IAEA-TECDOC-1113

# Safety assessment plans for authorization and inspection of radiation sources



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

September 1999

The originating Section of this publication in the IAEA was:

Radiation Safety Section International Atomic Energy Agency Wagramer Strasse 5 P.O. Box 100 A-1400 Vienna, Austria

SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR AUTHORIZATION AND INSPECTION OF RADIATION SOURCES IAEA, VIENNA, 1999 IAEA-TECDOC-1113 ISSN 1011–4289

© IAEA, 1999

Printed by the IAEA in Austria September 1999

#### FOREWORD

Many practices utilizing radiation sources in medicine and industry are well established and are used in most countries. The technology associated with some of these practices has become increasingly sophisticated and complex.

In parallel with the growth in the use of radiation sources and the increasing complexity of the practices, measures for radiation protection and the safety of sources have also become increasingly complex. These complexities have arisen from the elaborate and intricate nature of equipment, installations and engineering controls, increased scientific knowledge about radiation hazards, operational experience, lessons learned from accidents and incidents, and improvements in methods for assessment and control. In addition, higher technical skills are required to operate facilities safely.

One result of this evolution is that applicants for authorizations to engage in a radiation practice, and the regulatory authorities which grant authorizations and conduct inspections, must address a large number of issues and factors related to adequate protection and safety.

This TECDOC is intended to assist regulatory authorities and those involved with assessments and inspections covering protection and safety of radiation sources. Parts of the report may also be useful to applicants in the preparation of submissions for authorization. Use of this TECDOC should help to ensure that authorization and inspection procedures are comprehensive and consistent, thus contributing to the efficacy, quality and efficiency of the whole regulatory process.

The IAEA officer responsible for this publication was P. Ortiz López of the Division of Radiation and Waste Safety.

# EDITORIAL NOTE

In preparing this publication for press, staff of the IAEA have made up the pages from the original manuscript(s). The views expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the IAEA, the governments of the nominating Member States or the nominating organizations.

Throughout the text names of Member States are retained as they were when the text was compiled.

The use of particular designations of countries or territories does not imply any judgement by the publisher, the IAEA, as to the legal status of such countries or territories, of their authorities and institutions or of the delimitation of their boundaries.

The mention of names of specific companies or products (whether or not indicated as registered) does not imply any intention to infringe proprietary rights, nor should it be construed as an endorsement or recommendation on the part of the IAEA.

# CONTENTS

1.	INTF	RODUCTION1
	1.1. 1.2. 1.3. 1.4.	Background
2.		INISTRATIVE ADVICE TO FACILITATE HORIZATION, INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT
	2.1. 2.2.	General2Conduct of regulatory staff32.2.1. Professionalism32.2.2. Inquisitiveness32.2.3. Helpfulness32.2.4. Assertiveness32.2.5. Decision making4
	2.3.	Applications for authorization42.3.1. Legal person and the representative42.3.2. Application form42.3.3. Location of the facility42.3.4. Safety assessment for sources, equipment and devices4
	2.4.	Regulatory inspection
	2.5.	Enforcement
3.	3.1. 3.2.	ELOPMENT AND USE OF STANDARD SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS7    General
ANN	EXES	S I–X
ANN	EX I:	SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR INDUSTRIAL IRRADIATION FACILITIES13
		nple I.A:Application for authorization and review plan for a gamma irradiator facility
		nple I.B:  Application for authorization and review plan for an electron irradiator facility
		nple I.C:Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of panoramic gamma irradiation facilities

	Example	e I.E:	Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of electron irradiation facilities	30
	Bibliog	raphy to	Annex I	
	-			
ANN	EX II:		Y ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR TRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	47
	Example			
	Example	e II.A:	Application for authorization and review plan for industrial radiography	48
	Example	e II.B:	Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection	10
	1		of fixed facilities for industrial radiography	54
	Example	e II.C:	Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection	
	יו וית	1 4	of industrial radiography with mobile devices	
	Bibliogi	raphy to .	Annex II	68
ANN	EX III:	SAFET	Y ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR WELL LOGGING, PORTABLE	
		GAUG	ING, DETECTION AND ANALYTICAL DEVICES	69
	Example	e III.A:	Application for authorization and review plan for well logging,	
	1		portable gauging, detection and analytical devices	70
	Example	e III.B:	Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of	
			well logging and portable devices for gauging, detecting,	
	D'I I'	1	and analysis	
	Bibliogi	raphy to .	Annex III	84
ANN	EX IV:	SAFET	Y ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR FIXED (INSTALLED)	
			ING, DETECTION AND OTHER DEVICES	85
	Example	e IV.A:	Application for authorization for fixed (installed)	
	2p.	• • • • • •	gauging, detection and other devices	86
	Example	e IV.B:		
			fixed (installed) gauging, detection and other devices	
	Bibliog	raphy to .	Annex IV	.100
ANN	EX V:	SAFET	Y ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR WORK WITH	
1 11 11			LED RADIOACTIVE SOURCES	101
	Example	eVΔ·	Application for authorization and review plan of	
	Елатри	<b>C V</b> ./ <b>1</b> .	work with unsealed radioactive sources in industry	
	Example	e V.B:	Checklist for commissioning and regular inspections	
			of work with unsealed radioactive sources in industry	
	Bibliog	raphy to	Annex V	.114
A NIN		SAFET	Y ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR DIAGNOSTIC	
AININ			EQUIPMENT	.115
	Example		-	
	Example	e v1.A:	Application for authorization and review plan for diagnostic X ray equipment	116
	Example	e VI.B:	Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection	. 1 10
	pr		of diagnostic X ray installations	122
	Bibliog	raphy to .	Annex VI	

ANNEX VII: SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR UNSEALED	
RADIOACTIVE SOURCES IN MEDICINE	132
Example VII.A: Application for authorization and review plan for	
use of unsealed radioactive sources in medicine	133
Example VII.B: Checklist for commissioning and regular	
inspection of nuclear medicine installations	140
Bibliography to Annex VII	148
ANNEX VIII: SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR RADIOTHERAPY	150
Example VIII.A: Application for authorization and	
review plan for radiotherapy	151
Example VIII.B: Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection	
of radiotherapy	159
Bibliography to Annex VIII	
	107
ANNEX IX: INVESTIGATION OF INCIDENTS AT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	171
ANNEX X: PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	174
CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW	175

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1. BACKGROUND

The International Atomic Energy Agency has consistently assigned a high priority to ensuring adequate radiation protection and safety in its Member States. In this regard, the International Basic Safety Standards for Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 115, were approved by the Board of Governors in 1994 and published in their final form in 1996. The publication is jointly sponsored by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), the International Labour Organisation (ILO), the Nuclear Energy Agency of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD/NEA), the Pan American Health Organisation (PAHO) and the World Health Organization (WHO). The Standards, however, can only be implemented by Member States through a radiation protection and safety infrastructure which includes adequate laws and regulations, and an effective regulatory system.

The IAEA has been collecting information for many years on the status of radiation protection and safety in countries that are, or could be, recipients of technical assistance through IAEA agreements for co-operation. The information has been obtained through several channels such as Radiation Protection Advisory Team (RAPAT) missions. Although RAPAT missions and other efforts by the IAEA have led to improvements in radiation safety in some countries, the IAEA is aware that a few Member States have essentially no radiation safety infrastructure and for a substantial number, the infrastructure is inadequate for the level of radiation source usage. Accordingly, the IAEA established an Interregional Technical Co-operation Project (the Model Project), first approved in 1993, to enhance and strengthen infrastructures so that the 53 participating countries can better implement the Basic Safety Standards.

The Basic Safety Standards consist mainly of performance requirements which are applicable to most practices and intervention situations. To assist Member States, particularly those in the Model Project, to implement the performance requirements of the Standards, the IAEA has a programme for developing Safety Series Guides and other supporting documents which apply to specific types of the more common radiation source practices. The documents contain prescriptive and specific advice, which if adopted for the design, construction and operation of sources within specific practices, would meet most of the protection and safety performance requirements of the Standards.

This TECDOC is an element of the IAEA's programme to enhance the protection and safety of radiation source use. It provides advice to help achieve a systematic approach to protection and safety assessments required in the various stages of the regulatory process.

#### 1.2. OBJECTIVE

The objective of this TECDOC is to enhance the efficacy, quality and efficiency of the whole regulatory process.

It provides advice on good practice administrative procedures for the regulatory process for preparation of applications, granting of authorizations, inspection, and enforcement. It also provides information on the development and use of standard safety assessment plans for authorization and inspection. The plans are intended to be used in conjunction with more detailed advice related to specific practices. In this sense, this TECDOC provides advice on a systematic approach to evaluations of protection and safety while other IAEA Safety Guides assist the user to distinguish between the acceptable and the unacceptable.

# 1.3. SCOPE

This TECDOC covers administrative advice to facilitate the regulatory process governing authorization and inspection. It also covers the use of standard assessment and inspection plans and provides simplified plans for the more common, well established uses of radiation sources in medicine and industry, i.e. sources for irradiation facilities, industrial radiography, well logging, industrial gauging, unsealed sources in industry, X ray diagnosis, nuclear medicine, teletherapy and brachytherapy.

## 1.4. STRUCTURE

The main text addresses administrative advice for the authorization, inspection and enforcement functions of the regulatory authority. It also contains a brief discussion of the development, functions, benefits and limitations of standard safety assessment plans.

Annexes I–VIII contain practice specific standard safety assessment plans for the common practices identified above. There are generally two plans for each practice, one intended for use by applicants and reviewers, and one for use by inspectors. In some instances, variations in the nature of the sources and their uses within a practice resulted in multiple plans (e.g. fixed facility and mobile industrial radiography). The plans contained in Annexes I–VIII identify items to be addressed in applications and inspections, but do not contain guidance on identifying what should be acceptable within the regulatory framework. References to Safety Guides and other relevant publications are provided in the annexes. The references may be used to further develop and expand the simple "checklist" type of plan contained in the annexes and may be useful to meet the particular needs of a national regulatory authority.

Annex IX contains a standard safety assessment plan for investigation of incidents at industrial facilities. As with the other plans, there is no guidance on acceptable accident investigation or corrective actions. The plan does provide a checklist of information that is commonly useful in assessing the significance and causes of incidents. This plan would also be applicable to inspections following incidents at medical facilities that do not involve medical exposure of a patient.

Annex X lists "performance indicators" which are a set of specific factors that aid early identification of authorised users with the potential for degraded safety performance. These indicators may be useful to inspectors during commissioning and routine inspections.

# 2. ADMINISTRATIVE ADVICE TO FACILITATE AUTHORIZATION, INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT

# 2.1. GENERAL

Although constituting neither detailed nor complete procedures, the following advice provides actions, techniques and points of vigilance which if followed can facilitate regulatory authorization, inspection and enforcement processes. Some of the advice is distilled from years of experience with questions and issues that commonly arise. Other advice is derived from years of learning how best to undertake certain tasks. Some of the advice is aimed at a specific task or individuals involved with the task, e.g. pre-inspection preparation by a regulatory inspector. However, by having all parties which are involved in some aspect of the regulatory process being aware of such advice, some potential problems can be avoided.

# 2.2. CONDUCT OF REGULATORY STAFF

# 2.2.1. Professionalism

The term professionalism includes a number of attributes, several of which should be particularly discernible to the regulated community in its dealings with the staff. Staff members should be knowledgeable within their sphere of responsibility and recognize the limitations of their technical knowledge. They should avoid biases and be as objective as possible in discharging their responsibilities. They should be open and receptive to receiving information and opinions from others, and their regulatory positions or decisions should have transparency and clarity. Reflecting the regulatory authority's need for independence from promotional or regulated activities, members of the staff should not engage in, or hold financial interest in, activities which may be construed as a conflict of interest with the performance of regulatory functions. The staff should be formal and friendly, but not familiar, in their transactions with the regulated community.

# 2.2.2. Inquisitiveness

Reviewers and inspectors should have an inquiring disposition and probe to learn more about areas where problems may exist. While many aspects of regulatory review and inspection processes might be straightforward and obvious, care must be taken to avoid becoming superficial in discharging responsibilities.

# 2.2.3. Helpfulness

There is a delicate balance to be struck between providing applicants and authorization holders with information sufficient to implement an adequate protection and safety programme, and becoming their consultant by advising on the details of how best to organise and operate their programmes. Whether an appropriate balance can be established depends on national situations such as the availability of qualified persons to provide advice and assistance outside the regulatory framework. If regulatory staff appear to become consultants and their recommendations are adopted, the user may perceive that the responsibility for operational safety has shifted to the regulatory staff. This should be avoided to the extent practicable.

# 2.2.4. Assertiveness

Staff should be sufficiently confident with their assigned responsibilities so as to discharge them in a positive manner and without ambiguity. This is particularly important for inspectors who might encounter hostile situations or circumstances where their attention may be intentionally diverted.

## 2.2.5. Decision making

Decisions should be timely, particularly if they involve action to correct an unsatisfactory safety situation. Procedures should be established to promptly move a needed decision to the proper level of authority within the regulatory organisation.

# 2.3. APPLICATIONS FOR AUTHORIZATION

#### 2.3.1. Legal person and the representative

The legal person shall bear the responsibility for setting up and implementing the technical and organizational measures that are needed for ensuring protection and safety for the sources for which they are seeking authorization. The legal person may appoint a representative to carry out actions and tasks related to the application, but retains the responsibility for the actions and tasks themselves. In this case, the representative can make commitments on behalf of the legal person on all tasks and actions relating to the application.

The applicant (legal person or the representative) should provide the name of a person who can answer questions about the application, for example the radiation protection officer or a principal user of the sources and radiation devices. This can speed up the authorization process. The reviewer should send any clarification or deficiency letters to the applicant, with a copy to that named person.

# 2.3.2. Application form

The application should address all relevant items specified in the application form. The level of detail provided depends on the nature of the practice. The application must be signed by the legal person or the representative.

# **2.3.3.** Location of the facility

The location of the site where the source is to be used should be specified. A grid reference is acceptable for a facility located far away from an urban area, but a post office box is not acceptable. If the application covers more than one location, then each location should be specified. If multiple locations cannot be identified in advance (e.g. industrial field radiography, well logging), then the location of the facility where the sources are normally stored and where operational information required by the regulations is maintained should be identified.

### 2.3.4. Safety assessment for sources, equipment and devices

The granting of an authorization to use a particular sealed source or device can be simplified if the applicant provides the relevant certifications of compliance with applicable international standards (e.g. the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)) or equivalent standards which have been accepted by the regulatory authority. The basis for each certification should be appropriately documented by the manufacturer. The regulatory authority may want to accept summaries of safety assessments made by the regulatory authority in another country if they are readily available (e.g. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC) summaries of sealed source and device safety assessments). The applicant should clearly identify the make and model number of sources and devices requested in the application.

The application should include arrangements for when a radioactive source needs to be exchanged. The applicant should make arrangements for the old source to be disposed of by an appropriate authorised route (e.g. returning to the manufacturer or supplier). The source exchange should be undertaken by the user only if its authorization specifically provides for it, or by another authorised organisation.

The application should include arrangements for when a radioactive source is no longer required. The applicant should arrange for an authorised organisation to dispose of the source, or for the manufacturer or supplier to take responsibility for its disposal. Alternatively, the source may be transferred to another authorised user with the approval of the regulatory authority.

The reviewer may check with an available source and device registry whether the sources and devices whose summaries of safety assessment have been presented by the applicant have been authorized (registered) for distribution in the country which conducted the safety assessment (although many sources and devices, particularly older ones, may not be on such a registry).

The reviewer should check that the applicant's arrangements for source exchange or disposal are appropriate.

The reviewer should also check that the applicant has been supplied with the appropriate documentation (e.g. special form certification, safe use and maintenance manuals). If the source, equipment, or device is second hand the applicant should also obtain copies of maintenance records from the previous owner.

# 2.4. REGULATORY INSPECTION

# 2.4.1. Advice for management of regulatory authorities

An inspection to assess the status of compliance with regulatory requirements and safety of an authorized operation should be based on direct observation of work activities, interviews with workers, independent measurements of radiation and contamination levels, and review of records. In addition, the review and inspection processes should be closely coupled, with reviewers of the application and inspectors exchanging experiences.

# 2.4.2. Advice for inspectors

## Preparation

Good preparation before the inspection is essential. The inspector should review the documents submitted with the application, such as the safety assessment, and the history of the facility (e.g. past inspection reports, unresolved issues from the last inspection, past violations). Appropriate monitoring instruments to measure radiation and contamination levels should be obtained as necessary. The inspector should ensure that they take their own appropriate personal protective equipment and personal dosimeters, if required.

An audit plan for the inspection of the organization's safety programme should be prepared. The plan should prioritise the inspection of potential problem areas in the facility. The preparation should include a decision as to whether the applicant/licensee should be notified in advance of the inspection.

#### Unannounced inspections

The advantage of an unannounced inspection is that it provides the opportunity to see the facility operating under its usual conditions. The disadvantages are that the key personnel may not be available, or part of the facility may not be operating.

Knowledge of the practice should help to optimize the timing of unannounced inspections.

#### Entrance briefing

When first arriving at the facility the inspector should inform the most senior manager available at the facility about the purpose and scope of the inspection

### Inspection

At the beginning of the first inspection, the inspector should tour the facility to become familiar with its general layout and operation. Housekeeping of the facility should be observed. Although it is not a regulatory requirement, housekeeping may be an indirect indicator of how the user's radiation safety programme is being conducted. A review of some records (e.g. dosimetry, area surveys, source inventory) can be beneficial at this stage. The facility and operating programmes should then be inspected in detail to determine whether they conform to those described in the application.

The inspector should verify that the staff present are as described in the application.

The inspector should allow sufficient time to thoroughly review all appropriate records. These should be up to date and reflect the real situation within the facility.

The inspector should interview key members of the staff, from operational through management levels, to elicit information which helps the inspector assess the status of protection and safety.

# Exit briefing

The inspector should inform the senior management about observations and conclusions drawn from the inspection. The inspector should note any response to these observations and conclusions as may be expressed by senior management.

# 2.4.3. Frequency of inspections

The frequency of routine inspections for each facility should be planned according to the hazards and risks associated with the operation of that facility and its previous compliance history. Other factors such as the performance indicators described in Annex II may influence the frequency of inspections.

# 2.4.4. Inspection of field operations

The use of portable or mobile devices should be inspected at sites of use. This may require careful timing in order that the inspection coincide with operations taking place at the site.

#### 2.5. ENFORCEMENT

Documentation of the enforcement process is very important. Documentation should include: infractions, and other conditions which compromise protection and safety, found during an inspection; enforcement actions; sanctions or other regulatory initiatives to correct unsatisfactory conditions; the authorised user's response to such initiatives, including corrective actions; and the regulatory authority's analysis of the acceptability of the response.

The regulatory authority should anticipate and consider the potential effects, in addition to the ones intended, that an enforcement action might produce. It can give rise to a situation with a greater negative impact on economic, health or safety issues than the improvement gained through the enforcement action. An example is the potential detriment to patients whose therapy is interrupted due to enforcement action which closes a therapy facility.

# 3. DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF STANDARD SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS

# 3.1. GENERAL

Assessments to determine the status of protection and safety for radiation source practices have many facets. They include consideration of the design, construction and operation of sources and related facilities and equipment as they pertain to normal and potential exposure. They also include consideration of management systems and procedures to safely handle sources, to operate equipment, to monitor radiation protection, to implement a quality assurance program and to handle emergencies. Standard safety assessment plans facilitate a systematic approach to performing the assessment. A standard safety assessment plan is a tool that can be applied to most users within a practice.

The items identified in standard safety assessment plans are derived from regulations as they relate to a specific practice, practice specific guidance documents, and operational and regulatory experience. The plans may consist of simple checklists of items to be covered in an assessment to more sophisticated ones which help to distinguish between the acceptable and the unacceptable. Two related standard safety assessment plans are often used for any specific practice: one for the preparation of an application for authorization to engage in a radiation source practice and for the review of an application by regulatory staff; and one for the conduct of regulatory inspections.

# 3.2. THE ROLE OF STANDARD SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS

Standard safety assessment plans contribute to the efficacy, quality and efficiency of the regulatory process.

The regulatory authority should have a quality assurance programme to ensure that the Authority's responsibilities under its legislative mandate are being adequately discharged. A standard safety assessment plan is a quality control mechanism in that it can help ensure that regulatory requirements important to protection and safety will be considered and not overlooked.

Use of standard safety assessment plans should also contribute to efficiency and reduce regulatory costs. The plans, if also shared with applicants, can better ensure that applications are complete, thus reducing the amount of time and effort the regulatory authority and

applicants might otherwise need to spend communicating about deficiencies in the application.

The plans also keep regulatory staff focused on key safety issues related to a particular practice. They are particularly helpful in situations where members of the regulatory staff may have an adequate general background in radiation protection and safety but are not familiar with the details of a particular practice which they may be required to consider. Given the staffing levels of typical regulatory organizations compared to the number of types of radiation source practices, there is often little opportunity for the staff members to become specialized in particular types of practices. Rather, they usually have a general knowledge of many types of practices.

# 3.3. DEVELOPMENT OF SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS

It is important at the outset of preparing a standard safety assessment plan to have firmly in mind the individual who will use the document. A very simple plan which only identifies topics to be addressed in the assessment might assist an applicant to prepare an application and the regulatory staff to review the submitted application equally. Such a plan usually consists of a sample check list. If a plan is expanded to include information about technical detail required for a particular aspect of the assessment and criteria to distinguish between the acceptable and the unacceptable, the plans might be specialized either for use in the preparation of applications or for the regulatory authority in the conduct of the regulatory authorization review or inspection.

The way in which requirements within a plan are expressed or the way in which questions are formulated are very critical to conveying what is needed or expected, and will vary depending on who is intended to be the primary user. For example, a plan which forms an outline for an inspection might allow for a simple yes or no, e.g. "Are the ... facilities as described in the application approved by the regulatory authority." However, a simple yes or no would not do for eliciting information about safety systems from an applicant. A plan to be followed in the preparation of an application might have the following statement with respect to facilities: "Describe the safety system which will be installed to prevent accidental entry to the radiation room. (Access and interlocks)". The Review Plans in the Annexes are of the descriptive type while the Inspection Checklists are mainly in the "yes" or "no" format. In practice the regulatory authority might refer both to the more prescriptive checklist and the descriptive application during application review.

Subjects to be addressed in a standard safety assessment plan must be based upon regulatory requirements. Such requirements often require interpretation to provide specificity to the standard safety assessment plan. The IAEA's Safety Guides which cover specific practices (e.g. commercial product irradiation) or specialized topics (e.g. control of occupational exposure) can be very helpful in this regard. References which link the relevant regulation and supplemental guides to a particular subject covered in the plan can help the user distinguish between what is acceptable and what is unacceptable. Similar information about acceptability can be included in the plan itself, but it adds to the complexity of the plan and may be unnecessary for many who use the plan.

The plan should be as complete as possible, otherwise gaps in the assessment or misunderstandings as to what is required could occur. However, the scope of the plan does not necessarily need to encompass all protection and safety aspects for a particular source use if these have been evaluated elsewhere. For example, the design and construction of sealed radiation sources and associated devices are often subject to generic safety evaluations performed by the manufacturer and approved by the regulatory authority. If so, an applicant requesting authorization to use such a source or device need only properly identify the source or device to be used, and the safety aspects of their design and construction would not need to be included in the applicant's assessment plan. Plans must be reviewed from time to time and modified as necessary to maintain them up to date with changes in technology or regulatory requirements.

Finally, the plan should be matched to the anticipated skill level of the user. The lower the skill level, the greater the need for specificity as to what needs to be addressed and the need to break major components of the plan into sub-components.

# 3.4. CAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS FOR USE OF STANDARD SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS

Standard safety assessment plans are typically developed within the boundaries of regulatory requirements. Not all protection and safety issues can be foreseen and addressed in regulations, particularly if the regulations are very prescriptive. Also, the interpretation of regulations as applied to a specific practice might overlook a unique safety issue related to a particular use. Furthermore, compliance with all applicable regulations might only partially reflect the safety status of authorized operations. There are situations or circumstances not usually addressed directly by regulations which might make, or indicate, vulnerability to degraded safety performance or accidents. Poor housekeeping, high turn over of staff or financial instability, are examples of such situations.

The cautionary note to bear in mind is that use of standard safety assessment plans is not a substitute for inquisitiveness and professionalism in approaches to protection and safety. Plans are generally applicable but do not necessarily cover all factors at any specific facility which can bear on protection and safety. Protection and safety assessments should go beyond assessments for compliance with regulations. To do so requires professional observation and judgement.

## 3.5. USE OF PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

The term "performance indicator" is used to denote a specific set of circumstances that aid in the identification of radiation source users with potential for degraded safety performance. In this sense, they are negative performance indicators. Unlike "performance indicators" sometimes employed in nuclear reactor programmes which are mainly based on large amounts of information about equipment performance, the kind of information available in radiation source practices are usually early subjective warnings of degraded performance and are mainly management related, e.g. insufficient staffing or a poor record retrieval system.

A list of performance indicators for radiation source users is contained in Annex X. The list was developed on the basis of inspections, and accident and incident investigations, within a large national regulatory programme. The performance indicators are not in themselves regulatory infractions but are often found in conjunction with them.

Although subjective and outside the bounds of regulations, it is desirable to have some provision for the use of performance indicators in standard safety assessment plans covering regulatory inspections. Although the regulatory authority might not be able to take formal enforcement action on the basis of a performance indicator, it can be used as a basis to inform the authorized user of the need to improve. .

# **ANNEXES I-X**

· · · ·

## Annex I

# SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR INDUSTRIAL IRRADIATION FACILITIES

This annex has five exhibits which include two application forms and three checklists for inspection.

- (1) Example I.A: Application for authorization and review plan for a gamma irradiator facility
- (2) Example I.B: Application for authorization and review plan for an electron irradiator facility
- (3) Example I.C: Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of panoramic gamma irradiation facilities
- (4) Example I.D: Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of self-contained gamma irradiation facilities
- (5) example i.e: Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of electron irradiation facilities.

References that may be useful to the regulatory authority and/or to licensees and registrants are listed in the bibliography at the end of the annex. The list is divided into references generally applicable for radiation safety and protection and those which may have particular relevance to irradiation facilities.

# **Example I.A**

# APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION AND REVIEW PLAN FOR A GAMMA IRRADIATOR FACILITY

#### TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION

\_\_\_\_\_ New application

- \_\_\_\_\_ Amendment to existing authorization number:\_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Renewal of authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_

#### PURPOSE OF APPLICATION

\_\_\_\_\_ Construction (Complete Sections I through III)

\_\_\_\_\_ Import/Purchase (Complete Sections I and II)

\_\_\_\_\_ Use/Begin operation (Complete Sections I through IV)

You may refer to previous submissions by date and application or authorization number(s)

The legal person who will be responsible for using any sealed source or radiation generator must, unless the source is exempted, submit the following information to the regulatory authority.

## **I-GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### I-1. Name and address of organization:

Main address	Mailing address (if different)	Address of use (if different)

#### I-2. Name and information about qualified experts:

Expertise: Radiation protection officer	Expertise:
Name:	
Degree:	Degree:
Certification:	
Experience:	
Telephone number	
Expertise:	Expertise:
Name:	
Degree:	
Certification:	
Experience:	Experience:

I-3.	The responsible representative of the legal per	son:
Name:		Telephone number
Title:		Facsimile number
		e-mail address

# I-4. Proposed date of installation and/or commissioning of facilities and equipment:

# SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

Signature of the authorized representative of the legal person Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_

Notes:

1. The regulatory authority may require additional information to fully consider this application prior to issuing an authorization.

