

# Progress on the Development of a RF Driven D<sup>-</sup> Ion Source for ITER NBI

# Paul McNeely for the IPP NBI Team

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik EURATOM Association Boltzmannstr. 2, D-85748 Garching

IAEA TM on NNBI, Padua, May 9-11, 2005

# Introduction



- Results from BATMAN in 2005
  - Modified LAG Grid System
  - Parameter Dependencies
  - Experiments on Magnetic Confinement
- Comments on Cs introduction
- Initial  $H\alpha$  Beam Spectroscopy Results

# BATMAN Performance Compared to ITER Requirements



Parameter	ITER Requirement	BATMAN Hydrogen	BATMAN Deuterium
Current Density H	28 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	33 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	
Current Density D	20 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>		23 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>
Electron - Ion Ratio	<1	0.36	0.9
Source Pressure	0.3 Pa	<0.3 Pa	<0.3 Pa
Extraction Voltage	9 kV	9.6 kV	9.9 kV
Pulse Length	3600 s	4 s	4 s
Extraction Area	2000 cm <sup>2</sup>	70 cm <sup>2</sup>	70 cm <sup>2</sup>
RF Power		144 kW	125 kW
PG Temperature		197 C	222 C

P. McNeely

IAEA TM on NNBI, Padua, May 9-11, 2005

## The IPP NNBI RF source:



### Improvement 2005:

- using a mask with chamfered holes in front of the plasma grid
  - high yield, high RF efficiency, similar for H and D
  - no dependence on grid temperature above 130 °C
     → water cooled grid possible



## The IPP NNBI RF source: Low Pressure / Cs Operation



### Physics of a cesiated RF source:

- H- production at the plasma grid
  - needs Cs at the surface
  - mean free path some cm's



- extraction of surface produced negative ions
  - problem of 'wrong' starting angle: ions produced at the grid are accelerated by the sheath potential back into the source and have to bend back towards the grid
    - → bending mechanisms: CX, collisions, magnetic fields
    - → bad beam quality



### mask with chamfered holes

- → increases effective converter area in immediate vicinity of ex hole
- → increases solid angle for incoming H<sup>0</sup>
- $\rightarrow$  improves "starting angles" of H<sup>-</sup> leaving grid surface

## The IPP NNBI RF source: Low Pressure / Cs Operation: Daily Results



### Results 2005:

- low pressure (0.2 0.5 Pa)
- extraction voltage up to 10.5 kV
- RF power < 130 kW
- 3-6 seconds
- cal. current density well above 28 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (Hydrogen) / 20 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (Deuterium) routinely achieved
- electron/ion ratio  $\leq 1$
- best results: 33 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> H (j<sub>e</sub>/j<sub>H</sub> = 0.5) 25 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> D (j<sub>e</sub>/j<sub>D</sub> = 1.3) 23 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> D (j<sub>e</sub>/j<sub>D</sub> = 0.9)



## The IPP NNBI RF source: Low Pressure / Cs Operation: Daily Results



### Results 2005:

- low pressure (0.2 0.5 Pa)
- extraction voltage up to 10.5 kV
- RF power < 130 kW
- 3-6 seconds
- cal. current density well above 28 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (Hydrogen) / 20 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (Deuterium) routinely achieved
- electron/ion ratio  $\leq 1$
- best results: 33 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> H (j<sub>e</sub>/j<sub>H</sub> = 0.5) 25 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> D (j<sub>e</sub>/j<sub>D</sub> = 1.3) 23 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> D (j<sub>e</sub>/j<sub>D</sub> = 0.9)



• 'fast' recovery after leak

## The IPP NNBI RF source: ITER Parameters





### Low Pressure / Cs Operation: Parameter Dependence: RF Power





### **RF power:**

- no saturation with RF power, if Cs distribution o.k.
- Deuterium, U<sub>ex</sub> 9.5 kV, <0.5 Pa
- 'RF efficiency':

 $j / P_{RF}$ 

• reduces data scatter

## The IPP NNBI RF source: RF Efficiency

## Results 2005:

- low pressure (0.2 0.5 Pa)
- extraction voltage up to 10.5 kV
- RF power < 130 kW
- RF efficiency ever higher for D
- differences due to different magnetic configuration of the ion source
- D needs more extraction voltage
- performance is limited by limitations in total / extraction voltage & in the power onto the extraction grid





## Low Pressure / Cs Operation: Operation at low pressure



#### Low pressure operation: pressure drop in driver RF on (80 kW) 18 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> onto cal. 2.0 0.5 Filling pressure: 0.27 Pa Deuterium 0.4 HV on $(U_{ex} = 9 \text{ kV})$ Ion Current / (A) 1.5 Pressure / (Pa) 0.3 **PINI-Insulator** Extraction System 1.0 Source 0.2 0.5 0.1 Scan Driver range 0.0 0.0 2 0 3 F 6 8 Plasma flow Ceramic **RF-Coil** Time / (s) Cylinder

- RF coupling not efficient for high RF power when driver pressure is too low
- pressure drop increases with RF power, decreases with extraction area (larger gas flow)
   better coupling at high RF powers for large sources → more current density !



flexible modification of filter field and side wall confining magnets



- side wall confining magnets influence filter field
- changing filter field effects plasma dynamics ( $\rightarrow$  Cs!)

