

Shot 8672

- $V_2 = 3.5$ kV
- $V_3 = 25.5$ kV
- $V_4 = 576$ kV
- $J = 7.8$ mA/cm²

$T = 1.0$ sec profile taken 2 sec after shot
SENSITIVE OPTICS

- Two nearly identical shots in Caesium with very different beamlets.
- Often in an elliptical shape.
- Flipping states (plasma on/off knife edge)?
- Flipping can be triggered by V2, arc power and/or bias.
TRANSMISSION DEPENDS ON TANK PRESSURE

Due to stripping, the THERMAL current decreases with pressure.

The ELECTRICAL drain current increases with pressure.

The TRANSMISSION goes down sharply with pressure.

The halo is not affected by stripping losses.
Displacing the anode aperture by -16 mm for a desired steering of +32 mm resulted in an actual steering of +32 mm (12 mrad). ITER needs ±10 mrad.
3 or 6 mm Extraction Gap?

- 3 mm extraction gap was originally chosen to reduce power from the intercepted co-extracted electrons since the extraction voltage could be kept low.

- With the 3 mm extraction gap and a 14 mm diameter aperture, the accelerator was very sensitive to small changes in extraction voltage, pre-acceleration voltage, bias or arc power.

- The pre accelerator was therefore re-gapped to give an extraction gap of 6 mm. Pre-acceleration gap remained at 20 mm.
Vertical profiles for 3 and 6 mm extraction gap

SINGAP ITER-LIKE Shots 9319 9752, 3mm and 6mm gaps.
VERTICAL, 6.3 mA/cm², 490 kV

- 9319
  - 3 mm gap
  - 28% halo

- 9752
  - 6 mm gap
  - 28% halo

Power density (W/cm²)
Vertical coordinate (mm)
Performance of all shots done with new IR camera

580 kV 15 mA/cm² and 730 kV 12 mA/cm² achieved with 6 mm extraction gap
Results from operation with 6 mm extraction gap

After only three days of operation with 6 mm gap:

1. 50% Higher current densities, 15 mA/cm², 580 keV D⁻
2. Impossible to trigger a hollow beam
3. Less sensitive to small variations of voltages or arc power
4. 730 keV 12 mA/cm² D⁻ beams with ITER-relevant optics.
3 mm extraction gap

Shot 9318

496 keV, 6.4 mA/cm² D−
Div_hor = 3.6 mrad
Div_ver = 5.2 mrad
Halo = 28%

6 mm extraction gap

Shot 9886

727 keV, 12 mA/cm² D−
Div_hor = 3.9 mrad
Div_ver = 5.5 mrad
Halo = 31%
4. What’s next?

- Increase beam energy and current density to values as close as possible to 20 mA/cm² and 1 MeV. This might only be possible by solving the dark current problem.
- Install 5-aperture plasma grids and measure the effects of the “kerbs”.
- Carry out test of the reliability of a SINGAP accelerator. Attempts will be made to fire a sequence of 50-100 pulses of up to 2 s length onto the beam dump with an energies close to 1 MeV.
- When the above measurements have been done we plan to install the “ITER-like” SINGAP accelerator at JAERI, Naka in Japan for high current tests where a 1MV power supply with a current capability of 1 A is available. A direct comparison between the MAMuG and SINGAP accelerator could then be done.
5. Conclusions

😊 HV shots with “ITER-like” accelerator can hold 930 kV without breakdowns.

😊 Reached: 850 keV with 1.5 mA/cm² D⁻ in volume

580 keV with 15 mA/cm² D⁻, 6 mm extraction gap

730 keV with 12 mA/cm² D⁻, 6 mm extraction gap

😊 Beam steering by moving the anode aperture works.

😊 Power accountability is good (90%) in the absence of background gas. If gas is added power accountability drops sharply. Ionisation of the background gas ➔ backstreaming ions?

😊 A halo is present. It seems more pronounced in Cs than in volume.

😊 With 3 mm extraction gap the beam-optics can flip between “peaked” and “hollow” on minor changes to extraction voltage, plasma grid bias or arc parameters.

😊 A perveance scan near ITER-like optics gave a horizontal divergence of 3.6 mrad and a vertical divergence of 5.2 mrad. These divergences includes heat diffusion inside the target.
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