2. In the event that all the above information is not available at the time of application, the regulatory authority may issue an authorization limiting the applicant to import, acquire, or store radiation sources, or construct facilities. Complete information will be required from the applicant prior to authorising use of the radiation sources.

### **II-SOURCES AND IRRADIATOR**

a)	Name and address of: the manufacturer of the irradiator
b)	the supplier of the irradiator (if different from a))
	Name and address of:
a)	the manufacturer of the sources
b)	the supplier of the sources (if different from a))
0)	

#### **Details of radioactive sources:**

		Number of	sources		Total a	activity (Bq)	Source	details	Storage
Radionuclides	per pencil	per module	per rack	Total	Initial	At installation	Model no(s)	Designation	(wet/dry)

#### II-4. Standards

Are the sources manufactured, prototype tested, and subject to quality control provisions of standards recognised by national or international standard setting organisations (e.g. ISO 2919)? If so, identify the standards and any applicable classification numbers.

#### **III-FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT**

In an attachment to this application, describe the irradiator facilities, including:

#### III-1. Location of the facility

Provide a detailed location of the facility.

#### III-2. Layout of the facility

Describe factors such as the layout of the facility and its immediate surroundings, building materials, alarms, shielding, engineering controls such as interlock and warning safety devices, and remote handling tools (Safety Series No. 107). Attach a detailed sketch or drawing of the facility showing the above details. Include on the drawings any penetrations or openings in the shielding materials such as conduits or ventilation ducts. Include evaluation of the ground surface and adverse environmental conditions that may cause harm to the facility (e.g.

seismic history, strong winds, air crashes). Controlled and supervised areas should be clearly identified on the drawings.

#### III-3. Safety assessments

Taking account of shielding, provide calculations of maximum dose rates in all areas outside the facility (specify all assumptions, e.g. number of sources, activity). Provide estimates of the magnitude of expected doses to persons during normal operations. Identify the probability and magnitude of potential exposures arising from accidents or incidents.

#### III-4. Safety system

- a) Describe the overall safety system which will be used to ensure the safe operation of the irradiator (e.g. design features, defence in depth, layout). Further describe, in detail, the safety systems for preventing access to the irradiation room whilst the source is exposed and for warning of unsafe conditions (e.g. interlocks, installed monitors).
- b) Attach the manufacturer's specifications of that system (Safety Series No. 107).

#### III-5. Personal protective equipment

Describe any personal protective equipment that will be provided:

# **IV-RADIATION PROTECTION AND SAFETY PROGRAMME**

In an attachment to this application, describe the radiation protection programme, including:

#### IV-1. Organisational structure

- a) Describe your organisational and management control systems, including assignment of responsibilities and clear lines of authority related to radiation safety. In particular include: staffing levels, equipment selection, other assignments of the radiation protection officer, authority of the radiation protection officer to stop unsafe operations, personnel training, maintenance of records, and how problems affecting safety are identified and corrected.
- b) Identify the authorised users, qualified experts, and radiation protection officer by name and include their training, education, experience and qualifications. (Note: the authorised user and/or radiation protection officer may be the same individual).
- c) Confirm that training will include: explanation of radiation hazards and effects, explanation of written procedures, use of equipment (e.g. instrumentation), meaning of warning signals, and a method to confirm adequacy of training (testing or demonstrations).

#### IV-2. Workplace monitoring, area classification and individual monitoring

- a) Describe your programme for monitoring the workplace (BSS, I.37-I.40), including: the quantities to be measured, where and when the measurements are to be made, the measurement methods and procedures, and reference levels and the actions to be taken if they are exceeded.
- b) Describe your policies and procedures for classification of controlled and supervised areas. (BSS, I.21-I.25).
- c) Describe personal dosimeters provided to workers and your policies for assigning dosimetry to individual workers (BSS, I.32-I.36). Describe your policy for reviewing individual doses, including reference levels and actions to be taken in exceeded.

Name and address of dosimetry service: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Denote type:

i) Film\_

- ii) ThermoLuminescent dosimeter (TLD)\_\_\_\_\_
- iii) Direct reading dosimeter (DRD)
- iv) other: \_\_\_\_

#### IV-3. Local rules and supervision

- a) Describe your local rules and procedures regarding: investigation or authorised levels, protective measures and safety provisions, providing adequate supervision, providing workers information regarding health risks due to occupational exposure, and emergency planning instruction (BSS, I.26-I.27).
- b) Provide copies of your operating and safety procedures including: area access control, entry procedures, product entry and exit, source inventory and leak testing, etc.

- c) Describe your training program to ensure that all appropriate personnel are adequately trained in the correct operating procedures and how their actions may affect safety (BSS, I.27).
- d) Describe your policies regarding female workers who become pregnant (notification, adaption of working conditions to protect foetus/embryo) and the instructions you will provide to them (BSS, I.16-I.17 and I.27).
- e) Describe your programme of health surveillance based on general principles of occupational health and designed to assess the initial and continuing fitness of workers for their intended tasks (BSS, I.41-I.43).

#### IV-4. Quality assurance

- a) Describe your program for ensuring that regulatory radiation safety requirements are addressed and satisfied.
- b) Describe your program to periodically review procedures, maintain procedures current and available, and your procedure modification process.
- c) Describe your programme for optimising occupational and public exposures to levels as low as reasonably achievable.
- d) Describe your programme of periodic maintenance and testing (safety interlocks, radiation meters, hoist cable and guide cable, etc.). Attach the manufacturers instructions.
- e) Describe service arrangements with other organisations and qualified experts.

#### IV-5. Transportation of radioactive material

If you will be transporting or shipping new or used sources, describe your arrangements for preparation and transport of packages containing radioactive sources (IAEA Safety Standards Series No. ST-1). These procedures should address: documentation of package certification, package surveys, transfer/receipt documents, and details of shipments preparation.

## IV-6. Emergency procedures

Provide your emergency procedures to address emergencies such as potential damage to the source, loss of source shielding, stuck sources or substantial accidental exposure of an individual. If other emergencies are envisaged, please provide additional appropriate emergency procedures. In all cases the magnitude of the hazard should be evaluated. Any off-site consequences should also be evaluated. Local emergency services (e.g. fire, police) may need to be provided with copies of the emergency procedures.

## IV-7. Transfer or disposal of radioactive sources

Describe arrangements for transfer or disposal of spent radioactive sources.

#### **IV-8.** System of records (BSS; 2.40, I.44-I.49), including:

- a) Disposal of spent sources.
- b) Personnel exposure
  - i) current records
  - ii) prior work history
- c) Area surveys
  - i) dose or dose rate
  - ii) contamination
- d) Instrument tests and calibrations
- e) Tests for radioactive sealed source leakage.
- f) Inventory of sources and accountability
- g) Audits and reviews of radiation safety program
- h) Incident and accident investigation reports
- i) Maintenance and repair work
- j) Facility modifications
- k) Training provided
- 1) Evidence of health surveillance of workers
- m) Transportation

# **Example I.B**

# APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION AND REVIEW PLAN FOR AN ELECTRON IRRADIATOR FACILITY

#### TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION

\_\_\_\_\_ New application

- \_\_\_\_\_ Amendment to existing authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Renewal of authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_

#### PURPOSE OF APPLICATION

\_\_\_\_\_ Construction (Complete Sections I through III)

\_\_\_\_\_ Import/Purchase (Complete Sections I and II)

\_\_\_\_\_ Use/Begin operation (Complete Sections I through IV)

You may refer to previous submissions by date and application or authorization number(s)

The legal person who will be responsible for using any radiation generator must, unless the source is exempted, submit the following information to the regulatory authority.

#### **I-GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### I-1. Name and address of organisation:

Main address	Mailing address (if different)	Address of use (if different)

#### II-1. Name and information about qualified experts:

Expertise: Radiation protection officer	Expertise:
Name:	Name:
Degree:	
Certification:	
Experience:	Experience:
Telephone number	
Expertise:	Expertise:
Name:	Name:
Degree:	Degree:
Certification:	Certification:
Experience:	
	· · · ·

# I-3. The responsible representative of the legal person:

Name:	Telephone number
Title:	Facsimile number
	e-mail address

I-4. Proposed date of installation and/or commissioning of facilities and equipment:

# SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

Signature of the authorised representative of the legal person

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Notes:

1. The regulatory authority may require additional information to fully consider this application prior to issuing an authorization.

2. In the event that all the above information is not available at the time of application, the regulatory authority may issue an authorization limiting the applicant to import, acquire, or store radiation sources, or construct facilities. Complete information will be required from the applicant prior to authorising use of the radiation sources.

# **II-ACCELERATOR**

<ul><li>Name and address of:</li><li>the manufacturer of the accelerator</li></ul>	
b) the supplier of the accelerator (if diffe	Ferent from a)
	erent from a

- a) Maximum energy and type of radiation to be generated:
- b) Voltage: \_\_\_\_\_

c) Current: \_\_\_\_\_

#### II-4. Standards

Is the accelerator manufactured, prototype tested, and subject to quality control provisions of standards recognised by national or international standard setting organisations (e.g. IEC 976, IEC 977 )? If, so please identify the standards and any applicable classification numbers.

## **III-FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT**

In an attachment to this application, describe the accelerator facilities, including:

#### III-1. Location of the facility

Provide a detailed location of the facility.

#### Ш.2 Layout of the facility

Describe factors such as the layout of the facility and its immediate surroundings, building materials, alarms, shielding, and engineering controls such as interlock and warning safety devices (Safety Series No. 107). Attach a detailed sketch or drawing of the facility showing the above details. Include on the drawings any penetrations or openings in the shielding materials such as conduits or ventilation ducts. Controlled and supervised areas should be clearly identified on the drawings.

#### Safety assessments III-3.

Taking account of shielding, provide calculations of maximum dose rates in all areas outside the facility (specify all assumptions, e.g. energy, electron flux). Provide estimates of the magnitude of expected doses to persons during normal operations. Identify the probability and magnitude of potential exposures arising from accidents or incidents.

#### III-4. Safety system

- a) Describe the overall safety system which will be used to ensure the safe operation of the irradiator (e.g. design features, defence in depth, layout). Further describe, in detail, the safety systems for preventing access to the irradiation room whilst radiation is being generated and for warning of unsafe conditions (e.g. interlocks, installed monitors).
- b) Attach the manufacturer's specifications of that system (Safety Series No. 107).

#### Personal protective equipment III-5

Describe any personal protective equipment that will be provided.

# **IV-RADIATION PROTECTION AND SAFETY PROGRAMME**

In an attachment to this application, describe the irradiator facilities, including:

#### IV-1. Organisational structure

- a) Describe your organisational and management control systems, including assignment of responsibilities and clear lines of authority related to radiation safety. In particular include: staffing levels, equipment selection, other assignments of the radiation protection officer, authority of the radiation protection officer to stop unsafe operations, personnel training, maintenance of records, and how problems affecting safety are identified and corrected.
- b) Identify the authorised users, qualified experts, and radiation protection officer by name and include their training, education, experience and qualifications. (Note: the authorised user and/or radiation protection officer may be the same individual).
- c) Confirm that training will include: explanation of radiation hazards and effects, explanation of written procedures, use of equipment (e.g. instrumentation), meaning of warning signals, and a method to confirm adequacy of training (testing or demonstrations).

#### IV-2. Workplace monitoring, area classification and individual monitoring

- a) Describe your programme for monitoring the workplace (BSS, I.37-I.40), including: the quantities to be measured, where and when the measurements are to be made, the measurement methods and procedures, and reference levels and the actions to be taken if they are exceeded.
- b) Describe your policies and procedures for classification of controlled and supervised areas. (BSS, I.21-I.25).
- c) Describe personal dosimeters provided to workers and your policies for assigning dosimetry to individual workers (BSS, I.32-I.36). Describe your policy for reviewing individual doses, including reference levels and actions to be taken in exceeded.

Name and address of dosimetry service: \_\_\_\_\_

Denote type:

- i) Film \_
- ii) ThermoLuminescent dosimeter (TLD)
- iii) Direct reading dosimeter (DRD)
- iv) other: \_\_\_\_\_

## IV-3. Local rules and supervision

- a) Describe your local rules and procedures regarding: investigation or authorised levels, protective measures and safety provisions, providing adequate supervision, providing workers information regarding health risks due to occupational exposure, and emergency planning instruction (BSS, I.26-I.27).
- b) Provide copies of your operating and safety procedures including: area access control, entry procedures, product entry and exit, etc.
- c) Describe your training program to ensure all appropriate personnel are adequately trained in the operating procedures and how their actions may affect safety (BSS, I.27).
- d) Describe your policies regarding female workers who become pregnant (notification, adoption of working conditions to protect foetus/embryo and the instructions you will provide to them (BSS, I.16-I.17 and I.27).
- e) Describe your programme of health surveillance based on general principles of occupational health and designed to assess the initial and continuing fitness of workers for their intended tasks (BSS, I.41-I.43).

#### IV-4. Quality assurance

- a) Describe your programme for ensuring that regulatory radiation safety requirements are addressed and satisfied.
- b) Describe your program to periodically review procedures, maintain procedures current and available, and your procedure modification process.
- c) Describe your programme for optimising occupational and public exposures to levels as low as reasonably achievable.
- d) Describe your programme of periodic maintenance and testing (safety interlocks, radiation meters, etc.). Attach the manufacturers instructions.
- e) Describe service arrangements with other organisations and qualified experts.

# IV-5. Emergency procedures

Provide your emergency procedures to address emergencies such as potential damage to the safety control systems, loss of shielding, or substantial accidental exposure of an individual. If other emergencies are envisaged, please provide additional appropriate emergency procedures. In all cases the magnitude of the hazard should be evaluated. Any off-site consequences should also be evaluated. Local emergency services (e.g. fire, police) may need to be provided with copies of the emergency procedures.

# IV-6. System of records (BSS; 2.40, I.44-I.49), including:

## a) Personnel exposure

- i) current records
- ii) prior work history
- b) Area surveys (dose or dose rate)
- c) Instrument tests and calibrations
- d) Audits and reviews of radiation safety program
- e) Incident and accident investigation reports
- f) Maintenance and repair work
- g) Facility modifications
- h) Training provided
- i) Evidence of health surveillance of workers

# **Example I.C**

# CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTION OF PANORAMIC GAMMA IRRADIATION FACILITIES

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme review/inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II–VI.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

# **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

I-1.	Name of the institution:	
I-2.	Address of facility:	
I-3.	Telephone/facsimile/e-mail:	Voice: Fax: e-mail:
I-4.	Authorization number:	
I-5.	Name and qualification of the radiation protection officer:	Name:
I-6.	Name and qualifications of any qualified experts (engineers, physicists, etc.) retained:	
	Name:	Name:
	Degree:	Degree:
	Certification:	Certification:
	Experience:	Experience:
		Name: Degree: Certification: Experience:
I-7.	Name and title of the responsible representative	

1-7. Name and title of the responsible representat of the legal person:

# **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

#### II-1. Irradiator design

Compare the irradiator and sources with application descriptions and design specifications.

a)		rradiator and radiation sources as described in the application approved by the y authority?	Yes	No
b)	Irradiato	· model/type:		
c)	Irradiato	· identification number:		
d)	Radionuc	lide:		
	i)	Model no(s). of the source(s):		
	ii)	Initial activity of sources:		
	iii)	Number of sources installed:		
	iv)	Per pencil:		
	v)	Per module:		
	vi)	Per rack:		
e)	Maximur	n design activity:		
f)	Total act	ivity installed:		
g)	Date inst	alled:		
De	escribe any	v irradiator differences or modifications:		

#### II-2. Facility design

Describe any facility differences or modifications from those approved by the regulatory authority and considered in the safety assessment (e.g. shielding design, building materials, installed fire protection and controls, etc.):

a)	Was a saf	ety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any mod	ifications?	Yes	No
b)	Is protecti	ion of the sources from adverse environmental conditions (heat,	provided?	Yes	No
	moisture,	etc.):	working?	Yes	No
c)	Is fire det	ection and protection in the irradiation and source storage	provided?	Yes	No
	areas:		working?	Yes	No
d)	Is adequa	te ventilation in the irradiation and source (dry) storage areas:	provided?	Yes	No
	•		working?	Yes	No
e)	For wet st	torage:			
	i) leakproof liner in good condition?				No
	ii) good water clarity?			Yes	No
	iii) pool clear of debris?			Yes	No
	iv)	water treatment system	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
	v)	water level controls and re-supply	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
	vi)	pool guard and cover	provided?	Yes	No
	,		working?	Yes	No
	vii)	harness anchor points		Yes	No
	viii)	long emergency hook		Yes	No
	ix)	long handling tools (must not be hollow or filled with air)		Yes	No

a)	Are the safety controls for irradiator operation and storage of radiation sources described in the application approved by the regulatory authority?	urces as	Yes	
b)	If not, was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to an modifications?	у	Yes	
c)	Are electrical or mechanical interlocks (e.g. plug, protective barriers,	provided?	Yes	
	material entry/exit):	working?	Yes	
d)	Is automatic source return to shielded position (e.g. power failure):	provided?	Yes	
		working?	Yes	
e)	Is manual source return to shielded position (e.g. power failure):	provided?	Yes	
		working?	Yes	
f)	Are emergency stop buttons:	provided?	Yes	
		working?	Yes	
g)	Is a radiation monitor inside the entrance to the irradiation room with	provided?	Yes	
	measurements displayed outside the room and interlocked to the entrance door:	working?	Yes	
h)	Is a radiation monitor at the material product exit port:	provided?	Yes	
		working?	Yes	
	i) Is an interlock with the radiation monitor to shut down product	provided?	Yes	
	movement	working?	Yes	
	ii) Are alarms to alert operators of jams of the product conveyor system	provided?	Yes	
		working?	Yes	
i)	Is a radiation monitor for the water circulation system:	provided?	Yes	
		working?	Yes	
j)	Is a shroud or other barrier to protect the source rack and sources from	provided?	Yes	
	interference by product on the conveyor system:	working?	Yes	
k)	Are position indicators for source rack:	provided?	Yes	
		working?	Yes	
1)	Is key control for electrical/mechanical connections:	provided?	Yes	
		working?	Yes	
m)	Is interlocked access control (entry by an intruder causes the sources to	provided?	Yes	
	return to the shielded position)	working?	Yes	
n)	Is interlocked access control (safety delay timer with alarm before	provided?	Yes	
	sources can move from the shielded position)	working?	Yes	
0)	Is a means of escape or communications (e.g. bell telephone) from	provided?	Yes	
	within the irradiation room	working?	Yes	

# II-4. Warning systems

a) Are distinctive signals (e.g. visible and/or audible) and posted explanations inside and out radiation room for:					
	i)	source exposed	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
			local		
			language?	Yes	No
	ii)	source in transit	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
			local		
			language?	Yes	No
	iii)	source safe	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
			local		
			language?	Yes	No
b)	Are wa	arning notices (e.g. illuminated signs, written signs, posters):	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
			local		
			language?	Yes	No

# II-5. Safety operations -management

~	berutions manugement		
a)	Is management knowledgeable of the certificate of authorization and	Yes	No
	its restrictions and requirements?		
b)	Does management provide adequate staffing levels?	Yes	No
c)	Has management provided the radiation protection officer authority to	Yes	No
	stop unsafe operations?		
d)	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training	Yes	No
	(time and money)?		
e)	Does management provide adequate equipment?	Yes	No
f)	Does management provide for periodic program reviews and scheduled?	Yes	No
	recommendations? performed?	Yes	No
	i) Date of the last program review:		
	ii) Status of recommendations:		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

# II-6. Safety operations — technical

a)	Does the radiation protection officer (RPO) have adequate knowledge a Note: In a small organisation the manager and the RPO may be the same		Yes	No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?	,	Yes	No
c)	Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory auth provisions of the certificate of authorization?	nority and the	Yes	No
d)	I) Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not kept too busy with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial help)?		Yes	No
e)	Does RPO maintain knowledge of activities of workers using radiation sources?		Yes	No
f)	Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of workers?		Yes	No
g)			Yes	No
h)	Are there provisions for inventory of sources and accountability:	procedures? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
i)	Are there provisions for audits and reviews of radiation safety	procedures?	Yes	No
	program:	Performed?	Yes	No

# II-7. Investigations and quality assurance

a)	Were there any incidents or accidents?		Yes	No
b)	If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No
c)	c) Were safety assessments reviewed or made based on lessons learned from any accident or accidents at similar facilities?		Yes	No
d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	procedures? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
e)	Is maintenance and repair work in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations?	scheduled? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	developed?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No

# **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure".

### **III-1.** Classification of areas

	And controlled encodemonated		Vaa	N.
a)	Are controlled areas demarcated?		Yes	No
b)	Are approved signs at access points?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local	Yes	No
		language?		
c)	Is radiation source storage at a physically defined location (e.g. pool, room)?	pit, hot cell,	Yes	No
	i) locked/secured location with key control?		Yes	No
	ii) radiation warning notices?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
	iii) proper shielding (e.g. individual containers, enclosure)?		Yes	No
	iv) reserved only for radiation sources?		Yes	No
d)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
e)	Are approved signs at access points?	needed?	Yes	No
		provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

# III-2. Local rules and supervision

a)	Are rules established in writing, in a local language?		Yes	No	
b)	Do rules include investigation levels and authorised levels and the pro-	ocedure to be	Yes	No	
	followed when a level is exceeded?				
c)	Are workers instructed in the implementing procedures?		Yes	No	
d)	Do workers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, procedure	es, protective	Yes	No	
	measures and safety provisions are followed?				
e)	Specifically, are operating and working procedures for:				
	i) entry into the irradiation room	provided?	Yes	No	
		adequate?	Yes	No	
		followed?	Yes	No	
	ii) product loading	provided?	Yes	No	
		adequate?	Yes	No	
		followed?	Yes	No	
	i)ii source loading and manipulation	provided?	Yes	No	
		adequate?	Yes	No	
		followed?	Yes	No	
	iv) responding to alarms	provided?	Yes	No	
		adequate?	Yes	No	
		followed?	Yes	No	
	v) performing repairs to and maintenance of safety systems	provided?	Yes	No	
		adequate?	Yes	No	
		followed?	Yes	No	
	vi) making surveys	provided?	Yes	No	
		adequate?	Yes	No	
		followed?	Yes	No	
## III-3. Monitoring

	nitoring		
a)	Does the authorised organisation provide personal dosimeters?	Yes	No
b)	Are the dosimeters:		
	i) Worn properly?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Exchanged at required frequency?	Yes	No
c)	Are personnel exposures within limits?	Yes	No
d)	Area and portable survey instruments		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
	iv) Operational check performed before use?	Yes	No
	v) Spare batteries available?	Yes	No
e)	Do the authorised organisation's surveys indicate that the radiation room shielding is	Yes	No
<i>,</i>	adequate and the dose rates around the room meet authorised radiation levels?		
f)	Does the authorised organisation make periodic tests for leakage of radioactive	Yes	No
	materials from sealed sources?		
g)	Is the instrumentation:		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
Rec	ord independent measurements made during the inspection:		
	· · ·		
Typ	e/model no. of survey meter:		
	e last calibrated:		
Do	the inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the authorised	Yes	No
	organisation?		
Doc	ument any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the diff	erent r	esults

## **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

#### **IV-1.** Control of visitors

Are visitors accompanied in controlled area?	Yes	No
Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?	Yes	No
Are there adequate controls over entries into supervised areas and appropriate postings?	Yes	No

## **IV-2.** Sources of exposure

Are the shielding and other protective measures optimised for restricting public exposure	Yes	No
to external sources of radiation?		
Are the floor plans and arrangement of equipment as described in the application and	Yes	No
appropriate considering any public areas adjacent to the installation?		
Have provisions been made to detect and control contamination on irradiated product in	Yes	No
the event of a leaking source?		

#### IV-3. Radioactive waste and discharges

Have provisions been made to transfer the source to an appropriate registrant or licensee		No
or to an authorised waste disposal facility at the end of use?		
If sources are no longer in use and being stored, does the authorised organisation have a		No
plan for timely transfer or disposal of the sources?		
Are there provisions for control of discharges to the environment in the event of	Yes	No
contamination or leakage from a sealed source?		

#### IV-4. Monitoring of public exposure

Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in public areas adjacent to controlled and supervised areas made by the staff or qualified expert?		No
Do surveys shows that the radiation room shielding is adequate and the dose rates outside the controlled and supervised areas meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
Record independent measurements made during the inspection:		

Type/model no. of survey meter:

Date last calibrated:

Are the inspector's independent measurements in agreement with the organisations routine Yes No measurements?