## **IPP RF source: Magnetic Confinement**

### **General Behavior:**

Source can operate without side wall • confining magnets ( $\rightarrow j_D = 18 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ ) 0.40

0.35

0.30

0.25

0.20

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

j<sub>e</sub> / P<sub>RF</sub>

뭠

60

j<sub>o</sub>-/P<sub>RF</sub>

- Deuterium needs more filter field than hydrogen for electron suppression
  - up to now only possible with outside magnets
  - far-reaching field into the source
  - ▶ limits RF power coupling  $\rightarrow$ reduces RF efficiency
- filter field has minor effect on source efficiency
- next experiments with more localized filter field (near grid)

P. McNeely





H. Co-Sm

D, Co-Sm

D. Fe-Nd

## The IPP NNBI RF source: Cs Behaviour



### Improvement of RF source with Cs (2004/5):

- increase of current density by a factor 10
- hot plasma grid (~150 °C)
- reduces amount of co-extracted electrons < 1
   (together with 10-15 V positive bias and sufficient filter field)</li>

### **ITER requires reliable Cs seeded operation**

- Cs seeded source subject to "De-conditioning"
- To achieve a properly conditioned Cs seeded source:
  - slow evaporation works best (10 mg/h)
  - Iook for signs that Cs on PG is "sufficient":
    - $\rightarrow$  electron current falls, ion current rises
- This requires Patience!
- diagnostic tools for more understanding ( $\rightarrow$  see talk of U. Fantz)

# $H\alpha$ Spectroscopy: Typical Spectrum



IPP

# $H\alpha$ Spectroscopy: Stripping Losses



- low stripping: 0.3 Deuterium, 18 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, 9 kV  $j_{cal} = j_{extracted}$ Stripping Losses / (%) (assume all Dhave full energy) calculation 0.2 with ITER-like mode Calculation: • only conductance 0.1 of grids easurement • gas temperature of 300 K • but source: 0.0 1000 – 1200 K 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 Source Pressure / (Pa)
- same model as used
   in ITER stripping loss calor
  - in ITER stripping loss calculations
    - ITER calculations may be too pessimistic ?
      - ightarrow modelling with trajectories for stripping losses in the grid necessary

# Summary



- exceed all ITER objectives except pulse length simultaneously on BATMAN
  - >22 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> in deuterium, >30 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> in hydrogen at I<sub>elec</sub>/I<sub>ion</sub> < 1 and at pressures below 0.3 Pa in driver and filling pressure</li>
  - reliable and reproducible operation over 3 months
  - even higher source efficiency for deuterium
  - stronger filter required for Deuterium: modifications under way
  - wall confinement affects source efficiency
- deuterium operation is limited by
  - power on extraction grid due to higher electron ion / ratio
  - RF coupling at low pressures due to pressure drop in driver and far-reaching filter fields

### larger sources and modified filter fields:

- $\rightarrow$  increase in RF power possible
- $\rightarrow$  expect same current densities for Deuterium as for hydrogen!

# **BATMAN** (Current Accountability)

- **Electrical Currents are all measured:** 
  - *I<sub>ion</sub>* gives *J<sub>ion</sub>* (elec)
  - I<sub>elec</sub>
  - $I_{GG}$  gives  $J_{ion}$  (GG)

Shot 24387  $I_{drain} = 4.54 \text{ A}$  $I_{elec} = 2.15 \text{ A}$  $I_{ion} = 1.98 \text{ A} (26.64 \text{ mAcm}^{-2})$  $I_{GG} = 0.33 \text{ A} (4.39 \text{ mAcm}^{-2})$  $J_{H_{-}}$  (TC) = 20.5 mAcm<sup>-2</sup>

- Calorimeter signals give  $J_{ion}$  (TC) and  $J_{ion}$  (W)
- J<sub>ion</sub> (TC) and J<sub>ion</sub> (W) are systematically different Accounting
  - Likely the flow meter is slightly off
- Accountability:  $J_{ion}$  (*TC*) to  $J_{ion}$ (*elec*)  $\approx$  90%.









Layout

# **Beam extraction: BATMAN**







# Spectroscopy on the Cs 852 nm Line



# **BATMAN (LAG)**



### $J_{cal} = 0.92 J_{electric}$ Electric J<sub>H-</sub> (mAcm<sup>-2</sup>) $J_{electric} = J_{ion}$ (electric) - $J_{ion}$ (G3)

### **Electric vrs Calorimetric Current Densities**