Document any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different results:

## **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V, "Emergency Exposure Situations"

#### V-1. Emergency plan

a)	Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b)	Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
c)	Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and accidents at similar facilities?	Yes	No
d)	Is appropriate emergency equipment available?	Yes	No

#### V-2. Training and exercises

a)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?		Yes	No
b)	Have provisions been made for the plan to be rehearsed at suitable intervals in		Yes	No
	conjunction with any designated emergency response authorities?			
c)	Date of the last rehearsal:			
d)	If appropriate, has prior information been provided to members of the	appropriate?	Yes	No
	public who are reasonably expected to be affected by an accident?	provided?	Yes	No

## VI-RECORDS

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Tests for leakage of radioactive material from sources
- g) Inventory of sources and accountability
- h) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme
- i) Incident and accident investigation reports
- j) Maintenance and repair work
- k) Facility modifications
- 1) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- m) Evidence of health surveillance
- n) Waste disposals
- o) Transportation
  - i) package documentation
  - ii) package surveys
  - iii) transfer/receipt documents
  - iv) details of shipments dispatched
- p) Source exchange/replacement procedures

## **Example I.D**

# CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTION OF SELF-CONTAINED GAMMA IRRADIATION FACILITIES

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme review/inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II–VI.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

#### **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

I-1.	Name of the institution:	
I-2.	Address of facility:	
I-3.	Telephone/facsimile/e-mail:	Voice: Fax: e-mail:
I-4.	Authorization number:	
I-5. I-6.	Name and qualification of the radiation protection officer: Name and qualifications of any qualified experts	Name:
	(engineers, physicists, etc.) retained:	
		Name:
	Degree:	Degree:
	Experience:	Certification: Experience:
		Name: Degree: Certification: Experience:
I-7.	Name and title of the responsible representative of the legal person:	

# **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

### II-1. Irradiator design

a)	Compare the irradiator and sources with application descriptions and design specifications.				
b)	Are the irradiator and radiation sources as described in the application approved by	Yes	No		
	the regulatory authority?				
c)	Irradiator model/type:				
d)	Irradiator identification number				
e)	Radionuclide:				
f)	Model no. of the source:				
	i) Initial activity of sources:				
	ii) Number of sources installed:				
g)	Maximum design activity:				
h)	Total activity installed:				
i)	Date installed:				
j)	Describe any irradiator differences or modifications:				

#### II-2. Facility design

Describe any facility differences or modifications from those approved by the regulatory authority and considered in the safety assessment (e.g. shielding design, building materials, and controls, etc.):

a)	Was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any modifications?		Yes	No
b)	Is protection of the sources from adverse environmental conditions	provided?	Yes	No
	(heat, moisture, etc.):	working?	Yes	No
c)	Is fire detection and protection in the irradiation and source storage	provided?	Yes	No
	areas:	working?	Yes	No
d)	Is adequate ventilation in the irradiation and source (dry) storage areas:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No

## II-3. Safety controls system

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
a)	Are the safety controls for irradiator operation and storage of radiation sources as described in the application approved by the regulatory authority?		Yes	No
b)	If not, was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any modifications?		Yes	No
c)	Are electrical or mechanical interlocks (e.g. plug, protective barriers,	provided?	Yes	No
	material entry/exit):	working?	Yes	No
d)	Is automatic source return to shielded position (e.g. power failure):	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
e)	Is manual source return to shielded position (e.g. power failure):	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
f)	Are emergency stop buttons:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
g)	Is a radiation monitor inside the entrance to the irradiation room with	provided?	Yes	No
	measurements displayed outside the room and interlocked to the entrance door:	working?	Yes	No
h)	Is a radiation monitor at the material product exit port:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
i)	Are position indicators for source rack:	provided?	Yes	No
	-	working?	Yes	No

j)	Is key control for electrical/mechanical connections:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
k)	Are there position indicators for source, shutter, and/or sample holder	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No

# II-4.` Warning systems

a)	Are distinctive signals (e.g. visible and/or audible) and posted explanations inside and outside the radiation room for:						
	i) source exposed provided? Yes No						
			working?	Yes	No		
			local				
			language?	Yes	No		
	ii)	source in transit	provided?	Yes	No		
			working?	Yes	No		
			local				
			language?	Yes	No		
	iii)	source safe	provided?	Yes	No		
			working?	Yes	No		
			local				
			language?	Yes	No		
b)	Are w	arning notices (e.g. illuminated signs, written signs, posters):	provided?	Yes	No		
			working?	Yes	No		
			local				
			language?	Yes	No		

### **II-5.** Safety operations — management

a)	Is management knowledgeable of the certificate of authorization and its restrictions and requirements?	Yes	No
b)	Does management provide adequate staffing levels?	Yes	No
c)	Has management provided the radiation protection officer authority to stop unsafe operations?	Yes	No
d)	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training (time and money)?	Yes	No
e)	Does management provide adequate equipment?	Yes	No
f)	Does management provide for periodic program reviews and scheduled	1? Yes	No
	recommendations? performed	d? Yes	No
	i) Date of the last program review:		
	ii) Status of recommendations:		

## II-6. Safety operations — technical

a)	Does the radiation protection officer (RPO) have adequate knowledge an	nd expertise?	Yes	No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?		Yes	No
c)	Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory auth	nority and the	Yes	No
	provisions of the certificate of authorization?			
d)	Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not l	kept too busy	Yes	No
	with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial hel	p)?		
e)	) Does RPO maintains knowledge of activities of workers using radiation sources?		Yes	No
f)	Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of Workers?		Yes	No
g)	Does the RPO maintain adequate records to demonstrate worker	and public	Yes	No
	protection?			
h)	Are there provisions for inventory of sources and accountability:	procedures?	Yes	No
		performed?	Yes	No
i)	Are there provisions for audits and reviews of radiation safety	procedures?	Yes	No
	program:	performed?	Yes	No

## II-7. Investigations and quality assurance

	congutions and quanty assurance			
a)	Were there any incidents or accidents?		Yes	No
b)	If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No
c)	c) Were safety assessments reviewed or made based on lessons learned from any accident or accidents at similar facilities?		Yes	No
d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	procedures?	Yes	No
		performed?	Yes	No
e)	Is maintenance and repair work in accordance with manufacturer's	scheduled?	Yes	No
	recommendations?	performed?	Yes	No
f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	developed?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No

# **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure".

### **III-1.** Classification of areas

a)	Are controlled areas demarcated?		Yes	No
b)	Are approved signs at access points?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local	Yes	No
		language?		
c)	Is radiation source storage at a physically defined location (e.g. pit, hot	cell, room)?	Yes	No
	i) locked/secured location with key control?		Yes	No
	ii) radiation warning notices?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local	Yes	No
		language?		
	iii) proper shielding (e.g. individual containers, enclosure)?		Yes	No
	iv) reserved only for radiation sources?		Yes	No
d)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
e)	Are approved signs at access points?	needed?	Yes	No
		provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

#### **III-2.** Local rules and supervision

100	cui i uic	s and super vision			
a)	Are ru	les established in writing, in a local language?		Yes	No
b)	Do ru	les include investigation levels and authorised levels and the pr	ocedure to be	Yes	No
	follow	red when a level is exceeded?			
c)	Are w	orkers instructed in the implementing procedures?		Yes	No
d)		orkers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, procedure res and safety provisions are followed?	es, protective	Yes	No
e)		ically, are operating and working procedures for:			
	i)	operating the irradiator	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	ii)	responding to alarms	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	iii)	performing repairs to and maintenance of safety systems	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	iv)	making surveys	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No

## III-3. Monitoring

NO	nitoring		
a)	Does the authorised organisation provide personal dosimeters?	Yes	No
b)	Are the dosimeters:		
	i) Worn properly?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Exchanged at required frequency?	Yes	No
c)	Are personnel exposures within limits?	Yes	No
d)	Area and portable survey instruments		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
	iv) Operational check performed before use?	Yes	No
	v) Spare batteries available?	Yes	No
e)	Do the authorised organisation's surveys indicate that the radiation room shielding is	Yes	No
	adequate and the dose rates around the room meet authorised radiation levels?		
f)	Does the authorised organisation make periodic tests for leakage of radioactive materials from sealed sources?	Yes	No
g)	Is the instrumentation:		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
	ord independent measurements made during the inspection:		
	e/model no. of survey meter: e last calibrated:		
orga	the inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the authorised anisation?		No
Doc	ument any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the differences are agreed upon plan	erent r	esults

# **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

## **IV-1.** Control of visitors

a)	Are visitors accompanied in controlled area?	Yes	No
b)	Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?	Yes	No
c)	Are there adequate controls over entries into supervised areas and appropriate	Yes	No
	postings?		

## **IV-2.** Sources of exposure

a)	Are the shielding and other protective measures optimised for restricting public	Yes	No
	exposure to external sources of radiation?		
b)	Are the floor plans and arrangement of equipment as described in the application and	Yes	No
	appropriate considering any public areas adjacent to the installation?		
c)	Have provisions been made to detect and control contamination in the event of a	Yes	No
	leaking source?		

### IV-3. Radioactive waste and discharges

a)	Have provisions been made to transfer the source to an appropriate registrant or	Yes	No
	licensee or to an authorised waste disposal facility at the end of use?		
b)	If sources are no longer in use and being stored, does the authorised organisation	Yes	No
	have a plan for timely transfer or disposal of the sources?		
c)	Are there provisions for control of discharges to the environment in the event of	Yes	No
	contamination or leakage from a sealed source?		

### IV-4. Monitoring of public exposure

monitoring of public exposure		
a) Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in public areas adjacent to controlled and supervised areas made by the staff or qualified expert?	Yes	No
b) Do surveys shows that the radiation room shielding is adequate and the dose rate outside the controlled and supervised areas meet authorised radiation levels?	s Yes	No
c) Record independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Type/model no. of survey meter:		
Date last calibrated:		
Are the inspector's independent measurements in agreement with the organisations rou measurements?	itine Yes	No
Document any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the	different r	esults:

## **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V "Emergency Exposure Situations".

### V-1. Emergency plan

a)	Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b)	Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
c)	Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and	Yes	No
	accidents at similar facilities?		
d)	Is appropriate emergency equipment available?	Yes	No

### V-2. Training and exercises

a)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?	Yes	No
b)	Have provisions been made for the plan to be rehearsed at suitable intervals in	Yes	No
	conjunction with any designated emergency response authorities?		
c)	Date of the last rehearsal:		

## VI-RECORDS

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Tests for leakage of radioactive material from sources
- g) Inventory of sources and accountability
- h) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme
- i) Incident and accident investigation reports
- j) Maintenance and repair work
- k) Facility modifications
- 1) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- m) Evidence of health surveillance
- n) Waste disposals/source transfers
- o) Transportation
  - i) package documentation
  - ii) package surveys
  - iii) transfer/receipt documents
  - iv) details of shipments dispatched
- p) Source exchange/replacement procedures

## **Example I.E**

# CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTION OF ELECTRON IRRADIATION FACILITIES

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme review/inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II–VI.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

## **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

I-1.	Name of the institution:	
I-2.	Address of facility:	
I-3.	Telephone/facsimile/e-mail:	Voice: Fax: e-mail:
I-4.	Authorization number:	
I-5.	Name and qualification of the radiation protection officer:	Name:
I-6.	Name and qualifications of any qualified experts (engineers, physicists, etc.) retained:	
	Normal	Nama
		Name: Degree:
		Certification:
	Experience:	Experience:
		Name:
		Degree:
		Certification:
		Experience:
I-7.	Name and title of the responsible representative	
± /•	of the legal person:	

## **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

#### II-1. Accelerator design

Is the accelerator as described in the application approved by the regulatory authority?	Yes	No
Model:		
Identification number:		
Type (electron, X ray, other):		
Energy of radiation:		
Describe any differences or modifications:		

#### II-2. Facility design

Describe any facility differences or modifications from those approved by the regulatory authority and considered in the safety assessment (e.g. shielding design, building materials, installed fire protection and controls, etc.):

a)	Was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any mo	odifications?	Yes	No
b)	Is protection of the accelerator from adverse environmental conditions	provided?	Yes	No
	(heat, moisture, etc.):	working?	Yes	No
c)	Is fire detection and protection in the irradiation areas:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
d)	Is adequate ventilation in the irradiation areas:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No

#### II-3. Safety controls system

a)	Are the safety controls for irradiation operations as described in the application approved by the regulatory authority?			No
b)	If not, was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any modifications?		Yes	No
c)	Are electrical or mechanical interlocks (e.g. protective barriers,	provided?	Yes	No
	material entry/exit):	working?	Yes	No
d)	Are emergency stop buttons:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
e)	Is a radiation monitor inside the entrance to the irradiation room with	provided?	Yes	No
	measurements displayed outside the room and interlocked to the	working?	Yes	No
	entrance door:			
f)	Is key control for electrical/mechanical connections (note that the	provided?	Yes	No
	control should cover both the accelerating voltage and the emission	working?	Yes	No
	source since "dark current" from cold filaments has been sufficient to			
	cause serious personnel exposures):			
g)	Is interlocked access control (entry by an intruder causes the electrical	provided?	Yes	No
	power to the accelerator to be shut off)	working?	Yes	No
h)	Is interlocked access control (search and lock-up system before voltage	provided?	Yes	No
	can be supplied to the accelerator)	working?	Yes	No
i)	Is a means of escape or communications (e.g. bell telephone) from	provided?	Yes	No
	within the irradiation room	working?	Yes	No

## II-4. Warning systems

		ystems					
a)	Are separate and distinctive signals (e.g. visible and/or audible) and posted explanations inside and outside the radiation room for:						
	i)	accelerator ready to be energised	provided?	Yes	No		
			working?	Yes	No		
			local				
			language?	Yes	No		
	ii)	accelerator 'ON' (radiation being produced)	provided?	Yes	No		
			working?	Yes	No		
			local				
			language?	Yes	No		
	iii)	accelerator 'OFF'	provided?	Yes	No		
			working?	Yes	No		
			local				
			language?	Yes	No		
b)	Are w	varning notices (e.g. illuminated signs, written signs, posters):	provided?	Yes	No		
			working?	Yes	No		
			local				
			language?	Yes	No		

## II-5. Safety operations — management

a)	Is management knowledgeable of the certificate of authorization and its restriction and requirements?	ns Yes	No	
b)	Does management provide adequate staffing levels?	Yes	No	
c)	Has management provided the radiation protection officer authority to stop unsafe operations?			
d)	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training (time and money)?			
e)	Does management provide adequate equipment?			
f)	Does management provide for periodic program reviews and scheduled?		No	
	recommendations? performed?			
	i) Date of the last program review:			
	ii) Status of recommendations:			

# II-6. <u>Safety operations — technical</u>

a)	Does the radiation protection officer (RPO) have adequate knowledge and expertise?	Yes	No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?	Yes	No
c)	Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory authority and the provisions of the certificate of authorization?	Yes	No
d)	Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not kept too busy with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial help)?	Yes	No
e)	Does RPO maintains knowledge of activities of workers?	Yes	No
f)	Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of Workers?	Yes	No
g)	Does the RPO maintain adequate records to demonstrate worker and public protection?	Yes	No

## II-7. Investigations and quality assurance

	estigations and quanty assurance			
a)	a) Were there any incidents or accidents?			No
b)	If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No
c)	c) Were safety assessments reviewed or made based on lessons learned from any accident or accidents at similar facilities?			No
d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	procedures? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
e)	Is maintenance and repair work in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations?	scheduled? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	developed? followed?-	Yes Yes	No No

# **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure".

# III-1. Classification of areas

a)	Are controlled areas demarcated?		Yes	No
b)	Are approved signs at access points?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
c)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
d)	Are approved signs at access points?	needed?	Yes	No
		provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

### III-2. Local rules and supervision

	car ruics				
a)	Are rul	es established in writing, in a local language?		Yes	No
b)	Do rules include investigation levels and authorised levels and the procedure to be		edure to be	Yes	No
	followed when a level is exceeded?				
c)	Are wo	rkers instructed in the implementing procedures?		Yes	No
d)	Do wor	kers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, procedures, prote	ective	Yes	No
	measur	es and safety provisions are followed?			
e)	Specifi	cally, are operating and working procedures for:			
	i)	operating the accelerator	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	ii)	product loading	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	iii)	responding to alarms	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	iv)	performing repairs to and maintenance of safety systems	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	v)	making surveys	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No

## III-3. Monitoring

a)	Does the authorised organisation provide personal dosimeters?	Yes	No
b)	Are the dosimeters:		
	i) Worn properly?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Exchanged at required frequency?	Yes	No
c)	Are personnel exposures within limits?	Yes	No
d)	Area and portable survey instruments		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
	iv) Operational check performed before use?	Yes	No
	v) Spare batteries available?	Yes	No
e)	Do the authorised organisation's surveys indicate that the radiation room shielding is adequate and the dose rates around the room meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
	rd independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Type	/model no. of survey meter:		
Date	last calibrated:		
	e inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the authorised isation?	Yes	No
Docu	ment any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resu	lts:	

# **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

### **IV-1.** Control of visitors

a)	Are visitors accompanied in controlled area?	Yes	No
b)	Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?	Yes	No
c)	Are there adequate controls over entries into supervised areas and appropriate postings?	Yes	No

#### **IV-2.** Sources of exposure

a)	Are the shielding and other protective measures optimised for restricting public	Yes	No
	exposure to external sources of radiation?		
b)	Are the floor plans and arrangement of equipment as described in the application and	Yes	No
	appropriate considering any public areas adjacent to the installation?		

### IV-3. Monitoring of public exposure

a)	Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in public areas adjacent to controlled and supervised areas made by the staff or qualified expert?	Yes	No
b)	Do surveys shows that the radiation room shielding is adequate and the dose rates outside the controlled and supervised areas meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
c)	Record independent measurements made during the inspection:		

Type/model no. of survey meter:		
Date last calibrated:		
Are the inspector's independent measurements in agreement with the organisations routine measurements?	Yes	No
Document any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resu	ılts:	

# **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V "Emergency Exposure Situations".

#### V-1. Emergency plan

a)	Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b)	Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
c)	Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and accidents at similar facilities?	Yes	No

#### V-2. Training and exercises

a)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?	Yes	No
b)	Have provisions been made for the plan to be rehearsed at suitable intervals in	Yes	No
	conjunction with any designated emergency response authorities?		
c)	Date of the last rehearsal:		

#### **VI-RECORDS**

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme
- g) Incident and accident investigation reports
- h) Maintenance and repair work
- i) Facility modifications
- j) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- k) Evidence of health surveillance

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY TO ANNEX I**

# GENERALLY APPLICABLE

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Radiation Protection and the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 120, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, International Basic Safety Standards for Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 115, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 60, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Organization and Implementation of a National Regulatory Infrastructure Governing Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and the Safety of Radiation Sources, IAEA-TECDOC-1067, Vienna (1999).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection from Potential Exposure: A Conceptual Framework, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 64, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment of Occupational Exposure due to Intakes of Radionuclides, Safety Standards Series No. RS-G-1.2 (in preparation).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Direct Methods for Measuring Radionuclides in the Human Body, Safety Series No. 114, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Publications in the International Atomic Energy Agency's RADWASS Programme under Safety Series No. 111, IAEA, Vienna.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials Used in Medicine, Industry, Research and Teaching, Safety Series No. 91, IAEA, Vienna (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Safe Use of Radiation Sources, Training Course Series No. 6, IAEA (1995).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material 1996 Edition, Safety Standards Series No. ST-1, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Basic Principles for Occupational Radiation Monitoring, Safety Series No. 84, IAEA, Vienna (1987).

# PARTICULARLY APPLICABLE TO IRRADIATORS

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Lessons Learned from Accidents in Industrial Irradiation Facilities, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Radiological Accident in San Salvador, IAEA, Vienna (1990).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Radiological Accident in Soreq, IAEA, Vienna (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Radiological Accident in Nesvizh, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Radiological Accident in Goiânia, IAEA, Vienna (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Radiation Safety of Gamma and Electron Irradiation Facilities, Safety Series No. 107, IAEA, Vienna (1992).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Shielded Enclosures, IAEA, Vienna (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Self-Contained Gamma Irradiators (Categories I and III), IAEA, Vienna (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Self-Contained Gamma Irradiators (Categories II and IV), IAEA, Vienna (1993).

# Annex II

# SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY

This annex has three exhibits which include one application form and two checklists for inspection:

- (1) Example II.A. Application for authorization and review plan for industrial radiography.
- (2) Example II.B. Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of fixed facilities for industrial radiography.
- (3) Example II.C. Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of industrial radiography with mobile devices.

References that may be useful to the regulatory authority and/or to licensees and registrants are listed in the bibliography at the end of the annex. The list is divided into references generally applicable for radiation safety and protection and those which may have particular relevance to industrial radiography.

# **Example II.A**

## APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION AND REVIEW PLAN FOR INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY

### TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION

\_\_\_\_\_ New application

- \_\_\_\_\_ Amendment to existing authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Renewal of authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_

#### PURPOSE OF APPLICATION

\_\_\_\_\_ Construction (Complete Sections I through III)

- \_\_\_\_\_ Import/Purchase (Complete Sections I and II)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Use/Begin operation (Complete Sections I through IV)

You may refer to previous submissions by date and application or authorization number(s)

The legal person who will be responsible for using any sealed source or radiation generator must, unless the source is exempted, submit the following information to the regulatory authority.

### **I-GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### I-1. Name and address of organisation:

Main address	Mailing address (if different)	Address of use (if different)
		·

#### I-2. Name and information about qualified experts:

Expertise: radiation protection officer	Expertise:
Name:	Name:
Degree:	Degree:
Certification:	Certification:
Experience:	Experience:
Telephone number:	

#### **II-3.** The responsible representative of the legal person:

Name:	Telephone number
Title:	Facsimile number
	e-mail address

#### I-4. Proposed date of installation and/or commissioning of facilities and equipment:

## SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

Signature of the authorised representative of the legal person

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Notes:

1. The regulatory authority may require additional information to fully consider this application prior to issuing an authorization.

2. In the event that all the above information is not available at the time of application, the regulatory authority may issue an authorization limiting the applicant to import, acquire, or store radiation sources, or construct facilities. Complete information will be required from the applicant prior to authorising use of the radiation sources.

## **II-SOURCES AND EQUIPMENT**

Manufacturer	Device model number	Source model number	Radionuclide	Source supplier	Maximum activity	Number of devices
(e.g. ABC Co.)	(e.g. model A)	(e.g. model B)	$(e.g. {}^{192}Ir)$		(e.g. 2 TBq)	(e.g. 8)

## **II-1.** Sealed source radiographic devices

#### **II-2.** X ray generators

Manufacturer	Model number	Serial number	Maximum voltage	Maximum current
(e.g. ABXY Co.)	(e.g. Unit 123)	(e.g. 99999)	(e.g. 150 kV)	(e.g. 40 mA)

#### **II-3.** Accelerators

Manufacturer	Model number	Serial number	Radiation	Maximum	Maximum
			type	energy	current
(e.g. ZYX Co.)	(e.g. Unit 987)	(e.g. 11111)	(e.g. X ray)	(e.g. 5 MeV)	(e.g. 2 mA)

#### **II-4.** Source standards

Are the sources manufactured, prototype tested, and subject to quality control provisions of standards recognised by national or international standard setting organisations (e.g. ISO 2919)? If, so please identify the standards and any applicable classification numbers.

#### II-5. Equipment standards

Is the radiography equipment manufactured, prototype tested, and subject to quality control provisions of standards recognised by national or international standard setting organisations (e.g. IEC) If, so please identify the standards and any applicable classification numbers.

#### **II-6.** Work locations:

Will the work be carried out at any address other than given in item I-1. above?	Do not	Yes	No
(Circle correct answer)	know		

(Note: that the regulatory authority may require notification prior to work at currently unknown addresses)

#### b) List all other known addresses:


### **III-FACILITIES**

Approval may be required from the regulatory authority before starting construction of any shielded enclosure.

In an attachment to this application, describe the irradiator facilities, including:

#### **III-1.** Location of the facility

Provide a detailed location of the facility.

#### III-2. Layout of the facility

Describe factors such as the layout of the facility and its immediate surroundings, building materials, alarms, shielding, engineering controls such as interlock and warning safety devices, and remote handling tools. Attach a detailed sketch or drawing of the facility showing the above details. Include on the drawings any penetrations or openings in the shielding materials such as conduits or ventilation ducts. Controlled and supervised areas should be clearly identified on the drawings

#### III-3. Safety assessments

Taking account of shielding, provide calculations of maximum dose rates in all areas outside the facility (specify all assumptions e.g. number of sources, activity). Provide estimates of the magnitude of expected doses to persons during normal operations. Identify the probability and magnitude of potential exposures arising from accidents or incidents.

#### III-4. Source storage

Describe the device storage site including adjacent rooms (on a plan or sketch), provide shielding calculations and security precautions.

### **IV-RADIATION PROTECTION AND SAFETY PROGRAMME**

In an attachment to this application, describe the radiation protection programme, including:

#### IV-1. Organisational structure

a) Describe your organisational and management control systems, including assignment of responsibilities and clear lines of authority related to radiation safety. In particular include: staffing levels, equipment selection, other assignments of the radiation protection officer, authority of the radiation protection officer to stop

unsafe operations, personnel training, maintenance of records, and how problems affecting safety are identified and corrected.

- b) Identify the authorised users, qualified experts, and radiation protection officer by name and include their training, education, experience and qualifications. (Note: the authorised user and/or radiation protection officer may be the same individual).
- c) Confirm that training will include: explanation of radiation hazards and effects, explanation of written procedures, use of equipment (e.g. instrumentation), meaning of warning signals, and a method to confirm adequacy of training (testing or demonstrations).

#### IV-2. Workplace monitoring, area classification and individual monitoring

- a) Describe your programme for monitoring the workplace (BSS, I.37-I.40), including: the quantities to be measured, where and when the measurements are to be made, the measurement methods and procedures, and reference levels and the actions to be taken if they are exceeded.
- b) Describe your policies and procedures for classification of controlled and supervised areas. (BSS, I.21-I.25).
- c) Describe personal dosimeters provided to workers and your policies for assigning dosimetry to individual workers (BSS, I.32-I.36). Describe your policy for reviewing individual doses, including reference levels and actions to be taken in exceeded.

Name and address of dosimetry service: \_\_\_\_\_

Denote type:

- i) Film\_
- ii) ThermoLuminescent dosimeter (TLD)\_\_\_\_\_
- iii) Direct reading dosimeter (DRD)
- iv) other:

#### IV-3. Local rules and supervision

- a) Describe your local rules and procedures regarding: investigation or authorised levels, protective measures and safety provisions, providing adequate supervision, providing workers information regarding health risks due to occupational exposure, and emergency planning instruction (BSS, I.26-I.27).
- b) Provide copies of your operating and safety procedures including: area access control, entry procedures into shielded enclosures, source inventory and leak testing, etc.
- c) Describe your training program to ensure all appropriate personnel are adequately trained in the operating procedures and how their actions may affect safety (BSS, I.27).
- d) Describe your policies regarding female workers who become pregnant (notification, adoption of working conditions to protect foetus/embryo) and the instructions you will provide to them (BSS, I.16-I.17 and I.27).
- e) Describe your programme of health surveillance based on general principles of occupational health and designed to assess the initial and continuing fitness of workers for their intended tasks (BSS, I.41-I.43).

#### IV-4. Quality assurance

- a) Describe your programme for ensuring that regulatory radiation safety requirements are addressed and satisfied.
- b) Describe your program to periodically review procedures, maintain procedures current and available, and your procedure modification process.
- c) Describe your programme for optimising occupational and public exposures to levels as low as reasonably achievable.
- d) Describe your programme of periodic maintenance and testing (safety interlocks, radiation meters, etc.). Attach the manufacturers instructions.
- e) Describe service arrangements with other organisations and qualified experts.

#### IV-5. Transportation of radioactive material

If you will be transporting or shipping new or used sources, describe your arrangements for preparation and transport of packages containing radioactive sources (IAEA Safety Standards Series No. ST-1). These procedures should address: documentation of package certification, package surveys, transfer/receipt documents, and details of shipments preparation.

### IV-6. Emergency procedures

Provide your emergency procedures to address emergencies such as potential damage to the source, loss of source shielding, stuck sources or substantial accidental exposure of an individual. If other emergencies are envisaged, please provide additional appropriate emergency procedures. In all cases the magnitude of the hazard should be evaluated. Any off-site consequences should also be evaluated. Local emergency services (e.g. fire, police) may need to be provided with copies of the emergency procedures.

#### IV-7. Transfer or disposal of radioactive sources

Describe arrangements for transfer or disposal of spent radioactive sources.

#### IV-8. System of records (BSS; 2.40, I.44-I.49), including:

- a) Disposal of spent sources
- b) Personnel exposure
  - i) current records
  - ii) prior work history
- c) Area surveys
  - i) dose or dose rate
  - ii) contamination
- d) Instrument tests and calibrations
- e) Tests for radioactive sealed source leakage.
- f) Inventory of sources and accountability
- g) Audits and reviews of radiation safety program
- h) Incident and accident investigation reports
- i) Maintenance and repair work
- j) Facility modifications
- k) Training provided
- 1) Evidence of health surveillance of workers
- m) Transportation

# **Example II.B**

# CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTION OF FIXED FACILITIES FOR INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme review/inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II–VI.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

### **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

ax:

## **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

Manufacturer	Device model	Source model	Radionuclide	Source	Maximum	Number of
	number	number		supplier	activity	devices
(e.g. ABC Co. )	(e.g. Model A)	(e.g. Model B)	(e.g. <sup>192</sup> Ir)		(e.g. 2 TBq)	(e.g. 8)
		s and sources with				cations.
Note any differen	nces and determin	ne the standards to	o which sources a	and/or device	es were built:	

# II-1. Sealed source radiographic devices

## **II-2.** X ray generators

Manufacturer	Model number	Serial number	Maximum voltage	Maximum current
(e.g. ABXY Co.)	(e.g. Unit 123)	(e.g. 99999)	(e.g. 150 kV)	(e.g. 40 mA)
	nerator with application de dards to which devices we		n specification. No	te any differences

#### **II-3.** Accelerators

Manufacturer	Model number	Serial number	Radiation	Maximum	Maximum
			type	energy	current
(e.g. ZYX Co.)	(e.g. Unit 987)	(e.g. 11111)	(e.g. X ray)	(e.g. 5 MeV)	(e.g. 2 mA)
Compare the accelera	tor with applicatio	n descriptions and	design specifica	tions. Note any o	lifferences and
determine the standar		-	• •	2	

#### II-4. Shielded enclosure design

a) Describe any differences or modifications from those approved by the regulatory authority and considered in the safety assessment (e.g. shielding design, building materials, installed fire protection and controls, etc.):

b)	Was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any me	odifications?	Yes	No
c)	Is the thickness and type of shielding appropriate for the types and intensity of radiation produced by radiographic devices?		Yes	No
d)	Is protection of the sources and X ray generators from adverse environmental conditions (heat, moisture, etc.):	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No
e)	Is fire detection and protection in the radiation and source storage areas:	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No

#### II-5. Safety controls system

Are	the safety controls for radiographic operations and storage of radiation so	ources as	Yes	No
dese	cribed in the application approved by the regulatory authority?			
If n	ot, was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any m	odifications?	Yes	No
a)	Are electrical door interlocks for entry:	provided?	Yes	No
	working?		Yes	No
b)	Are emergency stop buttons:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
c)	Is there an installed radiation monitor:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
d)	Is a mechanical door interlock (e.g. key control system):	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
e)	Are portable radiation monitors for enclosure entry:	provided?	Yes	No
		required?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No

### II-6. Warning system

a)	Are separate and distinctive warning signals (e.g. visible and/or audible) and posted explanations inside and outside the radiation room for:					
	i)	radioactive source about to be exposed/radiation about to be generated	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No	
	ii)	radioactive source exposed/radiaton 'ON'	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No	
	iii)	source safe/radiation 'OFF'	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No	
b)	Are wa	rning notices (e.g. illuminated signs, written signs, posters):	provided? working? legible? local	Yes Yes Yes	No No No	
			language?	Yes	No	

#### II-7. Safety operations -management

a)	Is management knowledgeable of the certificate of authorization and its restrictions and requirements?	Yes	No
b)	Does management provide adequate staffing levels?	Yes	No
c)	Has management provided the radiation protection officer authority to stop unsafe operations?	Yes	No
d)	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training (time and money)?	Yes	No

e)	Does	management provide adequate equipment?		Yes	No
f)		management provide for periodic program reviews and mendations?	scheduled? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
	i) ii)	Date of the last program review: Status of recommendations:			

### II-8. <u>Safety operations — technical</u>

a)	Does the radiation protection officer (RPO) have adequate knowledge an	nd expertise?	Yes	No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?		Yes	No
c)	Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory authority and the		Yes	No
	provisions of the certificate of authorization?			
d)	d) Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not kept too busy		Yes	No
	with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial help)?			
e)	) Does RPO maintains knowledge of activities of workers using radiation sources?		Yes	No
f)	Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of workers?		Yes	No
g)	Does the RPO maintain adequate records to demonstrate worker and pub	olic	Yes	No
	protection?			
h)	Are there provisions for inventory of sources and accountability:	procedures?	Yes	No
		performed?	Yes	No
i)	Are there provisions for audits and reviews of radiation safety	procedures?	Yes	No
	program:	Performed?	Yes	No

## II-9. Safety assessment and quality assurance

a)	Were there any incidents or accidents?			No
b)	If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No
c)	Were safety assessments reviewed or made based on lessons learned from any accident or accidents at similar facilities?			No
d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	procedures? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
e)	Is maintenance and repair work in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations?	scheduled? Performed?	Yes Yes	No No
f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	Developed? Followed?-	Yes Yes	No No

# **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure".

# III-1. Classification of areas

a)	Are controlle	d areas demarcated?		Yes	No
b)	Are approved	l signs at access points?	provided?	Yes	No
			legible?	Yes	No
			Local	Yes	No
			language?		
c)	Is radiation so	ource storage at a physically defined location (e.g. pit, hot of	cell, room)?	Yes	No
	i) lock	ed/secured location with key control?		Yes	No
	ii) radia	ation warning notices?	provided?	Yes	No
			legible?	Yes	No
			Local	Yes	No
			language?		
	iii) prop	er shielding (e.g. individual containers, enclosure)?		Yes	No
	iv) reser	rved only for radiation sources?		Yes	No
d)	Are X ray get	nerators labelled as a source of radiation:	provided?	Yes	No
			legible?	Yes	No
			local		
			language?	Yes	No

e)	Are gamma radiography devices labelled as a source of radiation:	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
f)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
g)	Are approved signs at access points?	needed?	Yes	No
		provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

## III-2. Local rules and supervision

LUC	allutes	s and super vision			
a)	Are ru	iles established in writing, in a local language?		Yes	No
b)		les include investigation levels and authorised levels and the proce yed when a level is exceeded?	edure to be	Yes	No
c)	Are w	orkers instructed in the implementing procedures?		Yes	No
d)	Is radi	ography done in accordance with prescribed operating procedures tions?	s and	Yes	No
e)		orkers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, procedures, protures and safety provisions are followed?	ective	Yes	No
f)					
	i)	entry into the shielded enclosure	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	ii)	set-up of exposures (radiation source output beam direction,	provided?	Yes	No
		use of collimators, beam height):	adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	iii)	responding to alarms	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	iv)	performing repairs to and maintenance of safety systems	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	v)	making surveys	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	vi)	safely storing sources	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No

# III-3. Monitoring

• • • • • •	, mtor mg		
a)	Does the authorised organisation provide personal dosimeters?	Yes	No
b)	b) Are the dosimeters:		
	i) Worn properly?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Exchanged at required frequency?	Yes	No
c)	Are personnel exposures within limits?	Yes	No
d)	Area and portable survey instruments		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
	iv) Operational check performed before use?	Yes	No
	v) Spare batteries available?	Yes	No
e)	Do the authorised organisation's surveys indicate that the radiation room shielding is adequate and the dose rates around the room meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
f)	Does the authorised organisation make periodic tests for leakage of radioactive materials from sealed sources?	Yes	No
g)	Is the instrumentation:		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No

ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
iii) Operational?	Yes	No
Record independent measurements made during the inspection:		
The first half and the second of		
Type/model no. of survey meter:		
Date last calibrated:		
Do the inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the authorised	Yes	No
organisation?		
Document any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different re-	esults:	

# **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

#### **IV-1.** Control of visitors

a)	Are visitors accompanied in controlled area?	Yes	No
b)	Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?	Yes	No
c)	Are there adequate controls over entries into supervised areas and appropriate postings?	Yes	No

#### IV-2. Sources of exposure

a)	Are the shielding and other protective measures optimised for restricting public	Yes	No
	exposure to external sources of radiation?		
b)	Are the floor plans and arrangement of equipment as described in the application and	Yes	No
	appropriate considering any public areas adjacent to the installation?		
c)	Have provisions been made to detect and control contamination in the event of a	Yes	No
	leaking source?		

# IV-3. Radioactive waste and discharges

a)	Have provisions been made to transfer sources to an appropriate registrant or licensee	Yes	No
	or to an authorised waste disposal facility at the end of use?		
b)	If sources are no longer in use and being stored, does the authorised organisation have	Yes	No
	a plan for timely transfer or disposal of the sources?		
c)	Are there provisions for control of discharges to the environment in the event of	Yes	No
	contamination or leakage from a sealed source?		

#### IV-4. Monitoring of public exposure

d)	Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in public areas adjacent to controlled and supervised areas made by the staff or qualified expert?	Yes	No
e)	Do surveys shows that the enclosure shielding is adequate and the dose rates outside the controlled and supervised areas meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
f)	Record independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Ty	pe/model no. of survey meter:		
Da	te last calibrated:		

measurements?	Yes	140
Document any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resu	lts:	

## **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V "Emergency Exposure Situations".

#### V-1. Emergency plan

a)	Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b)	Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
c)	Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and accidents at similar facilities?	Yes	No
d)	Do the procedures include recovery of radiation sources that fail return to the shielded storage device when the source drive mechanism is operated?	Yes	No
e)	Is appropriate emergency equipment available (e.g. handling tongs)?	Yes	No

#### V-2. Training and exercises

_	0		
a)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?	Yes	No
b)	Have provisions been made for the plan to be rehearsed at suitable intervals in	Yes	No
	conjunction with any designated emergency response authorities?		
c)	Date of the last rehearsal:		

### **VI-RECORDS**

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Tests for leakage of radioactive material from sources
- g) Inventory of sources and accountability
- h) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme
- i) Incident and accident investigation reports
- j) Maintenance and repair work
- k) Facility modifications
- 1) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- m) Evidence of health surveillance
- n) Waste disposals
- o) Transportation
  - i) package documentation
  - ii) package surveys
  - iii) transfer/receipt documents
  - iv) details of shipments dispatched

# **Example II.C**

# CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTION OF INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY WITH MOBILE DEVICES

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme review/inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II–VI.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

# **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

Name of the institution:	
Address of facility:	
Telephone/facsimile/e-mail:	Voice: Fax: e-mail:
Authorization number:	
Name and qualification of the radiation	Name:
protection officer:	Degree:
•	Certification:
	Experience:
Name and qualifications of any qualified expert retained:	s
retained:	
retained:	_ Name:
retained: Name: Degree:	_ Name: _ Degree:
retained:	_ Name: _ Degree: _ Certification:
retained: Name: Degree: Certification:	_ Name: _ Degree: _ Certification: _ Experience:
retained: Name: Degree: Certification:	Name:
retained: Name: Degree: Certification:	Name:   Degree:   Certification:   Experience:     Name:   Degree:   Certification:   Experience:
retained: Name: Degree: Certification:	Name:   Degree:   Certification:   Experience:     Name:   Degree:   Certification:   Experience:

of the legal person:

## **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

Sealed source ra	ulographic devi	ces	1		·	
Manufacturer	Device model	Source model	Radionuclide	Source	Maximum	Number of
	number	number		supplier	activity	devices
(e.g. ABC Co. )	(e.g. Model A)	(e.g. Model B)	(e.g. <sup>192</sup> Ir)		(e.g. 2 TBq)	(e.g. 8)
Compare the rad Note any differen						

#### **II-1.** Sealed source radiographic devices

#### II-2. X ray generators

Manufacturer	Model number	Serial number	Maximum voltage	Maximum current
(e.g. ABXY Co.)	(e.g. Unit 123)	(e.g. 99999)	(e.g. 150 kV)	(e.g. 40 mA)
1 , 6	nerator with application d ine the standards to which	1 0	n specifications. N	Note any

#### II-3. Storage design

Describe any differences or modifications from those approved by the regulatory authority and considered in the safety assessment (e.g. shielding design, building materials, installed fire protection and controls, etc.):

a)	Was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any mo	odifications?	Yes	No
)	Is protection of the sources and X ray generators from adverse	provided?	Yes	No
	environmental conditions (heat, moisture, etc.):	working?	Yes	No
:)	Is fire detection and protection in the radiation and source storage	provided?	Yes	No
	areas:	working?	Yes	N

## II-4. Safety controls system

~ • • • •	cty controls system			
a)	Are the safety controls for radiographic operations and storage of radiati as described in the application approved by the regulatory authority?	ion sources	Yes	No
b)	b) If not, was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any modifications?		Yes	No
c)	Are gamma radiographic devices and X ray generators labelled as	provided?	Yes	No
	sources of radiation	legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
d)	Are mechanical controls to prevent unintentional source exposure (e.g.	provided?	Yes	No
	keyed locks, source wind-out mechanisms, shutters):	working?	Yes	No
e)	Are portable radiation monitors for radiographic operations:	provided?	Yes	No
		required?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
f)	Are adequate controls of the production of radiation by X ray	provided?	Yes	No
	generators (e.g. timer, voltage, current):	working?	Yes	No
g) If radioactive source(s) are transported, do the container(s) satisfy the requirements		Yes	No	
for t	ype A or type B package(s):			

# II-5. Warning systems

a)	Are se	Are separate and distinctive warning signals (e.g. visible and/or audible) provided for:					
	i)	radioactive source about to be exposed/radiation about to be	provided?	Yes	No		
		generated	working?	Yes	No		
	ii)	radioactive source exposed/radiation 'ON'	provided?	Yes	No		
			working?	Yes	No		
	iii)	radioactive source safe/radiation 'OFF'	provided?	Yes	No		
			working?	Yes	No		
b)	Are p	ortable warning notices (e.g. written signs, posters):	provided?	Yes	No		
			legible?	Yes	No		
			local				
			language?	Yes	No		

# II-6. Safety operations -management

a)	s management knowledgeable of the certificate of authorization and its restrictions nd requirements?		Yes	No
b)	Does management provide adequate staffing levels?		Yes	No
c)	Has management provided the radiation protection officer authority to stop unsafe operations?			No
d)	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training (time and money)?			No
e)	Does management provide adequate equipment?			
f)	Does management provide for periodic program reviews and	cheduled?	Yes	No
	recommendations? p	erformed?	Yes	No
	i) Date of the last program review:			
	ii) Status of recommendations:			
	· ·			

# II-7. <u>Safety operations — technical</u>

a)	Does the radiation protection officer (RPO) have adequate knowledge and expertise?	Yes	No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?	Yes	No
c)	Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory authority and the	Yes	No
	provisions of the certificate of authorization?		
d)	Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not kept too busy	Yes	No
	with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial help)?		
e)	Does RPO maintains knowledge of activities of workers using radiation sources?	Yes	No
f)	Does the RPO audit the performance of radiographers at temporary work sites?	Yes	No

g)	Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of workers?		Yes	No
h)	Does the RPO maintain adequate records to demonstrate worker and public protection?		Yes	No
i)	Are there provisions for inventory of sources and accountability:	procedures? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
j)	Are locations and uses of devices recorded including site location, serial numbers of devices, date, name of supervising radiographer?		Yes	No
k)	Are there provisions for audits and reviews of radiation safety	procedures?	Yes	No
	program:	Performed?	Yes	No

## II-8. Safety assessment and quality assurance

a)	Were there any incidents or accidents?		Yes	No
b)	b) If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No
c)	Were safety assessments reviewed or made based on lessons learned from any accident or accidents at similar facilities?		Yes	No
d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	procedures? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
e)	Is maintenance and repair work in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations?	scheduled? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	developed? followed?-	Yes Yes	No No

# **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure".

#### **III-1.** Classification of areas

a)	Are controlled areas demarcated?		Yes	No
b)	Are approved signs at access points?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local	Yes	No
		language?		
c)	Is radiation source storage at a physically defined location (e.g. pit, hot of	cell, room)?	Yes	No
	i) locked/secured location with key control?		Yes	No
	ii) radiation warning notices?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
	iii) proper shielding (e.g. individual containers, enclosure)?		Yes	No
	iv) reserved only for radiation sources?		Yes	No
d)	Are X ray generators labelled as a source of radiation:	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
e)	Are gamma radiography devices labelled as a source of radiation:	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
f)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
g)	Are approved signs at access points?	needed?	Yes	No
		provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
**III-2.** Local rules and supervision

				\$7	٦T
a)		les established in writing, in a local language?		Yes	No
b)		es include investigation levels and authorised levels and the proc ed when a level is exceeded?	edure to be	Yes	No
-				Yes	Na
<u>c)</u>		orkers instructed in the implementing procedures?	1		No
d)	Is radi	ography done in accordance with prescribed operating procedure ions?	s and	Yes	No
e)		orkers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, procedures, prot res and safety provisions are followed?	ective	Yes	No
f)	Specif	ically, are operating and working procedures for:		•	
	i)	setting up controlled areas; including barriers, surveillance	provided?	Yes	No
	·	and posting at temporary job sites	adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	ii)	set-up of exposures (radiation source output beam direction,	provided?	Yes	No
	,	use of collimators, beam height):	adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	iii)	use of personal dosimetry and use of protective equipment	provided?	Yes	No
	,	such as alarming rate dosimeters:	adequate?	Yes	No
		C C	followed?	Yes	No
	iv)	performing routine maintenance of cables, connectors, etc.:	provided?	Yes	No
	,		adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	v)	making surveys	provided?	Yes	No
	,		adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	vi)	appropriate response to failure of a source to retract or other	provided?	Yes	No
	,	incident:	adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	vii)	safely storing sources	provided?	Yes	No
	,		adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No

# III-3. Monitoring

Are th           i)           ii)           iii)           iii)           iii)           c)           Are p           d)           Area           i)           iii)           iii)           iii)           iv)           v)           c)         Do th           adequ           c)         Does	the authorised organisation provide personal dosimeters? he dosimeters: Worn properly? Calibrated? Exchanged at required frequency? bersonnel exposures within limits? and portable survey instruments Appropriate? Calibrated? Operational? Operational check performed before use? Spare batteries available?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No
ii)         iii)         iii)         c)       Are p         l)       Area         i)       iii)         iii)       iii)         iv)       v)         c)       Do th         adequ       Does	Calibrated? Exchanged at required frequency? bersonnel exposures within limits? and portable survey instruments Appropriate? Calibrated? Operational? Operational check performed before use?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No
iii)           iii)           Are p           i)           ii)           iii)           iii)           iii)           iv)           v)           c)         Do th           adequ           c)         Does	Exchanged at required frequency? bersonnel exposures within limits? and portable survey instruments Appropriate? Calibrated? Operational? Operational check performed before use?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No
<ul> <li>Are p</li> <li>Are a</li> <li>Area</li> <li>ii)</li> <li>iii)</li> <li>iii)</li> <li>iv)</li> <li>v)</li> <li>v)</li> <li>bo th adequ</li> <li>Does</li> </ul>	Dersonnel exposures within limits? and portable survey instruments Appropriate? Calibrated? Operational? Operational check performed before use?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No
Areai)ii)iii)iii)iv)v)v)c)Do thadequc)Does	and portable survey instruments Appropriate? Calibrated? Operational? Operational check performed before use?	Yes Yes Yes	No No
i) ii) iii) iv) v) v) Do th adequ	Appropriate?         Calibrated?         Operational?         Operational check performed before use?	Yes Yes	No
ii) iii) iv) v) c) Do th adequ c) Does	Calibrated? Operational? Operational check performed before use?	Yes Yes	No
iii) iv) v) e) Do th adequ f) Does	Operational? Operational check performed before use?	Yes	
iv) v) e) Do th adequ f) Does	Operational check performed before use?		No
v) b) Do th adequ c) Does	* *	Yes	
<ul> <li>Do th adequ</li> <li>Does</li> </ul>	Spare batteries available?	100	No
adequ Does		Yes	No
) Does	ne authorised organisation's surveys indicate that the radiation room shielding is	Yes	No
	uate and the dose rates around the room meet authorised radiation levels?		ı.
mater	the authorised organisation make periodic tests for leakage of radioactive	Yes	No
mater	rials from sealed sources?		
g) Is the	e instrumentation:		
i)	Appropriate?	Yes	No
ii)	Calibrated?	Yes	No
iii)	Operational?	Yes	No

Type/model no. of survey meter:		
Date last calibrated:		
Do the inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the authorised organisation?	Yes	No
Document any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resu	llts:	

# **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

### **IV-1.** Control of visitors

a)	Are visitors accompanied in controlled area?	Yes	No
b)	Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?	Yes	No
c)	Are there adequate controls over entries into supervised areas and appropriate	Yes	No
	postings?		

### **IV-2.** Sources of exposure

a)	Are the shielding and other protective measures optimised for restricting public	Yes	No
	exposure to external sources of radiation?		
b)	Are the floor plans and arrangement of equipment as described in the application and	Yes	No
	appropriate considering any public areas adjacent to the installation?		
c)	Have provisions been made to detect and control contamination in the event of a	Yes	No
	leaking source?		

# IV-3. Radioactive waste and discharges

a)	Have provisions been made to transfer sources to an appropriate registrant or	Yes	No
	licensee or to an authorised waste disposal facility at the end of use?		
b)	If sources are no longer in use and being stored, does the authorised organisation	Yes	No
	have a plan for timely transfer or disposal of the sources?		
c)	Are there provisions for control of discharges to the environment in the event of	Yes	No
	contamination or leakage from a sealed source?		

### IV-4. Monitoring of public exposure

a)	Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in public areas adjacent to controlled and supervised areas made by the staff or qualified expert?	Yes	No
b)	Do surveys shows that the enclosure shielding is adequate and the dose rates outside the controlled and supervised areas meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
c) 	Record independent measurements made during the inspection:	• 	
	e/model no. of survey meter: e last calibrated:		
	the inspector's independent measurements in agreement with the organisations routine asurements?	Yes	No
Doc	cument any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different res	ults:	

# **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V "Emergency Exposure Situations".

### V-1. Emergency plan

a)	Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b)	Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
c)	Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and accidents at similar facilities?	Yes	No
d)	Do the procedures include recovery of radiation sources that fail return to the shielded storage device when the source drive mechanism is operated?	Yes	No
e)	Is appropriate emergency equipment available (e.g. handling tongs)?	Yes	No

### V-2. Training and exercises

a)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?	Yes	No
b)	Have provisions been made for the plan to be rehearsed at suitable intervals in	Yes	No
	conjunction with any designated emergency response authorities?		
c)	Date of the last rehearsal:		

# **VI-RECORDS**

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Tests for leakage of radioactive material from sources
- g) Inventory of sources and accountability
- h) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme
- i) Incident and accident investigation reports
- j) Maintenance and repair work
- k) Facility modifications
- 1) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- m) Evidence of health surveillance
- n) Waste disposals
- o) Transportation
  - i) package documentation
  - ii) package surveys
  - iii) transfer/receipt documents
  - iv) details of shipments dispatched
- p) Log of off site operations
  - i) location
  - ii) name of responsible radiographer
  - iii) date

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY TO ANNEX II**

# GENERALLY APPLICABLE

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Radiation Protection and the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 120, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, International Basic Safety Standards for Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 115, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 60, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1991).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection from Potential Exposure: A Conceptual Framework, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 64, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment of Occupational Exposure due to Intakes of Radionuclides, Safety Standards Series No. RS-G-1.2 (in preparation).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Direct Methods for Measuring Radionuclides in the Human Body, Safety Series No. 114, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Publications in the IAEA's RADWASS Programme under Safety Series No. 111, IAEA, Vienna.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials Used in Medicine, Industry, Research and Teaching, Safety Series No. 91, IAEA, Vienna (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Safe Use of Radiation Sources, Training Course Series No. 6, IAEA (1995).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material 1996 Edition, Safety Standards Series No. ST-1, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Basic Principles for Occupational Radiation Monitoring, Safety Series No. 84, IAEA, Vienna (1987).

# PARTICULARLY APPLICABLE TO INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Gamma Radiography, IAEA, Vienna (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Shielded Enclosures, IAEA, Vienna (1991).

# Annex III

# SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR WELL LOGGING, PORTABLE GAUGING, DETECTION AND ANALYTICAL DEVICES

This annex has two exhibits which include an application form and a checklist for inspection:

- (1) Example III.A: Application for authorization and review plan for well logging, portable gauging, detection and analytical devices.
- (2) Example III.B: Checklist for commissioning and regular inspections of well logging, portable gauging, detection and analytical devices.

References that may be useful to the regulatory authority and/or to licensees and registrants are listed in the bibliography at the end of the annex. The list is divided into references generally applicable for radiation safety and protection and that which may have particular relevance to well logging, portable gauging, detection or analytical devices.

# **Example III.A**

# APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION AND REVIEW PLAN FOR WELL LOGGING, PORTABLE GAUGING, DETECTION AND ANALYTICAL DEVICES

### TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION

\_\_\_\_\_ New application

- \_\_\_\_\_ Amendment to existing authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Renewal of authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_\_

### PURPOSE OF APPLICATION

\_\_\_\_\_ Construction (Complete Sections I through III)

- \_\_\_\_\_ Import/Purchase (Complete Sections I and II)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Use/Begin operation (Complete Sections I through IV)

You may refer to previous submissions by date and application or authorization number(s)

The legal person who will be responsible for using any sealed source or radiation generator must, unless the source is exempted, submit the following information to the regulatory authority.

# **I-GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### I-1. Name and address of organisation:

Main address	Mailing address (if different)	Address of use (if different)		

#### I-2. Name and information about qualified experts:

Expertise: Radiation protection officer	Expertise:	
Name:		
Degree:	Degree:	
Certification:		
Experience:		
Telephone number:		
I_3 The responsible representative of the	legal nerson.	

1-5. The responsible representative of the legal	person.
Name:	Telephone number
Title:	Facsimile number
	e-mail address

#### Proposed date of installation and/or commissioning of facilities and equipment: I-4.

# SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

Signature of the authorised representative of the legal person

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Notes:

1. The regulatory authority may require additional information to fully consider this application prior to issuing an authorization.

2. In the event that all the above information is not available at the time of application, the regulatory authority may issue an authorization limiting the applicant to import, acquire, or store radiation sources, or construct facilities. Complete information will be required from the applicant prior to authorising use of the radiation sources.

# **II-EQUIPMENT**

II-1.	Equipment	with	sealed	sources	incor	porated

Description:		Radionuclide	Maximum activity	Number
Manufacturer:		_		
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamr	na, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
	na, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
	na, neutron):			
	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
	na, neutron):			
	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
	na, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
	na, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:		-		
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamr	na, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamr	na, neutron):			
	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			

#### **II-2.** Neutron generators — accelerator

Manufacturer:	Model number	Serial number	Neutron energy	Target nuclide
			energy	

### **II-3.** Standards and classification

a) Are the sources manufactured, prototype tested, and subject to quality control provisions of standards recognised by national or international standard setting organisations (e.g. ISO 2919)? If, so please list and identify the standards and any applicable classification numbers.

b) Is each device that emits radiation manufactured, prototype tested, and subject to quality control provisions of standards recognised by national or international standard setting organisations (e.g. IEC)? If, so please list and identify the standards and any applicable classification numbers.

#### II-4. Storage locations:

Will the sources be stored for long periods of time at any address other than given in	Do not	Yes	No
item I-1. above? (Circle correct answer)	know		

(Note: that the regulatory authority may require notification prior to permitting long term storage at currently unknown addresses)

List all other known addresses:


#### **III-FACILITIES**

In an attachment to this application, describe the storage facilities, including:

#### III-1. Location of the primary storage facility

Provide a detailed location of the facility.

#### **III-2.** Layout of the facility

Describe factors such as the layout of the facility and its immediate surroundings, building materials, alarms, shielding, engineering controls such as interlock and warning safety devices, remote handling tools and provisions for security.

#### **III-3.** Safety assessments

Taking account of shielding provide calculations of maximum dose rates (specifying all assumptions e.g. number of sources, activity):

- a) in all areas outside the storage area; and
- b) around the equipment during normal operating conditions.

Provide estimates of the magnitude of expected doses to persons during normal operations. Identify the probability and magnitude of potential exposures arising from accidents or incidents.

### **IV-RADIATION PROTECTION AND SAFETY PROGRAMME**

In an attachment to this application, describe the radiation protection programme, including:

#### IV-1. Organisational structure

- a) Describe your organisational and management control systems, including assignment of responsibilities and clear lines of authority related to radiation safety. In particular include: staffing levels, equipment selection, other assignments of the radiation protection officer, authority of the radiation protection officer to stop unsafe operations, personnel training, maintenance of records, and how problems affecting safety are identified and corrected.
- b) Identify the authorised users, qualified experts, and radiation protection officer by name and include their training, education, experience and qualifications. (Note: the authorised user and/or radiation protection officer may be the same individual).

c) Confirm that training will include: explanation of radiation hazards and effects, explanation of written procedures, use of equipment (e.g. instrumentation), meaning of warning signals, and a method to confirm adequacy of training (testing or demonstrations).

### IV-2. Workplace monitoring, area classification and individual monitoring

- a) Describe your programme for monitoring the workplace (BSS, I.37-I.40), including: the quantities to be measured, where and when the measurements are to be made, the measurement methods and procedures, and reference levels and the actions to be taken if they are exceeded.
- b) Describe your policies and procedures for classification of controlled and supervised areas. (BSS, I.21-I.25).
- c) Describe personal dosimeters provided to workers and your policies for assigning dosimetry to individual workers (BSS, I.32-I.36). Describe your policy for reviewing individual doses, including reference levels and actions to be taken in exceeded.

Name and address of dosimetry service:

### Denote type:

- i) Film\_\_
- ii) ThermoLuminescent dosimeter (TLD)\_\_\_\_\_
- iii) Direct reading dosimeter (DRD)
- iv) other: \_\_\_

### IV-3. Local rules and supervision

- a) Describe your local rules and procedures regarding: investigation or authorised levels, protective measures and safety provisions, providing adequate supervision, providing workers information regarding health risks due to occupational exposure, and emergency planning instruction (BSS, I.26-I.27).
- b) Provide copies of your operating and safety procedures including: area access control, entry procedures into shielded enclosures, source inventory and leak testing, etc.
- c) Describe your training program to ensure all appropriate personnel are adequately trained in the operating procedures and how their actions may affect safety (BSS, I.27).
- d) Describe your policies regarding female workers who become pregnant (notification, adoption of working conditions to protect foetus/embryo) and the instructions you will provide to them (BSS, I.16-I.17 and I.27).
- e) Describe your programme of health surveillance based on general principles of occupational health and designed to assess the initial and continuing fitness of workers for their intended tasks (BSS, I.41-I.43).

### IV-4. Quality assurance

- a) Describe your programme for ensuring that regulatory radiation safety requirements are addressed and satisfied.
- b) Describe your program to periodically review procedures, maintain procedures current and available, and your procedure modification process.
- c) Describe your programme for optimising occupational and public exposures to levels as low as reasonably achievable.
- d) Describe your programme of periodic maintenance and testing (logging tools, radiation meters, ancillary equipment, etc.). Attach the manufacturers instructions.
- e) Describe service arrangements with other organisations and qualified experts.

### IV-5. Transportation of radioactive material

If you will be transporting or shipping new or used sources, describe your arrangements for preparation and transport of packages containing radioactive sources (IAEA Safety Standards Series No. ST-1). These procedures should address: documentation of package certification, package surveys, transfer/receipt documents, and details of shipments preparation.

### IV-6. Emergency procedures

Provide your emergency procedures to address emergencies such as potential damage to the source, loss of source shielding, stuck sources or substantial accidental exposure of an individual. If other emergencies are envisaged, please provide additional appropriate emergency procedures. In all cases the magnitude of the hazard should be evaluated. Any off-site consequences should also be evaluated. Local emergency services (e.g. fire, police) may need to be provided with copies of the emergency procedures.

### IV-7. Transfer or disposal of radioactive sources

Describe arrangements for transfer or disposal of spent radioactive sources.

# IV-8. System of records (BSS; 2.40, I.44-I.49), including:

- a) Disposal of spent sources.
- b) Personnel exposure
  - i) current records
  - ii) prior work history
- c) Area surveys
  - i) dose or dose rate
  - ii) contamination
- d) Instrument tests and calibrations
- e) Tests for radioactive sealed source leakage.
- f) Inventory of sources and accountability
- g) Audits and reviews of radiation safety program
- h) Incident and accident investigation reports
- i) Maintenance and repair work
- j) Facility modifications
- k) Training provided
- l) Evidence of health surveillance of workers
- m) Transportation.

# **Example III.B**

# CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTION OF WELL LOGGING AND PORTABLE DEVICES FOR GAUGING, DETECTING, AND ANALYSIS

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme review/inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II–VI.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

# **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

I-1.	Name of the institution:	
I-2.	Address of facility:	
I-3.	Telephone/facsimile/e-mail:	Voice: Fax: e-mail:
I-4.	Authorization number:	
[-5.	Name and qualification of the radiation protection officer:	Name:         Degree:         Certification:         Experience:
-6.	Name and qualifications of any qualified experts retained:	
	Name:	Name:
		Degree:
		Certification:
		Experience:
		Name:
		Degree:
		Certification:
		Experience:
I-7.	Name and title of the responsible representative of the legal person:	

# **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

Description:		Radionuclide	Maximum activity	Number
Manufacturer:		_		
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamr	na, neutron):			
	Source:			
	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
	na, neutron):			
	Source:			
	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamr	na, neutron):			
	Source:			
	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
	na, neutron):			
	Source:			
	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamr	na, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamr	na, neutron):			
	Source:			
	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamr	na, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
	Source:			
Manufacturer:		_		
	na, neutron):			
	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
	ces with application descriptions an ndards to which sources and/or devi		tions. Note any	Y 

## II-1. Equipment with sealed sources incorporated

### **II-2.** Neutron generators — accelerator

Manufacturer	Model	Serial	Neutron	Target
	number	number	energy	nuclide
Compare the neutron generator w and determine the standards to wh		esign specifica	tions. Note any	differences

### II-3. Storage design

Describe any differences or modifications from those approved by the regulatory authority and considered in the safety assessment (e.g. shielding design, building materials, installed fire protection and controls, etc.):

a)	Was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any mo	odifications?	Yes	No
)	Is protection of the sources and generators from adverse environmental	provided?	Yes	No
	conditions (heat, moisture, etc.):	working?	Yes	No
2)	Is fire detection and protection in the radiation and source storage	provided?	Yes	No
	areas:	working?	Yes	No

### II-4. Safety controls system

a)	) Are the safety controls for radiographic operations and storage of radiation sources as described in the application approved by the regulatory authority?		Yes	No
b)	If not, was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to a modifications?	any	Yes	No
c)	Are gamma devices and neutron generators labelled as sources of radiation	provided? legible? local language?	Yes Yes Yes	No No No
d)	Are mechanical controls to prevent unintentional source exposure (e.g. keyed locks, shutters, etc.):	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No
e)	Are portable radiation monitors for operations:	provided? required? working?	Yes Yes Yes	No No No
f)	Are adequate controls of the production of radiation by neutron generators (e.g. timer, voltage, current):	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No

# II-5. Warning systems

a)	If app	ropriate, are signals (e.g. visible and/or audible) provided for:			
	i)	source exposure	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
	ii)	neutron generator power on	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
b)	Are w	arning notices (e.g. written signs, posters):	provided?	Yes	No
			legible?	Yes	No
			local		
			language?	Yes	No

### II-6. Safety operations — management

a)	Is man	Is management knowledgeable of the certificate of authorization and its restrictions			
-	and re	equirements?			
b)	Does	management provide adequate staffing levels?		Yes	No
c)	Has management provided the radiation protection officer authority to stop unsafe operations?			Yes	No
d)	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training (time and money)?			Yes	No
e)	Does	management provide adequate equipment?		Yes	No
f)	Does	management provide for periodic program reviews and	scheduled?	Yes	No
	recom	mendations?	performed?	Yes	No
	i)	Date of the last program review:			
	ii)	Status of recommendations:			

### II-7. Safety operations — technical

a)	Does the radiation protection officer (RPO) have adequate knowledge and expertise?	Yes	No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?	Yes	No
c)	Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory authority and the	Yes	No
	provisions of the certificate of authorization?		
d)	Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not kept too busy	Yes	No
	with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial help)?		
e)	Does RPO maintains knowledge of activities of workers using radiation sources?	Yes	No
f)	Does the RPO audit the performance of workers at temporary work sites?	Yes	No
g)	Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of workers?	Yes	No
h)	Does the RPO maintain adequate records to demonstrate worker and public	Yes	No
	protection?		
i)	Are there provisions for inventory of sources and accountability: procedures?	Yes	No
	performed?	Yes	No

### **II-8.** Investigations and quality assurance

		8 1 7			
ć	a)	Were there any incidents or accidents?		Yes	No
ł	b)	If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No
C	c)	Were safety assessments reviewed or made based on lessons learned from accident or accidents at similar facilities?	m any	Yes	No
(	d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	procedures? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
¢	e)	Is maintenance and repair work in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations?	scheduled? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
f	f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	developed? followed?-	Yes Yes	No No

# **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure".

# III-1. Classification of areas

a)	Are controlled areas demarcated?		Yes	No
b)	Are approved signs at access points?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local	Yes	No
		language?		
c)	Is radiation source storage at a physically defined location?		Yes	No
	i) locked/secured location with key control?		Yes	No

	ii) radiation warning notices?	provided?	Yes	No
	ii) Identified withing notices.	legible?	Yes	No
		local	105	140
		language?	Yes	No
	iii) proper shielding (e.g. individual containers, enclosure)?	lunguuge.	Yes	No
	iv) reserved only for radiation sources?		Yes	No
d)	Are neutron generators labelled as a source of radiation:	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
e)	Are gamma devices labelled as a source of radiation:	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
f)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
g)	Are approved signs at access points?	needed?	Yes	No
		provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

### III-2. Local rules and supervision

	Are rules established in writing in a local language?		Yes	No
a)	Are rules established in writing, in a local language?	a and the much down to be	-	
b)	Do rules include investigation levels and authorised level	s and the procedure to be	Yes	No
	followed when a level is exceeded?		V	NT.
c)	Are workers instructed in the implementing procedures?		Yes	No
d)	Is equipment used in accordance with prescribed operatin conditions?	g procedures and	Yes	No
e)	Do workers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, pr measures and safety provisions are followed?	ocedures, protective	Yes	No
f)	Specifically, are operating and working procedures for:		•	
	i) setting up controlled areas; including barriers, su	rveillance provided?	Yes	No
	and posting at temporary job sites	adequate?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No
	ii) set-up of exposures:	provided?	Yes	No
		adequate?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No
	iii) use of personal dosimetry and use of protective e	equipment provided?	Yes	No
	such as alarming rate dosimeters:	adequate?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No
	iv) performing routine maintenance of:	provided?	Yes	No
		adequate?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No
	v) making surveys	provided?	Yes	No
		adequate?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No
	vi) appropriate response to equipment damage or in:		Yes	No
	retract a source or close a shutter:	adequate?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No
	v) safely storing sources	provided?	Yes	No
		adequate?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No

# III-3. Monitoring

a)	Does th	e authorised organisation provide personal dosimeters?	Yes	No
b)	Are the	dosimeters:		
	i)	Worn properly?	Yes	No
	ii)	Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii)	Exchanged at required frequency?	Yes	No

c)	Are personnel exposures within limits?	Yes	No
d)	Area and portable survey instruments		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
	iv) Operational check performed before use?	Yes	No
	v) Spare batteries available?	Yes	No
e)	Do the authorised organisation's surveys indicate that shielding is adequate and the dose rates around work areas meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
f)	Does the authorised organisation make periodic tests for leakage of radioactive materials from sealed sources?	Yes	No
g)	Is the instrumentation:		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
Rec 	ord independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Тур	pe/model no. of survey meter:		
Date	e last calibrated:		
	the inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the authorised anisation?	Yes	No
Doc	cument any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resu	ılts:	

# **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

# IV-1. Control of visitors

a)	Are visitors accompanied in controlled area?	Yes	No
b)	Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?	Yes	No
c)	Are there adequate controls over entries into supervised areas and appropriate postings?	Yes	No

# IV-2. Sources of exposure

a)	Are the shielding and other protective measures optimised for restricting public	Yes	No
	exposure to external sources of radiation?		
b)	Is the set-up of equipment appropriate considering any public areas adjacent to work sites?	Yes	No
c)	Have provisions been made to detect and control contamination in the event of a	Yes	No
	leaking source?		

# IV-3. Radioactive waste and discharges

a)	Have provisions been made to transfer sources to an appropriate registrant or licensee	Yes	No
	or to an authorised waste disposal facility at the end of use?		
b)	If sources are no longer in use and being stored, does the authorised organisation have	Yes	No
	a plan for timely transfer or disposal of the sources?		
c)	Are there provisions for control of discharges to the environment in the event of	Yes	No
	contamination or leakage from a sealed source?		
d)	Are there provisions to provide durable warnings of irretrievable sources abandoned	Yes	No
	in wells?		
e)	Are there provisions to notify appropriate authorities about irretrievable sources	Yes	No
	abandoned in wells?		

### IV-4. Monitoring of public exposure

1111	Sintoring of public exposure		
a)	Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in public areas adjacent to controlled and supervised areas made by the staff or qualified expert?	Yes	No
b)	Do surveys shows that the enclosure shielding is adequate and the dose rates outside the controlled and supervised areas meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
c)	Record independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Ty	pe/model no. of survey meter:		
Da	te last calibrated:		
	e the inspector's independent measurements in agreement with the organisations routine asurements?	Yes	No
Do	cument any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resu	ults:	
			·

# **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V "Emergency Exposure Situations".

### V-1. Emergency plan

a)	Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b)	Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
c)	Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and	Yes	No
	accidents at similar facilities?		
d)	Do the procedures include recovery of radiation sources that can not be retrieved in a	Yes	No
	normal manner?		
e)	Is emergency equipment provided (e.g. handling tongs)?	Yes	No

### V-2. Training and exercises

a)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?	Yes	No
b)	Have provisions been made for the plan to be rehearsed at suitable intervals in	Yes	No
	conjunction with any designated emergency response authorities?		
c)	Date of the last rehearsal:		

### **VI-RECORDS**

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Tests for leakage of radioactive material from sources
- g) Inventory of sources and accountability
- h) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme

- i) Incident and accident investigation reports
- j) Maintenance and repair work
- k) Facility modifications
- 1) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- m) Evidence of health surveillance
- n) Waste disposals
- o) Transportation
  - i) package documentation
  - ii) package surveys
  - iii) transfer/receipt documents
  - iv) details of shipments dispatched
- p) Log of off site operations
  - i) location
    - ii) name of responsible person
    - iii) date

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY TO ANNEX III**

# GENERALLY APPLICABLE

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Radiation Protection and the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 120, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, International Basic Safety Standards for Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 115, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 60, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Organization and Implementation of a National Regulatory Infrastructure Governing Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and the Safety of Radiation Sources, IAEA-TECDOC-1067, IAEA, Vienna (1999).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection from Potential Exposure: A Conceptual Framework, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 64, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment of Occupational Exposure due to Intakes of Radionuclides, Safety Standards Series No. RS-G-1.2 (in preparation).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment of Occupational Exposure due.to Intakes of Radionuclides, Safety Standards No. RS-G-1.2 (in preparation).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Direct Methods for Measuring Radionuclides in the Human Body, Safety Series No. 114, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Publications in the IAEA's RADWASS Programme under Safety Series No. 111, IAEA, Vienna.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials Used in Medicine, Industry, Research and Teaching, Safety Series No. 91, IAEA, Vienna (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Safe Use of Radiation Sources, Training Course Series No. 6, IAEA (1995).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material 1996 Edition, Safety Standards Series No. ST-1, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Basic Principles for Occupational Radiation Monitoring, Safety Series No. 84, IAEA, Vienna (1987).

# PARTICULARLY APPLICABLE TO WELL LOGGING AND PORTABLE GAUGES

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Nuclear Gauges, IAEA, Vienna (1991).

# Annex IV

# SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR FIXED (INSTALLED) GAUGING, DETECTION AND OTHER DEVICES

This Annex has two exhibits which include an application form and a checklist for inspection:

- (1) Example IV.A: Application for authorization for fixed (installed) gauging, detection and other devices.
- (2) Example IV.B: Checklist for commissioning and regular inspections of fixed (installed) gauging, detection and other devices.

References that may be useful to the regulatory authority and/or to licensees and registrants are listed in the bibliography at the end of the annex. The list is divided into references generally applicable for radiation safety and protection and that which may have particular relevance to fixed (installed) gauging, detection and other devices.

# **Example IV.A**

# APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR FIXED (INSTALLED) GAUGING, DETECTION AND OTHER DEVICES

# TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION

\_\_\_\_\_ New application

- \_\_\_\_\_ Amendment to existing authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Renewal of authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_

### PURPOSE OF APPLICATION

\_\_\_\_\_ Construction (Complete Sections I through III)

- \_\_\_\_\_ Import/Purchase (Complete Sections I and II)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Use/Begin operation (Complete Sections I through IV)

You may refer to previous submissions by date and application or authorization number(s)

The legal person who will be responsible for using any sealed source or radiation generator must, unless the source is exempted, submit the following information to the regulatory authority.

# **I-GENERAL INFORMATION**

### I-1. Name and address of organisation:

Main address	Mailing address (if different)	Address of use (if different)			

### I-2. Name and information about qualified experts:

Expertise: radiation protection officer	Expertise:	_
Name:		
Degree:		
Certification:	Certification:	_
Experience:		_
		_
		_
		_
Telephone number:		_
I-3. The responsible representative of the	e legal person:	
Nama	Telephone number	

Name:	Telephone number
Title:	Facsimile number
	e-mail address

### I-4. Proposed date of installation and/or commissioning of facilities and equipment:

# SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

Signature of the authorised representative of the legal person

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Notes:

1. The regulatory authority may require additional information to fully consider this application prior to issuing an authorization.

2. In the event that all the above information is not available at the time of application, the regulatory authority may issue an authorization limiting the applicant to import, acquire, or store radiation sources, or construct facilities. Complete information will be required from the applicant prior to authorising use of the radiation sources.

# **II-EQUIPMENT AND SOURCES**

# II-1. Equipment with sealed sources incorporated

Description:	•	Radionuclide	Maximum activity	Number
Manufacturer:			detivity	
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamma	a neutron):			
Model no. device:				
Serial no. device:				
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamma				
Model no. device:	_ Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamma	a, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
Serial no. device:				
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamma	a, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamma	a, neutron):			
Model no. device:				
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamma	a, neutron):			
Model no. device:				
Serial no. device:				
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamma	a. neutron):			
Model no. device:				
Serial no. device:				
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gamma	a. neutron):			
Model no. device:				
Serial no. device:				

### **II-2.** Neutron generators — accelerator

Manufacturer	Model number	Serial number	Neutron energy	Target nuclide

# II-3. X ray generators

Manufacturer	Model	Serial	Maximum	Maximum
	number	number	voltage (kV)	current
				(mA)

### II-4. Standards and classification

a)	Are the sources manufactured, prototype tested, and subject to quality control provisions of standards
	recognised by national or international standard setting organisations (e.g. ISO 2919)? If, so please list
	and identify the standards and any applicable classification numbers.

b) Is each device that emits radiation manufactured, prototype tested, and subject to quality control provisions of standards recognised by national or international standard setting organisations? If, so please list and identify the standards and any applicable classification numbers.

### II-5. Work locations:

Will the work be carried out at any address other than given in item I–1. above?	Do not	Yes	No
(Circle correct answer)	know		

(Note: that the regulatory authority may require notification prior to work at currently unknown addresses)

### List all other known addresses:


### **III-FACILITIES**

In an attachment to this application, describe the facilities, including:

### III-1. Location of the facility

Provide a detailed location of the facility.

### III-2. Layout of the facility

Describe factors such as:

- a) the layout of the facility and its immediate surroundings (any controlled and supervised areas should be clearly identified);
- b) any special environmental conditions that can affect the integrity of shielding (e.g. heat sources, corrosive atmospheres, extreme cold, moisture, etc.);
- c) any fixed equipment to which the source housing or device will be physically attached;
- d) building materials, alarms, shielding, engineering controls such as interlock and warning safety devices; ande) provisions for security.
- e) provisions for security.

### III-3. Safety assessments

Taking account of shielding, provide calculations of maximum dose rates around the equipment during normal operating conditions (specifying all assumptions, e.g. number of sources, activity).

Provide estimates of the magnitude of expected doses to persons during normal operations. Identify the probability and magnitude of potential exposures arising from accidents or incidents.

# **IV-RADIATION PROTECTION AND SAFETY PROGRAMME**

In an attachment to this application, describe the radiation protection programme, including:

### IV-1. Organisational structure

- a) Describe your organisational and management control systems, including assignment of responsibilities and clear lines of authority related to radiation safety. In particular include: staffing levels, equipment selection, other assignments of the radiation protection officer, authority of the radiation protection officer to stop unsafe operations, personnel training, maintenance of records, and how problems affecting safety are identified and corrected.
- b) Identify the authorised users, qualified experts, and radiation protection officer by name and include their training, education, experience and qualifications. (Note: the authorised user and/or radiation protection officer may be the same individual).
- c) Confirm that training will include: explanation of radiation hazards and effects, explanation of written procedures, use of equipment (e.g. instrumentation), meaning of warning signals, and a method to confirm adequacy of training (testing or demonstrations).

### IV-2. Workplace monitoring, area classification and individual monitoring

- a) Describe your programme for monitoring the workplace (BSS, I.37-I.40), including: the quantities to be measured, where and when the measurements are to be made, the measurement methods and procedures, and reference levels and the actions to be taken if they are exceeded.
- b) Describe your policies and procedures for classification of controlled and supervised areas. (BSS, I.21-I.25).
- c) Describe personal dosimeters provided to workers and your policies for assigning dosimetry to individual workers (BSS, I.32-I.36). Describe your policy for reviewing individual doses, including reference levels and actions to be taken in exceeded.

Name and address of dosimetry service:

Denote type:

- i) Film \_\_\_\_
- ii) ThermoLuminescent dosimeter (TLD)\_\_\_\_\_
- iii) Direct reading dosimeter (DRD)
- iv) other: \_\_\_\_

### IV-3. Local rules and supervision

- a) Describe your local rules and procedures regarding: investigation or authorised levels, protective measures and safety provisions, providing adequate supervision, providing workers information regarding health risks due to occupational exposure, and emergency planning instruction (BSS, I.26-I.27).
- b) Provide copies of your operating and safety procedures including: area access control, source inventory and leak testing, etc.
- c) Describe your training program to ensure that all appropriate personnel are adequately trained in the current operating procedures and how their actions may affect safety (BSS, I.27).
- d) Describe your policies regarding female workers who become pregnant (notification, adaption of working conditions to protect embryo/foetus) and the instructions you will provide to them (BSS, I.16-I.17 and I.27).
- e) Describe your programme of health surveillance based on general principles of occupational health and designed to assess the initial and continuing fitness of workers for their intended tasks (BSS, I.41-I.43).

### IV-4. Quality assurance

- a) Describe your programme for ensuring that regulatory radiation safety requirements are addressed and satisfied.
- b) Describe your program to periodically review procedures, maintain procedures current and available, and your procedure modification process.
- c) Describe your programme for optimising occupational and public exposures to levels as low as reasonably achievable.
- d) Describe your programme of periodic maintenance and testing (safety interlocks, shutter mechanisms, radiation meters, ancillary equipment, etc.). Attach the manufacturers instruction.
- e) Describe service arrangements with other organisations and qualified experts.

# IV-5. Transportation of radioactive material

If you will be transporting or shipping new or used sources, describe your arrangements for preparation and transport of packages containing radioactive sources (IAEA Safety Standards Series No. ST-1). These procedures should address: documentation of package certification, package surveys, transfer and receipt documents, and details of shipments preparation.

# IV-6. Emergency procedures

Provide your emergency procedures to address emergencies such as potential damage to the source, loss of source shielding, stuck sources or substantial accidental exposure of an individual. If other emergencies are envisaged, please provide additional appropriate emergency procedures. In all cases the magnitude of the hazard should be evaluated. Any off-site consequences should also be evaluated. Local emergency services (e.g. fire, police) may need to be provided with copies of the emergency procedures.

### IV-7. Transfer or disposal of radioactive sources

Describe arrangements for transfer or disposal of spent radioactive sources.

# IV-8. System of records (BSS; 2.40, I.44-I.49), including:

- a) Disposal of spent sources
- b) Personnel exposure
  - i) current records
    - ii) prior work history
- c) Area surveys
  - i) dose or dose rate
  - ii) contamination
- d) Instrument tests and calibrations
- e) Tests for radioactive sealed source leakage.
- f) Inventory of sources and accountability
- g) Audits and reviews of radiation safety program
- h) Incident and accident investigation reports
- i) Maintenance and repair work
- j) Facility modifications
- k) Training provided
- 1) Evidence of health surveillance of workers
- m) Transportation.

# **Example IV.B**

# CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTIONS OF FIXED (INSTALLED) GAUGING, DETECTION AND OTHER DEVICES

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme review/inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of IAEA Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II–VI.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

# **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

Address of facility:	
Telephone/facsimile/e-mail:	Voice: Fax:
	e-mail:
Authorization number:	
Name and qualification of the radiation	Name:
protection officer:	Degree:
	Certification:
	Experience:
Name and qualifications of any qualified evo	erte
Name and qualifications of any qualified exportance exponentiation of a second	erts
retained:           Name:           Degree:	Name: Degree:
retained: Name: Degree: Certification:	Name: Degree: Certification:
retained:           Name:           Degree:	Name: Degree: Certification:
retained: Name: Degree: Certification:	Name:         Degree:         Certification:         Experience:
retained:           Name:           Degree:           Certification:	Name:       Degree:         Certification:          Experience:          Name:
retained: Name: Degree: Certification:	Name:
retained:           Name:           Degree:           Certification:	Name:          Certification:          Experience:          Name:          Degree:          Certification:
retained:           Name:           Degree:           Certification:	Name:
retained:           Name:           Degree:           Certification:	Name:          Certification:          Experience:          Name:          Degree:          Certification:
retained:           Name:           Degree:           Certification:	Name:   Degree:   Certification:   Experience:   Name:   Degree:   Certification:   Experience:

# **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

:Description:		Radionuclide	Maximum activity	Number
Manufacturar			activity	
Manufacturer: Radiation type (alpha, beta, gam	ma neutron):			
Model no. device:				
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gam	ma, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gam	ma, neutron):			
Model no. device:				
Serial no. device:				
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gam	ma neutron).			
Model no. device:				
Serial no. device:				
	50urce			
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gam	ma, neutron):			
Model no. device:				
Serial no. device:				
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gam	ma, neutron):			
Model no. device:				
Serial no. device:				
	0 0 0 0 0 0			
Manufacturer:				
Radiation type (alpha, beta, gam	ma, neutron):			
Model no. device:	Source:			
Serial no. device:	Source:			
Compare the devices and source	s with application descriptions a	and design specificatio	ns. Note any di	fferences
and determine the standards to w				

# II-1. Equipment with sealed sources incorporated

### **II-2.** X ray generators

Manufacturer	Model number	Serial number	Voltage	current
(e.g. ABXY Co.)	(e.g. Unit 123)	(e.g. 99999)	(e.g. 50 kV)	(e.g. 40 mA)

Compare the X ray generator with application descriptions and design specifications. Note any differences and determine the standards to which devices were built:

#### **II-3.** Neutron generators — accelerator

Manufacturer	Model	Serial	Neutron	Target
	number	number	energy	nuclide
Compare the neutron generator with application descrip and determine the standards to which devices were built		gn specificatio	ns. Note any d	ifferences

#### II-4. Facility design and operating conditions

Describe any differences or modifications from those approved by the regulatory authority and considered in the safety assessment (e.g. environmental factors such as heat, extreme cold or moisture; shielding design, building materials, installed fire protection and controls, etc.):

a)	a) Was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any modifications?			No
b)	Is protection of the sources and generators from adverse environmental	provided?	Yes	No
	conditions (heat, moisture, etc.):	working?	Yes	No
c)	Is fire detection and protection in the radiation and source storage	provided?	Yes	No
	areas:	working?	Yes	No

### II-5. Safety controls system

a)	) Are the safety controls for operations and storage of radiation sources as described in the application approved by the regulatory authority?			
b)	If not, was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any modifications?			No
c)	Are gamma devices and X ray and neutron generators labelled as sources of radiation	provided? legible? local	Yes Yes	No No
		language?	Yes	No
d)	Are mechanical controls to prevent unintentional source exposure (e.g. keyed locks, shutters):	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No
e)	Are portable radiation monitors for operations:	needed? provided? required? working?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No
f)	Are adequate controls of the production of radiation by X ray and neutron generators (e.g. timer, voltage, current):	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No

### **II-6.** Warning systems

a)	If ap	propriate, are signals (e.g. visible and/or audi	ble) provided for:		
	i)	source exposure	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No

	ii) generator power on	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
b)	Are warning notices (e.g. written signs, posters):	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

# II-7. Safety operations — management

) ]	and require Does manag			Yes Yes	No No
· ·		gement provide adequate staffing levels?		Yes	No
$\cdot$	Does management provide adequate staffing levels?		100	110	
<i>,</i>	Has management provided the radiation protection officer authority to stop unsafe operations?		Yes	No	
·	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training (time and money)?				No
e) ]	Does management provide adequate equipment?				No
.) I	Does management provide for periodic program reviews and scheduled?			Yes	No
ţ	recommendations? performed?			Yes	No
j	i) Da	te of the last program review:			
j	ii) Sta	itus of recommendations:			

# II-8. <u>Safety operations — technical</u>

a)			Yes	No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?		Yes	No
c)	c) Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory authority and the provisions of the certificate of authorization?		Yes	No
d)	d) Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not kept too busy with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial help)?		Yes	No
e)	Does RPO maintains knowledge of activities of workers using radiation	sources?	Yes	No
f)	f) Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of workers?		Yes	No
g)			Yes	No
h)	Are there provisions for inventory of sources and accountability:	procedures?	Yes	No
		performed?	Yes	No
i)	Are locations and uses of devices recorded including site location, serial devices, date, name of supervising radiographer?	numbers of	Yes	No

# II-9. Investigation and quality assurance

a)	Were there any incidents or accidents?		Yes	No
b) If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No	
c)			Yes	No
	accident or accidents at similar facilities?			
d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	procedures?	Yes	No
		performed?	Yes	No
e)	Is maintenance and repair work in accordance with manufacturer's	scheduled?	Yes	No
	recommendations?	performed?	Yes	No
f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	developed?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No

# **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure".

### **III-1.** Classification of areas

a)	Are controlled areas demarcated?		Yes	No
b)	Are approved signs at access points?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local	Yes	No
		language?		
c)	Is radiation source storage at a physically defined location?		Yes	No
	i) locked/secured location with key control?		Yes	No
	ii) radiation warning notices?	provided?	Yes	No
	-	legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
	iii) proper shielding (e.g. individual containers, enclosure)?		Yes	No
	iv) reserved only for radiation sources?		Yes	No
d)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
e)	Are approved signs at access points?	needed?	Yes	No
-		provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

# III-2. Local rules and supervision

a)		les established in writing, in a local language?		Yes	No
b)	Do ru	les include investigation levels and authorised levels and the proc	edure to be	Yes	No
	follow	ved when a level is exceeded?			
c)	Are w	orkers instructed in the implementing procedures?		Yes	No
d)	Is dev condit	ice operation done in accordance with prescribed operating proce	dures and	Yes	No
e)	Do wo	orkers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, procedures, pro- provide the supervision of the supervisio	tective	Yes	No
f)		fically, are operating and working procedures for:			
	i)	use of personal dosimetry and use of protective equipment:	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	ii)	performing routine maintenance:	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	iii)	making surveys	provided?	Yes	No
			adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	iv)	appropriate response to equipment damage:	provided?	Yes	No
		······································	adequate?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No

### **III-3.** Monitoring

b) Are the dosimeters:         i) Worn properly?         ii) Calibrated?         iii) Exchanged at required frequency?         c) Are personnel exposures within limits?	Yes	No
i)Worn properly?ii)Calibrated?iii)Exchanged at required frequency?c)Are personnel exposures within limits?		
ii)     Calibrated?       iii)     Exchanged at required frequency?       c)     Are personnel exposures within limits?		
iii)Exchanged at required frequency?Yc)Are personnel exposures within limits?Y	Yes	No
c) Are personnel exposures within limits?	Yes	No
	Yes	No
	Yes	No
d) Area and portable survey instruments		
i) Needed?	Yes	No
ii) Appropriate?	Yes	No
iii) Calibrated?	Yes	No

		Yes	No
	v) Operational check performed before use?	Yes	No
	vi) Spare batteries available?	Yes	No
e)	Do the authorised organisation's surveys indicate that the device shielding is adequate and the dose rates in the immediate vicinity and normally occupied areas meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
f)	Does the authorised organisation make periodic tests for leakage of radioactive materials from sealed sources?	Yes	No
g)	Does the authorised organisation use an outside qualified expert to perform leak tests?	Yes	No
h)	If not, is the authorised organisation's instrumentation:		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
Тур	e/model no. of survey meter:		
Date	e last calibrated:		
	the inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the authorised anisation?	Yes	No
-	cument any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resul	lts:	

# **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

# IV-1. Control of visitors

a)	Are visitors accompanied in controlled area?	Yes	No
b)	Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?	Yes	No
c)	Are there adequate controls over entries into supervised areas and appropriate postings?	Yes	No

# **IV-2.** Sources of exposure

a)	Are the shielding and other protective measures optimised for restricting public	Yes	No
	exposure to external sources of radiation?		
b)	Are the floor plans and arrangement of equipment as described in the application and	Yes	No
	appropriate considering any public areas adjacent to the installation?		
c)	Have provisions been made to detect and control contamination in the event of a	Yes	No
	leaking source?		

# IV-3. Radioactive waste and discharges

a)	Have provisions been made to transfer sources to an appropriate registrant or licensee	Yes	No
	or to an authorised waste disposal facility at the end of use?		
b)	If sources are no longer in use and being stored, does the authorised organisation have	Yes	No
	a plan for timely transfer or disposal of the sources?		

### IV-4. Monitoring of public exposure

a) Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in public areas adjacent to controlled and supervised areas made by the staff or qualified expert?	Yes	No
b) Do surveys shows that the device shielding is adequate and the dose rates outside the controlled and supervised areas meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
c) Record independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Type/model no. of survey meter:		
Date last calibrated:		
Are the inspector's independent measurements in agreement with the organisations routine measurements?	Yes	No
Document any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resu	ilts:	

# **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V "Emergency Exposure Situations".

#### V-1. Emergency plan

a)	Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b)	Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
c)	Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and accidents at similar facilities?	Yes	No
d)	Do the procedures include isolation and radiation surveys of damaged radiation sources, source holders, or operating mechanisms?	Yes	No
e)	Is emergency equipment available?	Yes	No

#### V-2. Training and exercises

a)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?	Yes	No
b)	Date of the last rehearsal:		

### **VI-RECORDS**

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Tests for leakage of radioactive material from sources
- g) Inventory of sources and accountability

- h) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme
- i) Incident and accident investigation reports
- j) Maintenance and repair work
- k) Facility modifications
- 1) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- m) Evidence of health surveillance
- n) Waste disposals
- o) Transportation
  - i) package documentation
  - ii) package surveys
  - iii) transfer/receipt documents
  - iv) details of shipments dispatched

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY TO ANNEX IV**

# GENERALLY APPLICABLE

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Radiation Protection and the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 120, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, International Basic Safety Standards for Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 115, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 60, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Organization and Implementation of a National Regulatory Infrastructure Governing Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and the Safety of Radiation Sources, IAEA-TECDOC-1067, IAEA, Vienna (1999).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection from Potential Exposure: A Conceptual Framework, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 64, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment of Occupational Exposure due to Intakes of Radionuclides, Safety Standards Series No. RS-G-1.2 (in preparation).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Direct Methods for Measuring Radionuclides in the Human Body, Safety Series No. 114, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Publications in the IAEA's RADWASS Programme under Safety Series No. 111, IAEA, Vienna.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials Used in Medicine, Industry, Research and Teaching, Safety Series No. 91, IAEA, Vienna (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Safe Use of Radiation Sources, Training Course Series No. 6, IAEA (1995).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material 1996 Edition, Safety Standards Series No. ST-1, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Basic Principles for Occupational Radiation Monitoring, Safety Series No. 84, IAEA, Vienna (1987).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Nuclear Gauges, IAEA, Vienna (1991).

# PARTICULARLY APPLICABLE TO INSTALLED GAUGES

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Nuclear Gauges, IAEA, Vienna (1991).
### Annex V

## SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR WORK WITH UNSEALED RADIOACTIVE SOURCES

This annex has two exhibits which include an application form and a checklist for inspection.

- (1) Example V.A: Application for authorization and review plan of work with unsealed radioactive sources in industry.
- (2) Example V.B: Checklist for commissioning and regular inspections of work with unsealed radioactive sources in industry.

References that may be useful to the regulatory authority and/or to licensees and registrants are listed in the bibliography at the end of the annex. The list is divided into references generally applicable for radiation safety and protection and those which may have particular relevance to work with unsealed radioactive sources.

## **Example V.A**

## APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION AND REVIEW PLAN OF WORK WITH UNSEALED RADIOACTIVE SOURCES IN INDUSTRY

#### TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION

\_\_\_\_\_ New application

\_\_\_\_\_ Amendment to existing authorization number \_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Renewal of authorization number \_\_\_\_\_

#### PURPOSE OF APPLICATION

\_\_\_\_\_ Construction (Complete Sections I through III)

\_\_\_\_\_ Import/Purchase (Complete Sections I and II)

\_\_\_\_\_ Use/Begin operation (Complete Sections I through IV)

You may refer to previous submissions by date and application or authorization number(s)

The legal person who will be responsible for using any unsealed source must, unless the source is exempted, submit the following information to the regulatory authority.

### **I-GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### I-1. Name and address of organisation:

Mailing address (if different)	Address of use (if different)
	Mailing address (if different)

#### I-2. Name and information about qualified experts:

Expertise: radiation protection officer	Expertise:	_
Name:	Name:	
Degree:		
Certification:	Certification:	_
Experience:		
		_
		_
Telephone number:		-
		-

### I-3. The responsible representative of the legal person:

Name:	Telephone number
Title:	Facsimile number
	e-mail address

#### I-4. Proposed date of installation and/or commissioning of facilities and equipment:

## SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

Signature of the authorised representative of the legal person

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Notes:

1. The regulatory authority may require additional information to fully consider this application prior to issuing an authorization.

2. In the event that all the information requested is not available at the time of application, the regulatory authority may issue an authorization limiting the applicant to import, acquire, or store radiation sources, or construct facilities. Complete information will be required from the applicant prior to authorising use of the radiation sources.

### **II-SOURCES**

-1. Details of radionuclides involved in the work.						
Radionuclide	Maximum	Physical/chemical	Application			
	activity	form				
(e.g. Carbon-14)	(e.g. 20 kBq)	(e.g. solid/liquid/gas	(e.g. Tracer study of oil			
		+chemical name)	well)			

#### **II-1.** Details of radionuclides involved in the work:

#### **II-2.** Containment of the radionuclides:

Describe how the radionuclides(s) will initially be contained. Indicate whether there will be any special features such as whether the container will be pressurised or incorporate shielding.

#### **II-3.** Work pattern:

State whether this work will involve one or more consignments of radionuclides and over what period of time the work will proceed.

#### **II-4.** Work locations:

Will the work be carried out at any address other than given in item I-1. above?	Do not	Yes	No
(Circle correct answer)	know		

(Note: that the regulatory authority may require notification prior to work at currently unknown addresses)

List all other addresses:


#### **II-5.** Radioactive wastes:

Indicate whether the work covered by this application is likely to generate radioactive waste(s) and provide an assessment of the different forms:

Radionuclide	Waste form	Maximum activity	Proposed disposal route
(e.g. Carbon-14)	(e.g. Liquid)	(e.g. KBq)	(e.g. to drain)

## **III-FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT**

In an attachment to this application, describe the facilities and equipment, including:

#### III-1. Facility specifications:

Describe factors such as the layout of facilities existing or to be provided at the addresses given. In particular, mention any features which will be designed to limit the spread of surface or airborne contamination by the radioactive material. Provide details of surfaces of floor, walls, equipment and furniture that may be designed to aid the removal of any spillage. Provide copies of any drawings that may be available. Show all areas where unsealed radioactive material will be stored and used. Indicate any controlled or supervised areas on the drawings and any barrier change areas.

### III-2. Equipment specification:

a) Describe proposed arrangements for restricting exposure including for example;

- i) shielding to be provided to minimise external doses; and
- ii) forms of extract ventilation to minimise the risk of internal doses.
- b) Provide manufacturers specifications of any equipment that may be used.
- c) State whether washing facilities will be readily accessible.
- d) Describe any personal protective equipment that will be provided.

## **IV-RADIATION PROTECTION AND SAFETY PROGRAMME**

In an attachment to this application, describe the radiation protection and safety programme including:

#### IV-1. Organisational structure

- a) Describe your organisational and management control systems, including assignment of responsibilities and clear lines of authority related to radiation safety. In particular include: staffing levels, equipment selection, other assignments of the radiation protection officer, authority of the radiation protection officer to stop unsafe operations, personnel training, maintenance of records, and how problems affecting safety are identified and corrected.
- b) Identify the authorised users, qualified experts, and radiation protection officer by name and include their training, education, experience and qualifications. (Note: the authorised user and/or radiation protection officer may be the same individual).
- c) Confirm that training will include: explanation of radiation hazards and effects, explanation of written procedures, use of equipment (e.g. instrumentation), meaning of warning signals, and a method to confirm adequacy of training (testing or demonstrations).

### IV-2. Workplace monitoring, area classification and individual monitoring

- a) Describe your programme for monitoring the workplace (BSS, I.37-I.40), including: the quantities to be measured, where and when the measurements are to be made, the measurement methods and procedures, and reference levels and the actions to be taken if they are exceeded.
- b) Describe your policies and procedures for classification of controlled and supervised areas. (BSS, I.21-I.25), specifically:
  - i) how will the areas of risk of exposure to external or internal doses be defined?
  - ii) how will access to these areas be restricted (e.g. use of barriers, warning notices and signals, etc.)?
- c) Describe personal dosimeters provided to workers and your policies for assigning dosimetry to individual workers (BSS, I.32-I.36). Describe your policy for reviewing individual doses, including reference levels and actions to be taken in exceeded.

Name and address of dosimetry service:

Denote type:

i) Film

- ii) ThermoLuminescent dosimeter (TLD)\_\_\_\_\_
- iii) Direct reading dosimeter (DRD)
- iv) other:

d) Describe what form of internal dosimetry, if any, will be provided.

### IV-3. Local rules and supervision

- a) Describe your local rules and procedures regarding: investigation or authorised levels, protective measures and safety provisions, providing adequate supervision, providing workers information regarding health risks due to occupational exposure, and emergency planning instruction (BSS, I.26-I.27).
- b) Provide copies of your operating and safety procedures including: area access control, entry procedures into shielded enclosures, source inventory and leak testing, etc.
- c) Describe your training program to ensure all appropriate personnel are adequately trained in the operating procedures and how their actions may affect safety (BSS, I.27).
- d) Describe your policies regarding female workers who become pregnant (notification, adoption of working conditions to protect foetus/embryo) and the instructions you will provide to them (BSS, I.16-I.17 and I.27).
- e) Describe your programme of health surveillance based on general principles of occupational health and designed to assess the initial and continuing fitness of workers for their intended tasks (BSS, I.41-I.43).

### IV-4. Quality assurance

- a) Describe your programme for ensuring that regulatory radiation safety requirements are addressed and satisfied.
- b) Describe your program to periodically review procedures, maintain procedures current and available, and your procedure modification process.
- c) Describe your programme for optimising occupational and public exposures to levels as low as reasonably achievable.
- d) Describe your programme of periodic maintenance and testing (safety interlocks, radiation meters, etc.). Attach the manufacturers instructions.
- e) Describe service arrangements with other organisations and qualified experts.

### **IV-5.** Transportation of radioactive material;

If you will be transporting or shipping new or used sources, describe your arrangements for preparation and transport of packages containing radioactive sources (IAEA Safety Standards Series No. ST-1). These procedures should address: documentation of package certification, package surveys, transfer/receipt documents, and details of shipments preparation.

### IV-6. Emergency procedures

Provide your emergency procedures to address emergencies such as potential damage to the source, loss of source shielding, stuck sources or substantial accidental exposure of an individual. If other emergencies are envisaged, please provide additional appropriate emergency procedures. In all cases the magnitude of the hazard should be evaluated. Any off-site consequences should also be evaluated. Local emergency services (e.g. fire, police) may need to be provided with copies of the emergency procedures.

### IV-7. Transfer or disposal of radioactive sources

Describe arrangements for transfer or disposal of spent radioactive sources.

### IV-8. System of records (BSS; 2.40, I.44-I.49), including:

- a) Disposal of waste
- b) Personnel exposure
  - i) current records
  - ii) prior work history
- c) Area surveys
  - i) dose or dose rate
  - ii) contamination
- d) Instrument tests and calibrations
- e) Inventory and material accountability
- f) Audits and reviews of radiation safety program
- g) Incident and accident investigation reports
- h) Training provided
- i) Evidence of health surveillance of workers
- j) Transportation

## **Example V.B**

## CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTIONS OF WORK WITH UNSEALED RADIOACTIVE SOURCES IN INDUSTRY

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme review/inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of IAEA Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II–VI.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

### **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

-1.	Name of the institution:	
-2.	Address of facility:	
-3.	Telephone/facsimile/e-mail:	Voice: Fax: e-mail:
-4.	Authorization number:	
-5.	Name and qualification of the radiation	Name:
	protection officer:	Degree:
		Certification:
		Experience:
-6.	Name and qualifications of any qualified experts retained:	
	Name:	Name:
	Degree:	
	Certification:	
	Experience:	Experience:

## **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

<b>II-1.</b> Kauloactive materials available				
Radionuclides	Activity suthorised	Activity present	Maximum individual	Chemical/ physical
Radionaciaes				
	(MBq)	(MBq)	source activity	forms
			(MBq)	

#### II-1. Radioactive materials available

Are the stocks of radioactive materials within authorised limits?

### II-2. Measuring and handling equipment

Type of equipment	Manufacturer:	Model no:	Number	Comments:
Liquid scintillation				
counter				
Well counter				
Lead blocks				
L-Block				
Tongs				
Fume hood				

## II-3. Facility design

a) Describe any differences or modifications from those approved by the regulatory authority and/or considered in the safety assessment (e.g. ventilation, plumbing system, shielding design, building materials and floor plan.):

b)	Was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any modifications?	Yes	No
c)	Is the thickness and type of shielding appropriate for the types and intensity of	Yes	No
	radiation produced by radioisotopes in use?		

### II-4. Safety control and equipment design

a)	Are adequate number of lead containers, lead blocks, and portable or	Provided?	Yes	No
	fixed shields available for shielding in storage and handling rooms?	Used?	Yes	No
b)	Is remote handling equipment such as (tongs, automatic pipettes, etc.)	Provided?	Yes	No
	available?	Used?	Yes	No
c)	Are ventilated fume hoods for handling large quantities of volatile	Provided?	Yes	No
	radioactive material available?	Used?	Yes	No

d)	Are the drainage ducts of the laboratory (sinks, wash basins, toilets, etc.) connected	Yes	No
	directly to the sanitary sewage system		
e)	Are adequate provision made for storage of wastes before disposal?	Yes	No

## II-5. Warning systems:

Written notices	provided?	Yes	No
	legible?	Yes	No
	in local		
	language?	Yes	No

### II-6. Safety operations — management

		-	
a)	Is management knowledgeable of the certificate of authorization and its restrictions	Yes	No
	and requirements?		
b)	Does management provide adequate staffing levels?	Yes	No
c)	Has management provided the radiation protection officer authority to stop unsafe operations?	Yes	No
d)	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training (time and money)?	Yes	No
e)	Does management provide adequate equipment?	Yes	No
f)	Does management provide for periodic program reviews and recommendations?	Yes	No
	Date of the last program review:		
	Status of recommendations:		

### II-7. <u>Safety operations — technical</u>

a)	Does the radiation protection officer (RPO) have adequate knowledge an	nd expertise?	Yes	No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?		Yes	No
c)	Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory auth	ority and the	Yes	No
	provisions of the certificate of authorization?			
d)	d) Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not kept too busy		Yes	No
	with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial help	p)?		
e)	e) Does RPO maintains knowledge of activities of workers using radiation sources?		Yes	No
f)	Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of workers?		Yes	No
g)	Does the RPO maintain adequate records to demonstrate worker and pub	olic	Yes	No
	protection?			
h)	Are there provisions for inventory of sources and accountability:	Procedure?	Yes	No
	•	Performed?	Yes	No
i)	Are there provisions for audits and reviews of radiation safety program:	Procedure?	Yes	No
	-	Performed?	Yes	No

### II-8. Investigation and quality assurance

a)	Were there any incidents or accidents?		Yes	No
b)	If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No
c)	Were safety assessments reviewed or made based on lessons learned from accident or accidents at similar facilities?	n any	Yes	No
d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	Procedure? Performed?	Yes Yes	No No
e)	Is maintenance and repair work (measuring equipment, ventilation systems, etc.) in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations?	Scheduled? Performed?	Yes Yes	No No
f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	Developed? Followed?	Yes Yes	No No

## **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure".

## **III-1.** Classification of areas

a)	Are controlled areas demarcated?		Yes	No
b)	Are approved signs at access points?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
c)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
d)	Are approved signs at access points?	needed?	Yes	No
		provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

### **III-2.** Local rules and supervision

a)	Are rules established in writing, in a local language?	Yes	No
b)	Do rules include investigation levels and authorised levels and the procedure to be	Yes	No
	followed when a level is exceeded?		
c)	Are workers instructed in the implementing procedures?	Yes	No
d)	Are work activities done in accordance with prescribed operating procedures and	Yes	No
	conditions including the use of remote handling tools and shielding?		
e)	Do workers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, procedures, protective	Yes	No
	measures and safety provisions are followed?		

### III-3. Monitoring — external

a)	Does the authorised organisation provide personal dosimeters?	Yes	No
b)	Are the dosimeters:	•	
	i) Worn properly?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Exchanged at required frequency?	Yes	No
c)	Are personnel exposures within limits?	Yes	No
d)	Area and portable survey instruments		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
	iv) Operational check performed before use?	Yes	No
	v) Spare batteries available?	Yes	No
e)	Do the authorised organisation's surveys indicate that the radiation room shielding is	Yes	No
	adequate and the dose rates around the room meet authorised radiation levels?		
Rec	cord independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Гур	be/model no. of survey meter:		
Dat	e last calibrated:		
Do	the inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the authorised	Yes	No
orga	anisation?		
Doc	cument any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resu	ılts:	

## III-4. Monitoring — internal

a)	Adequate containment measures against leakage?		Yes	No
b)	Are surfaces designed for easy decontamination?		Yes	No
c)	Are surfaces covered to aid decontamination?		Yes	No
d)	User surveys show contamination less than authorised limits?		Yes	No
e)	Protective clothing available to cover the body (e.g. overall, coat)?		Yes	No
f)	Protective clothing available to cover hair (e.g. hood)?		Yes	No
g)	Protective clothing available to cover hands (e.g. gloves?		Yes	No
h)	Protective clothing available to cover feet (e.g. change of shoes oversho	es)?	Yes	No
i)	Respiratory protective equipment	Provided?	Yes	No
,		Adequate?	Yes	No
		Tested?	Yes	No
j)	Hygiene precautions (e.g. restrictions on eating, etc.)	•	Yes	No
k)	Washing and changing facilities	Provided?	Yes	No
		Adequate?	Yes	No
1)	Personal air sampling	Provided?	Yes	No
,		Adequate?	Yes	No
		Working?	Yes	No
m)	Bioassay	Provided?	Yes	No
	·	Adequate?	Yes	No
n)	Surface contamination meters	Provided?	Yes	No
ŕ		Adequate?	Yes	No
		Working?	Yes	No
0)	Area airborne monitoring	Provided?	Yes	No
	-	Adequate?	Yes	No
		Working?	Yes	No
Rec	ord independent measurements made during the inspection:	•	•	
	e/model no. of measuring instrument:			
	e last calibrated:		1	1
	he inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the au	thorised	Yes	No
0	nisation?			
Doc	ument any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the	e different resu	lts:	

## **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

#### **IV-1.** Control of visitors

a)	Are visitors permitted in controlled areas?		Yes	No
b)	Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?		Yes	No
c)	Are there adequate controls over entries into controlled and supervised	provided?	Yes	No
	areas and appropriate postings?	legible?	Yes	No
		local	Yes	No
		language?		

IV-2.	Sou	rces of exposure		
	a)	Are the shielding and other protective measures optimised for restricting public	Yes	No
		exposure to external sources of radiation?		
	b)	Are the floor plans and arrangement of equipment appropriate considering public	Yes	No
		areas adjacent to the installation?		

## IV-3. Monitoring of public exposure — external

a)	Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in areas adjacent to treatment and storage made by the staff or qualified expert?	Yes	No
Rec	ord independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Тур	e/model no. of survey meter:		
Dat	e last calibrated:		
	the inspector's independent measurements in agreement with the organisations routine asurements?	Yes	No
	surveys shows that the shielding is adequate and the dose rates outside the controlled supervised areas meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No

#### IV-4. Monitoring of public exposure — internal

a)		forms and locations as anticipated?		Yes	No
b)	Quant	ities within authorised limits?		Yes	No
c)	Airbo	rne waste pathway			
	i)	Local ventilation (e.g. fume hood, glove box, room, flow rates)	provided? working? adequate?	Yes Yes Yes	No No No
	ii)	Discharge point of ventilation (e.g. location, height, flow rates, proximity to occupied areas)	adequate? monitored?	Yes Yes Yes	No No No
	iii) iv)	Release to off site public locations? If yes, explain:		Yes	No
d)	Liquic	l waste pathway		l	
	i)	Drainage systems (e.g. dedicated sink, closed collection system, enclosed drainage and sewer, dilution factors)	Provided? Adequate?	Yes Yes	No No
	ii) iii)	Precautions for mixed hazardous wastes? If yes, explain:		Yes	No
e)	Solid	waste pathway			
	i)	Storage container (e.g. bags, drums)	strong/tight? labelled? secured?	Yes Yes Yes	No No No
	ii)	Disposal method	decay-in- storage? secure?	Yes Yes	No
			burial? Incinerate?	Yes Yes	No No

iii) iv)	Precautions for mixed hazardous wastes? If needed, explain:	Yes	No
v) vi)	Release to off site public locations? If yes, explain:	Yes	No

## **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V "Emergency Exposure Situations".

#### V-1. Emergency plan

a)	Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b)	Written procedures for handling emergencies (e.g. spillage, skin contamination, etc.)	Yes	No
c)	Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
d)	Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and accidents at similar facilities?	Yes	No
e)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?	Yes	No
f)	Have provisions been made for the plan to be rehearsed at suitable intervals (particularly what to do if equipment malfunctions or personal contamination is detected)?	Yes	No
g)	Date of the last rehearsal:		

### **VI-RECORDS**

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Inventory of sources and accountability
- g) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme
- h) Incident and accident investigation reports
- i) Maintenance and repair work
- j) Facility modifications
- k) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- 1) Evidence of health surveillance
- m) Waste disposals
- n) Transportation
  - i) package documentation
  - ii) package surveys
  - iii) transfer/receipt documents
  - iv) details of shipments dispatched

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY TO ANNEX V**

## GENERALLY APPLICABLE

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Radiation Protection and the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 120, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, International Basic Safety Standards for Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 115, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 60, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Organization and Implementation of a National Regulatory Infrastructure Governing Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and the Safety of Radiation Sources, IAEA-TECDOC-1067, IAEA, Vienna (1999).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection from Potential Exposure: A Conceptual Framework, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 64, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment of Occupational Exposure due to Intakes of Radionuclides, Safety Standards Series No. RS-G-1.2 (in preparation).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Direct Methods for Measuring Radionuclides in the Human Body, Safety Series No. 114, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Publications in the IAEA's RADWASS Programme under Safety Series No. 111, IAEA, Vienna.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials Used in Medicine, Industry, Research and Teaching, Safety Series No. 91, IAEA, Vienna (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Safe Use of Radiation Sources, Training Course Series No. 6, IAEA (1995).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material 1996 Edition, Safety Standards Series No. ST-1, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Basic Principles for Occupational Radiation Monitoring, Safety Series No. 84, IAEA, Vienna (1987).

# PARTICULARLY APPLICABLE TO UNSEALED SOURCES

HUDSON, A.P., SHAW, J., Categorization and Designation of Working Areas in which Unsealed Radioactive Materials are Used, NRPB-M443, National Radiological Protection Board (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Manual on Decontamination of Surfaces, Safety Series No. 48, IAEA, Vienna (1979).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Management of Radioactive Wastes Produced by Users of Radioactive Materials, Safety Series No. 70, IAEA, Vienna (1985).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Principles for Limiting Releases of Radioactive Effluents into the Environment, Safety Series No. 77, IAEA, Vienna (1986).

## Annex VI

## SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR DIAGNOSTIC X RAY EQUIPMENT

This annex has two exhibits which include an application form and a checklist for inspection.

- (1) Example VI.A: Application for authorization and review plan for diagnostic X ray equipment.
- (2) Example VI.B: Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of diagnostic X ray installations.

References that may be useful to the regulatory authority and/or to licensees and registrants are listed in the bibliography at the end of the annex. The list is divided into references generally applicable for radiation safety and protection and those which may have particular relevance to work with diagnostic x ray equipment.

## **Example VI.A**

## APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION AND REVIEW PLAN FOR DIAGNOSTIC X RAY EQUIPMENT

### TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION

\_\_\_\_\_ New application

- \_\_\_\_\_ Amendment to existing authorization number \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Renewal of authorization number \_\_\_\_\_

#### PURPOSE OF APPLICATION

\_\_\_\_\_ Construction (Complete Sections I through III)

- \_\_\_\_\_ Import/Purchase (Complete Sections I and II)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Use/Begin operation (Complete Sections I through V)

You may refer to previous submissions by date and application or authorization number(s)

The legal person who will be responsible for using any radiation generator must, unless the source is exempted, submit the following information to the regulatory authority.

## **I-GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### I-1. Name and address of organisation:

Main address	Mailing address (if different)	Address of use (if different)

#### I-2. Name and information about qualified experts:

Expertise: Radiation protection officer Expertise: Physician — Diagnostic Radiology Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Degree: \_\_\_\_\_ Degree: \_\_\_\_\_ Certification: \_\_\_\_\_ Certification: \_\_\_\_\_ Experience: \_\_\_\_\_ Experience: \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Telephone number: \_\_\_\_\_ Expertise: Radiodiagnostic Physics Expertise: \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Degree: \_\_\_\_\_ Degree: \_\_\_\_\_ Certification: Certification: \_\_\_\_\_ Experience: \_\_\_\_\_ Experience: \_\_\_\_\_

### I-4. Proposed date of installation and/or commissioning of facilities and equipment:

### SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

Signature of the authorised representative of the legal person

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Notes:

1. The regulatory authority may require additional information to fully consider this application prior to issuing an authorization.

2. In the event that all the above information is not available at the time of application, the regulatory authority may issue an authorization limiting the applicant to import, acquire, or store radiation sources, or construct facilities. Complete information will be required from the applicant prior to authorising use of the radiation sources.

3. Medical exposure may be under the jurisdiction of a regulatory authority other than the regulatory authority responsible for occupational and public exposure. However, the authorised user should address the items in Section V for referral to any appropriate authority.

## **II-EQUIPMENT**

II-1.	X ray	generators
-------	-------	------------

Manufacturer/Address/Workload	Number of tubes	Model number	Serial number	Maximum voltage (kV)	Maximum current (mA)
Name:					
Address:					
Max output:					
Exposure time per week:					
XX7 11 11 1					
Name:					
Address:					
Max output:					
Exposure time per week:					
Weekly workload:					
Name:					
Address:					
·····					
Max output:					
Exposure time per week:					
Weekly workload:					
Name:					
Address:					
Max output:					
Exposure time per week:					
Weekly workload:					

Is each device that emits radiation manufactured, prototype tested, and subject to quality control provisions of standards recognised by national or international standard setting organisations (e.g. IEC)? If, so please list and identify the standards and any applicable classification numbers.

#### **II-2.** Work locations:

Will the work be carried out at any address other than given in item I-1. above?	Do not	Yes	No
(Circle correct answer)	know		

(Note: that the regulatory authority may require notification prior to work at currently unknown addresses)

#### List all other known addresses:


### II-3. Service and maintenance

Identify who will be authorised to perform service and maintenance on the X ray equipment.

\_\_\_\_\_

## **III-FACILITIES**

In an attachment to this application, describe the facilities, including:

#### III-1. Layout of X ray rooms

Attach a layout plan of the X ray rooms indicating the locations of the control panel, mobile protective barrier, cassette pass box, doors, windows/ventilators, passages, dark room, patient waiting area, the occupancies around the installation, the material and thickness of the wall materials, and the location and size of any windows.

#### III-2. Safety assessments

Taking into account existing shielding, provide calculations of the maximum dose rates expected in all areas outside the X ray room(s) which could be occupied. For these calculations, assume the radiation beam is oriented in the position that would result in the highest directional exposures. Provide estimates of expected doses to workers during normal operations. Identify the probability and magnitude of potential exposures (to workers) arising from accidents or incidents. Include a statement of all assumptions used in the calculations.

## **IV-RADIATION PROTECTION AND SAFETY PROGRAMME**

In an attachment to this application, describe the radiation protection programme, including:

#### IV-1. Organisational structure

- a) Describe your organisational and management control systems including assignment of responsibilities and clear lines of authority related to radiation safety. In particular include: staffing levels, equipment selection, other assignments of the radiation protection officer, a requirement for the RPO to notify the Radiation Safety Committee or Licensee of unsafe operations, personnel training, maintenance of records and how problems affecting safety are identified and corrected.
- b) Identify the authorised physician users, by name and include their training, qualifications, and experience in diagnostic radiology.
- c) Identify ancillary personnel who will be involved in authorised activities and describe the training that will be provided to these individuals for working with X ray equipment (BSS I.27; II.1).
- d) Confirm that training will include: explanation of radiation hazards and effects, explanation of written procedures, use of equipment (radiation source and instrumentation), meanings of warning signals, and a method to confirm adequacy of training (testing or demonstrations).

#### IV-2. Individual monitoring and classification and monitoring of areas

\_\_\_\_\_

- a) Describe your programme for monitoring the work areas (BSS, I.37-I.40), including: the quantities to be measured, where and when the measurements are to be made, the measurement methods and procedures, and reference levels and the actions to be taken if they are exceeded.
- b) Describe your policies and procedures for classification of controlled and supervised areas. (BSS, I.21-I.25).
- c) Describe personal dosimeters provided to workers and your policies for assigning dosimetry to individual workers (BSS, I.32-I.36). Describe your policy for reviewing individual doses, including reference levels and actions to be taken in exceeded.

Name and address of dosimetry service:

#### Denote type:

- i) Film
- ii) ThermoLuminescent dosimeter (TLD)\_\_\_\_\_
- iii) Direct reading dosimeter (DRD)
- iv) other: \_\_\_\_\_

### IV-3. Local rules and supervision

- a) Describe your local rules and procedures regarding: investigation or authorised levels, protective measures and safety provisions, providing adequate supervision, providing workers information regarding health risks due to occupational exposure, and emergency planning instruction (BSS, I.26-I.27).
- b) Provide copies of your operating and safety procedures including: area access control.
- c) Describe your training program to ensure that all appropriate personnel are adequately trained in the correct operating procedures and how their actions may affect safety.
- d) Describe your policies regarding female workers who become pregnant (notification, adoption of working conditions to protect foetus/embryo) and the instructions you will provide to them (BSS, I.16-I.17 and I.27).
- e) Describe your programme of health surveillance based on general principles of occupational health and designed to assess the initial and continuing fitness of workers for their intended tasks (BSS, I.41-I.43).

### IV-4. Quality assurance

- a) Describe your programme for ensuring regulatory radiation safety requirements are addressed and satisfied.
- b) Describe your program to periodically review procedures, maintain procedures current and available, and your procedure modification process.
- c) Describe your programme for optimising occupational and public exposures to levels as low as reasonably achievable.
- d) Describe your programme of periodic maintenance and testing (safety interlocks, shutter mechanisms, radiation meters, ancillary equipment, etc.). Attach the manufacturers instructions.
- e) Describe service arrangements with other organisations and qualified experts.

### **IV-5.** System of records (BSS; 2.40, I.44-I.49, II.31-I.32), including:

- a) Personnel exposure
  - i) current records
  - ii) prior work history
- b) Area surveys
  - i) dose or dose rate
- c) Instrument tests and calibrations
- d) Audits and reviews of radiation safety program
- e) Incident and accident investigation reports
- f) Maintenance and repair work
- g) Facility modifications
- h) Training provided
- i) Evidence of health surveillance of workers
- j) Clinical dosimetry records

## V-MEDICAL EXPOSURE

If appropriate for the purposes of the regulatory authority, in an attachment to this application, describe the programme to control medical exposure, including:

(BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix II "Medical Exposure").

### V-1. Responsibilities

- a) Describe your arrangements to ensure that no patient is diagnostically exposed unless the exposure is prescribed by a medical practitioner.
- b) Describe your arrangements to ensure that there are an adequate number of trained medical and paramedical personnel to discharge assigned tasks.
- c) Confirm diagnostic imaging and quality assurance requirements are fulfilled with the advice of a qualified expert in nuclear medicine physics.

### V-2. Justification

- a) Describe your arrangements to ensure that medical exposures are justified by weighing the benefits they produce against the radiation detriment they might cause, taking into account the benefits and risks of alternate techniques that do not involve ionising radiation.
- b) Describe your arrangements to ensure that exposure of humans for medical research is in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and follows the guidelines for its application prepared by the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences and the World Health Organization.

- c) Describe your arrangements to ensure that exposure of humans for medical research is subject to the advice of an Ethical Review Committee or other similar institutional body.
- d) If you intend to use radiological examinations for screening of large populations or for occupational, legal or health insurance purposes; include a description of the standards you will use for justification.

### V-3. Optimisation of protection

- a) Describe your arrangements for medical practitioners to ensure that the exposure of patients is the minimum necessary to achieve the diagnostic objective and to take into account relevant information from previous examinations to avoid unnecessary additional examinations.
- b) Describe your arrangements to ensure that newly purchased equipment:
  - i) whether imported into or manufactured in the country, the equipment conforms to applicable standards of the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) and the ISO or to equivalent national standards;
  - performance specifications and operating and maintenance instructions, including protection and safety instructions, be provided in a major world language understandable to the users and in compliance with the relevant IEC or ISO standards with regard to "accompanying documents", and that this information be translated into local languages when appropriate;
  - iii) where applicable, the operating terminology (or its abbreviations) and operating values be displayed on operating consoles in a major world language acceptable to the user;
- c) Describe your arrangements regarding medical exposure of women who are, or may be, pregnant.

### V-4. Calibration, clinical dosimetry and quality assurance

- a) Describe your program for calibration of the X ray radiation beams traceable to a Standards dosimetry laboratory. (BSS II.19).
- b) Describe your program for clinical dosimetry including representative values for typical sized adult patients of entrance surface doses, dose-area products, dose rates and exposure times or organ doses,
- c) Describe your program for preventive maintenance and quality assurance for medical exposures established taking into account the principles established by the WHO, and the PAHO.
- d) The quality assurance programme for medical exposures shall include:
  - i) measurements of the physical parameters of the radiation generators, imaging devices and irradiation installations at the time of commissioning and periodically thereafter;
  - ii) verification of the appropriate physical and clinical factors used in patient diagnosis or treatment;
  - iii) written records of relevant procedures and results;
  - iv) verification of the appropriate calibration and conditions of operation of dosimetry and monitoring equipment; and
  - v) as far as possible, regular and independent quality audit reviews of the quality assurance programme for diagnostic procedures.

#### V-5. Dose constraints

Describe your policies to ensure any dose to individuals incurred knowingly while voluntarily helping (other than in their occupation) in the care support and comfort of patients undergoing medical diagnosis will be constrained to a level not exceeding that specified by national authorities.

### V-6. Investigations of accidental medical exposures

a) Confirm that you will investigate any or all instances where:

- i) A diagnostic dose was substantially greater than intended or resulted in doses repeatedly and substantially exceeding the established guidance levels.
- ii) An equipment failure, accident, error, mishap or other unusual occurrence with the potential for causing a patient exposure significantly different from that intended.
- b) With respect to any incidents investigated, confirm you will:
  - i) Calculate or estimate the doses received and their distribution within the patient.
  - ii) Indicate the corrective measures required to prevent recurrence of such an incident.
  - iii) Implement all corrective measures that were under their control.
  - iv) Submit to the regulatory authority, as soon as possible after the investigation or as otherwise specified by the regulatory authority, a written report which stated the cause of the accident and included the information specified in "i" to "iii", as relevant.
  - v) Inform the patient and his or her doctor about the incident.

## **EXAMPLE VI.B**

# CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTION OF DIAGNOSTIC X RAY INSTALLATIONS

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme review/inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of IAEA Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II–VII.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

### **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

I-1.	Name of the institution:	
12	Address of facility:	
1-2.	Address of facility:	
I-3.	Telephone/facsimile/e-mail:	Voice: Fax:
		e-mail:
I-4.	Authorization number:	
I-5.	Name and qualification of the radiation	Name:
10.	protection officer:	Degree:
	<b>r</b>	Certification:
		Experience:
I-6.	Name and qualifications of any qualified experts retained:	
	Diagnostic Radiology Physics:	Physician–Diagnostic Radiologist:
	e e	Name:
		Degree:
	Certification:	Certification:
	Experience:	Experience:
		Expertise:
		Name: Degree:
		Certification:
		Experience:
T 7	Nome and the of the normal the second to the	
I-7.	Name and title of the responsible representative of the legal person:	
	VI LIIC ICZAI PCI SVII.	

## **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

Type of	Manufacturer:	Model	Number	Maximum	Maximum	Exposure	Weekly
X ray		no:	of X ray	voltage	current	time per	work-
equipment			tubes	-		week	load

#### **II-1.** Radiation generating equipment

Describe any differences between equipment in use and that approved by the regulatory authority and any features outside the parameters considered in the original safety assessment (i.e. higher energy or output.)

### II-2. Shielding design

Describe any differences or modifications from those approved by the regulatory authority and/or considered in the safety assessment (e.g. shielding design, building materials and controls, etc.):

a)	Was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any modifications?	Yes	No
b)	Is the thickness and type of shielding appropriate for the types and intensity of	Yes	No
	radiation produced by X ray devices?		
c)	Are the areas of installation adequate?	Yes	No
d)	Is operator protection adequate?	Yes	No
e)	Are appropriate accessories available? (Mobile protective barrier/Lead rubber	Yes	No
	apron/lead rubber gloves/Lead rubber flaps/Red goggles/Fluoroscopic chair/Gondola		
	shield)		

#### II-3. Safety control and equipment design

a)	Radio	logy		
	i)	Light beam diaphragm available:	Yes	No
	ii)	Diaphragm opening symmetrical:	Yes	No
	iii)	Grid movement satisfactory:	Yes	No
	iv)	Chest stand lead backing satisfactory?	Yes	No
v)	Diaph	aragm/Cone available:	Yes	No
b)	Fluor	oscopy		
	i)	Fluoroscopic screen brightness satisfactory?	Yes	No
	ii)	Tube to screen alignment satisfactory?	Yes	No
	iii)	Beam confinement to screen at maximum fields size and table to screen	Yes	No
		distance satisfactory?		

iv)	Shutter movements satisfactory?		Yes	No
v)	Foot switch	Provided?	Yes	No
		Used?	Yes	No
vi)	Diaphragm control knobs shielded?		Yes	No
vii)	Red light provided inside the room?		Yes	No
viii)	Room darkening adequate?		Yes	No

### II-4. Warning systems:

a)	Exposure signals and posted explanation (e.g. illuminated signs written	provided?	Yes	No
	signs, posters)	working?	Yes	No
b)	Warning notices (In local language?)	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local	Yes	No
		language?		

# II-5. <u>Safety operations — management</u>

a)	Is management knowledgeable of the certificate of authorization and its rest and requirements?	strictions Yes	No
b)	Does management provide adequate staffing levels?	Yes	No
c)	Has management provided the radiation protection officer authority to stop operations?	unsafe Yes	No
d)	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training (time money)?	and Yes	No
e)	Does management provide adequate equipment?	Yes	No
f)	Does management provide for periodic program reviews and recommendate	tions? Yes	No
	<ul> <li>i) Date of the last program review:</li> <li>ii) Status of recommendations:</li> </ul>		

## II-6. Safety operations — technical

	cty operations teeninear		
a)	Does the radiation protection officer (RPO) have adequate knowledge and expertise?	Yes	No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?	Yes	No
c)	Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory authority and the	Yes	No
	provisions of the certificate of authorization?		
d)	Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not kept too busy	Yes	No
	with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial help)?		
e)	Does RPO maintains knowledge of activities of workers using radiation sources?	Yes	No
f)	Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of workers?	Yes	No
g)	Does the RPO maintain adequate records to demonstrate worker and public	Yes	No
	protection?		

### II-7. Investigations and quality assurance

a)	) Were there any incidents or accidents?		Yes	No
b)	If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No
c)	) Were safety assessments reviewed or made based on lessons learned from any accident or accidents at similar facilities?		Yes	No
d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	Procedure? Performed?	Yes Yes/	No No
e)	Is maintenance and repair work in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations?	Scheduled? Performed?	Yes Yes/	No No
f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	Developed? Followed?	Yes Yes/	No No

## **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure".

### **III-1.** Classification of areas

a) Are controlled areas demarcated?			Yes	No
b)	Are approved signs at access points?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No
c)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
d)	Are approved signs at access points?	needed?	Yes	No
		provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	0
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

#### **III-2.** Local rules and supervision

a)	Are rules established in writing?	Yes	No
b)	Do rules include investigation levels and authorised levels and the procedure to be	Yes	No
	followed when a level is exceeded?		
c)	Are workers (including nurses attending patients) instructed in the implementing	Yes	No
	procedures?		
d)	Do workers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, procedures, protective	Yes	No
	measures and safety provisions are followed?		

### III-3. Monitoring

1110	into ing		
a)	Does the authorised organisation provide personal dosimeters?	Yes	No
b)	Are the dosimeters:		
	i) Worn properly?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Exchanged at required frequency?	Yes	No
c)	Are personnel exposures within limits?	Yes	No
d)	Area and portable survey instruments		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
	iv) Operational check performed before use?	Yes	No
e)	Do the authorised organisation's surveys indicate that the radiation room shielding is	Yes	No
	adequate and the dose rates around the room meet authorised radiation levels?		
	e/model no. of survey meter:		
	e last calibrated:	**	
	the inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the authorised anisation?	Yes	No
Doc	sument any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resu	ilts:	

## **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

### **IV-1.** Control of visitors

a)	Are visitors permitted in controlled areas?		Yes	No
b)	Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?		Yes	No
c)	Are there adequate controls over entries into controlled and supervised	provided?	Yes	No
	areas and appropriate postings?	legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

### **IV-2.** Sources of exposure

a)	Are the shielding and other protective measures optimised for restricting public	Yes	No
	exposure to external sources of radiation?		
b)	Are the floor plans and arrangement of equipment appropriate considering public	Yes	No
	areas adjacent to the installation?		

#### IV-3. Monitoring of public exposure

a)	Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in areas adjacent to treatment and storage made by the staff or qualified expert?	Yes	No
b)	Record independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Тур	e/model no. of survey meter:		
Date	e last calibrated:		
	the inspector's independent measurements in agreement with the organisations routine surements?	Yes	No
	surveys shows that the shielding is adequate and the dose rates outside the controlled supervised areas meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No

### **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V "Emergency Exposure Situations".

#### V-1. Emergency plan

a)	Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b)	Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
c)	Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and	Yes	No
	accidents at similar facilities?		
d)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?	Yes	No

### VI-MEDICAL EXPOSURE

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix II "Medical Exposure".

### VI-1. Responsibilities

a)	No patient treated unless the exposure is prescribed by a medical	procedures?	Yes	No
	practitioner?	followed?	Yes	No
b)	Are there an adequate number of trained medical and paramedical personnel to discharge assigned tasks?		Yes	No
c)	Are diagnostic imaging and quality assurance requirements fulfilled with	n the advice	Yes	No
	of a qualified expert in radiodiagnostic physics?			

### VI-2. Justification

0			
a)	Are diagnostic medical exposures justified by taking into account the benefits and risks of alternate techniques that do not involve medical exposure?	Yes	No
b)	Are there procedures to ensure that exposure of humans for medical research is in	Yes	No
	accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and follows the guidelines for its		
	application prepared by the Council for International Organizations of Medical		
	Sciences and the World Health Organization?		
c)	Is each exposure of humans for medical research subject to the advice of an Ethical	Yes	No
	Review Committee or other similar institutional body?		
d)	Are standards available and followed for radiological examinations for screening of	Yes	No
	large populations or for occupational, legal, or health insurance purposes.		

### VI-3. Optimisation

a)	Does newly acquired equipment conform to applicable standards of the International	Yes	No
	Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) and the ISO or to equivalent national standards?		
b)	Are performance specifications and operating and maintenance instructions provided	Yes	No
	in a major world language understandable to the users and in compliance with the		
	relevant IEC or ISO standards with regard to "accompanying documents"?		
c)	The operating terminology (or its abbreviations) and operating values be displayed	Yes	No
	on operating consoles in a major world language acceptable to the user; where		
	practicable?		

### VI-4. Operational considerations

a)	Do medical practitioners ensure that appropriate equipment is used, that the exposure of patients is the minimum necessary to achieve the diagnostic objective, and take into account relevant information from previous examinations to avoid unnecessary additional exposure?	Yes	No
b)	Do the medical practitioner, the technologists or other imaging staff select the parameters such that their combination produces the minimum patient exposure consistent with acceptable image quality and the clinical purpose of the examination?	Yes	No
c)	Are radiological examinations causing exposure of the abdomen or pelvis of women who are pregnant avoided unless there are strong clinical reasons for such examinations?	Yes	No
d)	Are diagnostic examinations causing exposure of the abdomen or pelvis of women of reproductive capacity planned to deliver the minimum dose to any embryo or foetus?	Yes	No

### VI-5. Calibration

a)	Is the calibration of X ray equipment used for medical exposure traceable to a Standards dosimetry laboratory?	Yes	No
b)	Are calibrations carried out at commissioning of a unit, after maintenance that could affect dosimetry and at periodic intervals?	Yes	No

#### VI-6 Clinical dosimetry

Are representative values for typical sized adult patients of entrance surface doses, dose-	Yes	No
area products, dose rates and exposure times, or organ doses determined and documented?		

### VI-7. Quality assurance

_					
a)	Does the medical quality assurance program include:				
	i) measurements and verification of physical parameters at the procedures?			Yes	No
		time of commissioning and periodically thereafter?	followed?	Yes	No
	ii)	written records of relevant procedures and results?		Yes	No
	iii)	verification of the appropriate calibration and conditions of	procedures?	Yes	No
		operation of dosimetry and monitoring equipment?	followed?	Yes	No
	iv)	verification of patient identity?	procedures?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No
	v)	regular and independent quality audit reviews?	procedures?	Yes	No
			followed?	Yes	No

b)	Darkroom procedures:				
	i)	Dark room light-proof	Yes	No	
	ii)	Film storage satisfactory?	Yes	No	
iii) Cassette pass box available?		Cassette pass box available?	Yes	No	
	iv)	Timer available?	Yes	No	
	v)	Temperature control in the dark room adequate?	Yes	No	
c)	c) Processing of films:				
	i)	Type of film used:			
	ii)	Films developed/week:			
	iii)	Type of developer:			
	iv)	Developing time:			
	v)	Frequency of change of processing solutions:			

#### VI-8. Dose constraints

a)	Does an Ethical Review Committee or other institutional body specify dose	Yes	No
	constraints to be applied on a case by case basis in the optimisation of protection for		
	persons exposed for medical research purposes if such medical exposure does not		
	produce direct benefit to the exposed individual?		
b)	Have dose constraints been established for individuals knowingly exposed while	Yes	No
	voluntarily helping in the care or comfort of patients under going medical treatment?		
c)	Have dose constraints been established for individuals knowingly exposed while	Yes	No
	voluntarily visiting patients under going medical treatment?		

#### VI-9. Investigations of accidental medical exposures

	conguno	ns of accidental incurear exposures			
a)	Did the registrant or licensee promptly investigate any or all instances where:				
	i)	A diagnostic exposure was substantially greater than intended or resulting in doses repeatedly and substantially exceeding guidance levels?	Yes	No	
	ii)	An equipment failure, accident, error, mishap or other unusual occurrence with the potential for causing a patient exposure significantly different from that intended?	Yes	No	
b)	With re	spect to any incidents investigated, did the registrant or licensee:			
	i)	Calculate or estimate the doses received and their distribution within the patient?	Yes	No	
	ii)	Indicate the corrective measures required to prevent recurrence of such an incident?	Yes	No	
	iii)	Implement all corrective measures that were under their control?	Yes	No	
	iv)	Submit to the regulatory authority, as soon as possible after the investigation or as otherwise specified by the regulatory authority, a written report which stated the cause of the accident and included the information specified in "i" to "iii", as relevant?	Yes	No	
v)	Inform	the patient and his or her doctor about the incident?	Yes	No	

### **VII-RECORDS**

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme
- g) Incident and accident investigation reports

- h) Maintenance and repair work
- i) Facility modifications
- j) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- k) Evidence of health surveillance
- 1) Clinical dosimetry records

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY TO ANNEX VI**

## GENERALLY APPLICABLE

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Radiation Protection and the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 120, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, International Basic Safety Standards for Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 115, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 60, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Organization and Implementation of a National Regulatory Infrastructure Governing Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and the Safety of Radiation Sources, IAEA-TECDOC-1067, IAEA, Vienna (1999).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection from Potential Exposure: A Conceptual Framework, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 64, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment of Occupational Exposure due to Intakes of Radionuclides, Safety Standards Series No. RS-G-1.2 (in preparation).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Direct Methods for Measuring Radionuclides in the Human Body, Safety Series No. 114, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Publications in the IAEA's RADWASS Programme under Safety Series No. 111, IAEA, Vienna.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials Used in Medicine, Industry, Research and Teaching, Safety Series No. 91, IAEA, Vienna (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Safe Use of Radiation Sources, Training Course Series No. 6, IAEA (1995).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material 1996 Edition, Safety Standards Series No. ST-1, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Basic Principles for Occupational Radiation Monitoring, Safety Series No. 84, IAEA, Vienna (1987).

# PARTICULARLY APPLICABLE TO DIAGNOSTIC X RAYS

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection Against Ionizing Radiation from External Sources Used in Medicine, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 33, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Therapeutic uses of Iodine-131, IAEA, Vienna (1991).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Radiological Protection of the Worker in Medicine and Dentistry, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 57, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1989).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, A Rational Approach to Radiodiagnostic Investigations, Technical Report Series No. 689, WHO, Geneva (1983).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Rational Use of Diagnostic Imaging in Pediatrics, Technical Report Series No. 757, WHO, Geneva (1987).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Effective Choices for Diagnostic Imaging in Clinical Practices, Technical Report Series No. 795, WHO, Geneva (1990).

HELSINKI DECLARATION, Adopted by the 18th World Medical Assembly, Helsinki, 1964, and as amended by the 29th World Medical Assembly, Tokyo, 1975, the 35th World Medical Assembly, Venice, 1983 and the 41st World Medical Assembly, Hong Kong, 1989; available from the World Medical Association, F-01210 Ferney-Voltaire, France.

COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects, CIOMS, Geneva (1993).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Use of Ionizing Radiation and Radionuclides on Human Beings for Medical Research, Training and Non-Medical Purposes, Technical Report Series No. 611, WHO, Geneva (1977).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Quality Assurance in Diagnostic Radiology, WHO, Geneva (1982).

## Annex VII

## SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR UNSEALED RADIOACTIVE SOURCES IN MEDICINE

This annex has two exhibits which include an application form and a checklist for inspection:

- (1) Example VII.A: Application for authorization and review plan for use of unsealed radioactive sources in medicine.
- (2) Example VII.B: Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of nuclear medicine installations.

References that may be useful to the regulatory authority and/or to licensees and registrants are listed in the bibliography at the end of the annex. The list is divided into references generally applicable for radiation safety and protection and those which may have particular relevance to work with unsealed radioactive sources in medicine.

## **Example VII.A**

## APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION AND REVIEW PLAN FOR USE OF UNSEALED RADIOACTIVE SOURCES IN MEDICINE

#### TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION

\_\_\_\_\_ New application

- \_\_\_\_\_ Amendment to existing authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Renewal of authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_

#### PURPOSE OF APPLICATION

\_\_\_\_\_ Construction (Complete Sections I through III)

- \_\_\_\_\_ Import/Purchase (Complete Sections I and II)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Use/Begin operation (Complete Sections I through V)

You may refer to previous submissions by date and application or authorization number(s)

The legal person who will be responsible for using any unsealed source must, unless the source is exempted, submit the following information to the regulatory authority.

## **I-GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### I-1. Name and address of organisation:

Main address	Mailing address (if different)	Address of use (if different)				

#### I-2. Name and information about qualified experts:

Expertise: Radiation protection officer	Expertise: Physician
Name:	
Degree: Certification:	Degree: Certification:
Experience:	Experience:
Telephone number:	
Expertise: Nuclear Medicine Physics	Expertise:
Name:	Name:
Degree:	
Certification:	
Experience:	Experience:
<u> </u>	
<b>I-3.</b> The responsible representative	
Name:	
Title:	
	e-mail address

## SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

Signature of the authorised representative of the legal person

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date:\_\_\_\_\_

Notes:

1. The regulatory authority may require additional information to fully consider this application prior to issuing an authorization.

2. In the event that all the above information is not available at the time of application, the regulatory authority may issue an authorization limiting the applicant to import, acquire, or store radiation sources, or construct facilities. Complete information will be required from the applicant prior to authorising use of the radiation sources.

3. Medical exposure may be under the jurisdiction of a regulatory authority other than the regulatory authority responsible for occupational and public exposure. However, the authorised user should address the items in Section V for referral to any appropriate authority.

### **II-SOURCES**

II-1. Details of Radionuclides involved in the work:					
Radionuclide/	Maximum	Physical/	Use application		
pharmaceutical	activity at one	chemical form			
	time (Bq)				
(e.g. Tc-99m generator)	(e.g. 37 GBq)	(e.g. Sodium pertechnetate)	(e.g. Diagnostic imaging)		

#### II-1. Details of Radionuclides involved in the work:

#### **II-2.** Containment of the radionuclides:

Describe how the radionuclides(s) will initially be contained. Indicate whether there will be any special features such as whether the container will be pressurised or incorporate shielding.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### II-3. Work Pattern:

State the frequency of consignments of radionuclides and over what period of time the work will proceed.

#### **II-4.** Work locations:

Will the work be carried out at any address other than given in item I-1. above?	Do not	Yes	No
(Circle correct answer)	know		

(Note: that the regulatory authority may require notification prior to work at currently unknown addresses)

#### List all other known addresses:


#### **II-5.** Radioactive wastes:

Indicate whether the work covered by this application is likely to generate radioactive waste(s) and provide an assessment of the different forms:

Radionuclide	Waste form	Maximum activity	Proposed disposal route
Examples: Iodine-125	Liquid	10 KBq	To drain
Technetium 99 <sup>m</sup>	Used syringes	2 MBq	Decay in storage

## **III-FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT**

Approval must be obtained from the regulatory authority before starting construction of the radiopharmacy facilities.

In an attachment to this application, describe the facilities and equipment, including:

### III-1. Facility specifications:

- a) Provide a detailed location of the facility.
- b) Prepare a layout of the laboratory clearly indicating the areas for storage of radioisotopes, Tc-99 generator and radiopharmacy, dose administration, counting and imaging rooms and wards for cancer of thyroid patients. Indicate the occupancy around and the wall and ceiling material and thickness. The drainage ducts such as sinks, wash, basins, toilets, etc. should be connected directly to the sanitary sewage system. Provide copies of drawings of the facilities or provide a detailed sketch.
- c) Describe any features which will be designed to limit the spread of surface or airborne contamination by the radioactive material. Provide details of surfaces of floors, walls, equipment and furniture that may be designed to aid the removal of any spillage.
- d) Indicate the proposed category of the facility (Safety Series 102).

### III-2. Equipment specifications:

- a) Provide manufacturers specifications for any imaging equipment to be used.
- b) Provide manufacturers specifications and type approval certificate for radiopharmaceutical dose measuring or calibrating equipment.
- c) Describe proposed arrangements for restricting exposure including for example:
  - i) Shielding to be provided to minimise external doses including vial and syringe shields.
  - ii) Forms of extract ventilation to minimise the risk of internal doses to staff.
  - iii) State whether decontamination facilities will be readily accessible.
  - iv) Describe any personal protective equipment that will be provided.
  - v) Describe any remote handling equipment.

# **IV-RADIATION PROTECTION AND SAFETY PROGRAMME**

In an attachment to this application, describe the radiation protection programme, including:

### IV-1. Organisational structure

- a) Describe your organisational and management control systems, including assignment of responsibilities and clear lines of authority related to radiation safety. In particular include: staffing levels, equipment selection, other assignments of the radiation protection officer, a requirement for the RPO to report unsafe operations to the Radiation Safety Committee or Licensee, personnel training, maintenance of records, and how problems affecting safety are identified and corrected.
- b) Identify the authorised users, qualified experts, and radiation protection officer by name and include their training, education, experience and qualifications. (Note: the authorised user and/or radiation protection officer may be the same individual).
- c) Confirm that training will include: explanation of radiation hazards and effects, explanation of written procedures, use of equipment (e.g. instrumentation), meaning of warning signals, and a method to confirm adequacy of training (testing or demonstrations).

#### IV-2. Workplace monitoring, area classification and individual monitoring

- a) Describe your programme for monitoring the workplace (BSS, I.37-I.40), including: the quantities to be measured, where and when the measurements are to be made, the measurement methods and procedures, and reference levels and the actions to be taken if they are exceeded.
- b) Describe your policies and procedures for classification of controlled and supervised areas. (BSS, I.21-I.25).
- c) Describe personal dosimeters provided to workers and your policies for assigning dosimetry to individual workers (BSS, I.32-I.36). Describe your policy for reviewing individual doses, including reference levels and actions to be taken in exceeded.

Name and address of dosimetry service:
Denote type:

- i) Film \_\_\_\_
- ii) ThermoLuminescent dosimeter (TLD)\_\_\_\_
- iii) Direct reading dosimeter (DRD) \_\_\_\_
- iv) other:
- d) Describe what form of internal dosimetry, if any, will be provided.

#### IV-3. Local rules and supervision

- a) Describe your local rules and procedures regarding: investigation or authorised levels, protective measures and safety provisions, providing adequate supervision, providing workers information regarding health risks due to occupational exposure, and emergency planning instruction (BSS, I.26-I.27).
- b) Provide copies of your operating and safety procedures including: area access control, entry procedures into shielded enclosures, source inventory and leak testing, etc.
- c) Describe your training program to ensure all appropriate personnel are adequately trained in the operating procedures and how their actions may affect safety (BSS, I.27).
- d) Describe your policies regarding female workers who become pregnant (notification, adoption of working conditions to protect foetus/embryo) and the instructions you will provide to them (BSS, I.16-I.17 and I.27).
- e) Describe your programme of health surveillance based on general principles of occupational health and designed to assess the initial and continuing fitness of workers for their intended tasks (BSS, I.41-I.43).

#### IV-4. Quality assurance

- a) Describe your programme for ensuring that regulatory radiation safety requirements are addressed and satisfied.
- b) Describe your program to periodically review procedures, maintain procedures current and available, and your procedure modification process.
- c) Describe your programme for optimising occupational and public exposures to levels as low as reasonably achievable.
- d) Describe service arrangements with other organisations and qualified experts.

#### IV-5. Transportation of radioactive material

If you will be transporting or shipping radiopharmaceuticals or radioactive waste, describe your arrangements for preparation and transport of packages containing radioactive sources (IAEA Safety Standards Series No. ST-1). These procedures should address: documentation of package certification, package surveys, transfer/receipt documents, and details of shipments preparation.

#### IV-6. Emergency procedures

Provide your emergency procedures to address potential emergencies such as loss of material, spillage of radioactive material, exposures of patients substantially greater than intended and substantial accidental exposure of a worker. If other emergencies are envisaged, please provide additional appropriate emergency procedures.

#### IV-7. Transfer or disposal of radioactive sources

Describe arrangements for transfer radioactive waste described in Section II-5.

#### **IV-8.** System of records (BSS; 2.40, I.44-I.49, II.31-I.32), including:

- a) Disposal of material.
- b) Personnel exposure
  - i) current records
  - ii) prior work history
- c) Area surveys
  - i) dose or dose rate
  - ii) contamination
- d) Instrument tests and calibrations
- e) Inventory and material accountability
- f) Audits and reviews of radiation safety program
- g) Incident and accident investigation reports
- h) Training provided
- i) Evidence of health surveillance of workers
- j) Transportation

# V-MEDICAL EXPOSURE

If appropriate for the purposes of the regulatory authority, in an attachment to this application, describe the programme to control medical exposure, including:

(BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix II "Medical Exposure").

#### V-1. Responsibilities

- a) Describe your arrangements to ensure that no patient is diagnostically exposed unless the exposure is prescribed by a medical practitioner.
- b) Describe your arrangements to ensure that there are an adequate number of trained medical and paramedical personnel to discharge assigned tasks.
- c) Confirm diagnostic imaging and quality assurance requirements are fulfilled with the advice of a qualified expert in nuclear medicine physics.

#### V-2. Justification

- a) Describe your arrangements to ensure that medical exposures are justified by weighing the benefits they produce against the radiation detriment they might cause, taking into account the benefits and risks of alternate techniques that do not involve ionising radiation.
- b) Describe your arrangements to ensure that exposure of humans for medical research is in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and follows the guidelines for its application prepared by the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences and the World Health Organization.
- c) Describe your arrangements to ensure that exposure of humans for medical research is subject to the advice of an Ethical Review Committee or other similar institutional body.

#### V-3. Optimisation of protection

#### **Operational considerations**

- a) Describe your arrangements for medical practitioners to ensure that the exposure of patients is the minimum necessary to achieve the diagnostic objective and to take into account relevant information from previous examinations to avoid unnecessary additional examinations.
- b) Describe your policies to ensure that the practitioner, the technologists or other imaging staff will endeavour to achieve the minimum patient exposure consistent with acceptable image quality by:
  - i) appropriate selection of the radiopharmaceutical and its activity, noting special requirements for children and for patients with impaired organ function.
  - ii) use of methods for blocking the uptake in organs not under study and for accelerated excretion when applicable.
  - iii) appropriate image acquisition and processing.
- c) Confirm procedures causing exposure of women who are pregnant or likely to be pregnant are avoided except when there are strong clinical indications.
- d) Describe your policies to ensure that for mothers in lactation, discontinuation of nursing will be recommended until the radiopharmaceutical is no longer secreted in an amount estimated to give an unacceptable dose to the nursing child.
- e) Describe your policies to ensure that administration of radionuclides to children for diagnostic procedures will be carried out only if there is a strong clinical indication, and the amount of radioactivity will be reduced according to body weight, body surface area or other appropriate criteria.

#### V-4. Calibration

- a) Describe your policies to ensure that the calibration of sources used for medical exposure is traceable to a Standards dosimetry laboratory.
- b) Describe your policies to ensure that unsealed sources will be calibrated in terms of the activity of the radiopharmaceutical to be administered, with the activity being determined and recorded at the time of administration.

#### V-5. Clinical dosimetry

Describe your procedures to ensure that in diagnosis and treatment with unsealed sources representative absorbed doses to patients will be determined and documented

#### V-6. Quality assurance

- a) Confirm that the medical quality assurance program includes:
- b) Measurements and verification of physical parameters at the time of commissioning and periodically thereafter.

- c) Written records of relevant procedures and results.
- d) Verification of the appropriate calibration and conditions of operation of dosimetry and monitoring equipment.
- e) Verification of patient identity.

#### V-7. Dose constraints

Describe your policies to ensure any dose to individuals incurred knowingly while voluntarily helping (other than in their occupation) in the care support and comfort of patients undergoing medical diagnosis will be constrained to a level not exceeding that specified by national authorities (normally less than 5 mSv).

#### V-8. Investigations of accidental medical exposures

a) Confirm that you will investigate any or all instances where:

- i) A diagnostic dose was substantially greater than intended or resulted in doses repeatedly and substantially exceeding the established guidance levels.
- ii) An equipment failure, accident, error, mishap or other unusual occurrence with the potential for causing a patient exposure significantly different from that intended.

b) With respect to any incidents investigated, confirm you will:

- i) Calculate or estimate the doses received and their distribution within the patient.
- ii) Indicate the corrective measures required to prevent recurrence of such an incident.
- iii) Implement all corrective measures that were under their control.
- iv) Submit to the regulatory authority, as soon as possible after the investigation or as otherwise specified by the regulatory authority, a written report which stated the cause of the accident and included the information specified in "i" to "iii", as relevant.
- v) Inform the patient and his or her doctor about the incident.

# **Example VII.B**

# CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTION OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE INSTALLATIONS

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme review/inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II–VII.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

#### **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

I-1.	Name of the institution:	
I-2.	Address of facility:	
I-3.	Telephone/facsimile/e-mail:	Voice: Fax: e-mail:
I-4.	Authorization number:	
1-5.	Name and qualification of the radiation protection officer:	Name:
I-6.	Name and qualifications of any qualified experts retained:	
	Nuclear Medicine Physics:	Physician:
	Name:	Name:
		Degree:
		Certification:
	Experience:	Experience:
		Expertise: Name: Degree: Certification: Experience:
I-7.	Name and title of the responsible representative of the legal person:	

# **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

3.6 .		
Maximum	Physical/	Use application
activity at one	chemical form	
time		
(Bq)		
Example: 37	Example: Sodium pertechnetate	Example: Diagnostic imaging
GBq		
	activity at one time (Bq) Example: 37	activity at one chemical form time (Bq) Example: 37 Example: Sodium pertechnetate

II-1.	Description of radioactive materials in use	
-------	---	--

#### II-2. Description of measuring and handling equipment

Type of equipment	Manufacturer:	Model no:	Comments:
Dose calibrator			
Imaging equipment			
Syringe shield			
L-Block			
Tongs			
Forceps			
Lead blocks			
Well counter			
<sup>99</sup> Mo generator			
Fume hood			
Xenon trap			

#### II-3. Facility design

Describe any differences or modifications from those approved by the regulatory authority considered in the safety assessment (e.g. shielding design, building materials and floor plan		
<ul> <li>a) Was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any modifications?</li> <li>b) Is the thickness and type of shielding appropriate for the types and intensity of radiation produced by radioisotopes in use?</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	No No

#### II-4. Safety control and equipment design

 ~ ***	ety control and equipment design			
a)	Are adequate number of lead containers, lead blocks, and portable or	Provided?	Yes	No
	fixed shields available for shielding in storage and handling rooms?	Used?	Yes	No
b)	Is remote handling equipment such as (tongs, forceps, etc.) available?	Provided?	Yes	No
		Used?	Yes	No
c)	Are ventilated fume hoods for handling large doses of <sup>131</sup> I and for	Provided?	Yes	No
	carrying out extraction of <sup>99m</sup> Tc available?	Used?	Yes	No
d)	Are the drainage ducts of the laboratory (sinks, wash basins, toilets, etc.)	connected	Yes	No
	directly to the sanitary sewage system			
e)	Are adequate provision made for storage of wastes before disposal?		Yes	No

# II-5. Warning systems:

Warning notices	provided?	Yes	No
	working?	Yes	No
	legible?	Yes	No
	in local		
	language?	Yes	No

# **II-6.** Safety operations -management

	Surety operations management							
a)	U	ement knowledgeable of the certificate of authorization and its r	estrictions	Yes	No			
	and requi							
b)	Does man	Does management provide adequate staffing levels?						
c)	Has man operation	agement provided the radiation protection officer authority to sto s?	op unsafe	Yes	No			
d)	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training (time and money)?				No			
e)	Does man	nagement provide adequate equipment?		Yes	No			
f)	Does man	nagement provide for periodic program reviews and	scheduled?	Yes	No			
	recomme	ndations?	performed?	Yes	No			
	i)	Date of the last program review:						
	ii)	Status of recommendations:						

# II-7. Safety operations — technical

a)	Does the radiation protection officer (RPO) have adequate knowledge and expertise?			No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?		Yes	No
c)	Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory author provisions of the certificate of authorization?	ority and the	Yes	No
d)	Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not kept too busy with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial help)?			No
e)	Does RPO maintains knowledge of activities of workers using radiation sources?		Yes	No
f)	Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of workers?		Yes	No
g)	Does the RPO maintain adequate records to demonstrate worker and public protection?			No
h)	Are there provisions for inventory of sources and accountability:	procedures? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
i)	Are there provisions for audits and reviews of radiation safety program:	procedures?	Yes	No
		Performed?	Yes	No

# II-8. <u>Investigation and quality assurance</u>

a)	) Were there any incidents or accidents?			No
b)	If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No
c)	Were safety assessments reviewed or made based on lessons learned from any accident or accidents at similar facilities?		Yes	No
d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	procedures? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
e)	Is maintenance and repair work (measuring equipment, imaging devices, ventilation systems, etc.) in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations?	scheduled? performed?	Yes Yes	No No
f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	developed? followed?	Yes Yes	No No

# **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure".

#### **III-1.** Classification of areas

a)	Are controlled areas demarcated?		Yes	No
b)	Are approved signs at access points?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local	Yes	No
		language?		
c)	Is radioactive material storage (including waste) at physically defined lo	cations?	Yes	No
	i) locked/secured location with key control?		Yes	No
	ii) radiation warning notices?	provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local	Yes	No
		language?		
	iii) proper shielding (e.g. individual containers, enclosures)?		Yes	No
	iv) reserved only for radioactive material?		Yes	No
d)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
e)	Are approved signs at access points?	needed?	Yes	No
		provided?	Yes	No
		legible?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

# **III-2.** Local rules and supervision

	Elocal Fales and super vision							
a)	Are ru	les established in writing, in a local language?		Yes	No			
b)	Do rul	es include investigation levels and authorised levels and the proc	edure to be	Yes	No			
	follow	ved when a level is exceeded?						
c)	Are w	orkers instructed in the implementing procedures?		Yes	No			
d)	Do wo	orkers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, procedures, prot	ective	Yes	No			
	measu	res and safety provisions are followed?						
e)	Specif	ically, are operating and working procedures for:						
	i)	nurses attending patients	provided?	Yes	No			
			adequate?	Yes	No			
			followed?	Yes	No			
	ii)	diagnostic examinations	provided?	Yes	No			
			adequate?	Yes	No			
			followed?	Yes	No			
	iii)	therapy administrations	provided?	Yes	No			
			adequate?	Yes	No			
			followed?	Yes	No			
	iv)	performing repairs to and maintenance of safety systems	provided?	Yes	No			
			adequate?	Yes	No			
			followed?	Yes	No			
	v)	making surveys	provided?	Yes	No			
			adequate?	Yes	No			
			followed?	Yes	No			

#### III-3. Monitoring

a)	Does	the authorised organisation provide personal dosimeters?	Yes	No
b)	Are the	ne dosimeters:		
	i)	Worn properly?	Yes	No
	ii)	Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii)	Exchanged at required frequency?	Yes	No
c)	Are p	ersonnel exposures within limits?	Yes	No
d)	Area	and portable survey instruments		
	i)	Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii)	Calibrated?	Yes	No

	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
	iv) Operational check performed before use?	Yes	No
	v) Spare batteries available?	Yes	No
e)	Do the authorised organisation's surveys indicate that the shielding is adequate and the dose rates around storage and patient treatment rooms meet authorised radiation levels?	Yes	No
f)	Does the authorised organisation make periodic tests for leakage of radioactive materials from any sealed sources (e.g. calibration sources)?	Yes	No
g)	Is the instrumentation:		
	i) Appropriate?	Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?	Yes	No
	iii) Operational?	Yes	No
	/model no. of survey meter:		
	last calibrated:	**	
	ne inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the authorised nisation?	Yes	No
Doc	Iment any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different result	lts:	

# **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

#### IV-1. Control of visitors

a)	Are visitors accompanied in controlled area?	Yes	No
b)	Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?	Yes	No
c)	Are there adequate controls over entries into supervised areas and appropriate postings, if needed?	Yes	No

# IV-2. Sources of exposure

a)	Are the shielding and other protective measures optimised for restricting public	Yes	No
	exposure to external sources of radiation?		
b)	Are the floor plans and arrangement of equipment as described in the application and	Yes	No
	appropriate considering any public areas adjacent to the installation?		

#### IV-3. Radioactive waste and discharges

a)	Have provisions been made to transfer waste to an authorised waste disposal facility	Yes	No
	at the end of use?		
b)	If any sealed sources are no longer in use and being stored, does the authorised	Yes	No
	organisation have a plan for timely transfer or disposal of the sources?		
c)	Are there provisions for control of discharges to the environment in the event of	Yes	No
	contamination?		

# IV-4. Monitoring of public exposure

a)	Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in public areas adjacent to areas	Yes	No
	used for diagnostic examinations, therapy treatments or radioactive materials made by		
	the staff or qualified expert?		
b)	Do surveys shows that the room shielding is adequate and the dose rates outside the	Yes	No
	areas meet authorised radiation levels?		

c) Record independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Type/model no. of survey meter:		
Date last calibrated:		
Are the inspector's independent measurements in agreement with the organisations routine measurements?	Yes	No
Document any significant differences and any agreed upon plan to resolve the different resu	ılts:	

# **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V "Emergency Exposure Situations".

# V-1. Emergency plan

a) Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b) Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
c) Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and accidents at similar facilities?	Yes	No

#### Training and exercises

a)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?	Yes	No
b)	Have provisions been made for the plan to be rehearsed at suitable intervals in	Yes	No
	conjunction with any designated emergency response authorities?		
c)	Date of the last rehearsal:		

# VI-MEDICAL EXPOSURE

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix II "Medical Exposure".

#### VI-1. Responsibilities

a)	No patient treated unless the exposure is prescribed by a medical	procedures?	Yes	No
	practitioner?	followed?	Yes	No
b)	) Are there an adequate number of trained medical and paramedical personnel to		Yes	No
	discharge assigned tasks?			
c)	Are diagnostic imaging and quality assurance requirements fulfilled with the advice		Yes	No
	of a qualified expert in nuclear medicine physics?			

# VI-2. Justification

-			
a)	Are diagnostic medical exposures justified by taking into account the benefits and risks of alternate techniques that do not involve medical exposure?	Yes	No
b)	Are there procedures to ensure that exposure of humans for medical research is in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and follows the guidelines for its application prepared by the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences and the World Health Organization?	Yes	No
c)	Is each exposure of humans for medical research subject to the advice of an Ethical Review Committee or other similar institutional body?	Yes	No
d)	Are standards available and followed for radiological examinations for screening of large populations or for occupational, legal, or health insurance purposes.	Yes	No

#### VI-3. Optimisation

•	pumsation		
a)	Do medical practitioners ensure that appropriate equipment is used, that the exposure of patients is the minimum necessary to achieve the diagnostic objective, and take into account relevant information from previous examinations to avoid unnecessary additional examinations?	Yes	No
b)	Do the medical practitioner, the technologists or other imaging staff endeavour to achieve the minimum patient exposure consistent with acceptable image quality by:	Yes	No
	i) appropriate selection of the radiopharmaceutical and its activity, noting special requirements for children and for patients with impaired organ function?	Yes	No
	ii) use of methods for blocking the uptake in organs not under study and for accelerated excretion when applicable?	Yes	No
	iii) appropriate image acquisition and processing?	Yes	No
c)	Are radiological examinations causing exposure of women who are pregnant or likely to be pregnant avoided unless there are strong clinical reasons for such examinations?	Yes	No
d)	For mothers in lactation, is discontinuation of nursing recommended until the radiopharmaceutical is no longer secreted in an amount estimated to give an unacceptable dose to the nursing child?	Yes	No
e)	Are administration of radionuclides to children for diagnostic procedures carried out only if there is a strong clinical indication, and the amount of radioactivity is reduced according to body weight, body surface area or other appropriate criteria?	Yes	No

#### VI-4. Calibration

a)	Is the calibration of sources used for medical exposure traceable to a Standards			
	dosimetry laboratory?			
b)	Are unsealed sources calibrated in term of the activity of the radiopharmaceutical to	Yes	No	
	be administered, with the activity being determined and recorded at the time of			
	administration?			

# VI-5. Clinical dosimetry

	Are representative absorbed doses determined and documented?	Yes	No	
--	--	-----	----	--

# VI-6. Quality assurance

Does the medical quality assurance program include:

a)	Measurements and verification of physical parameters at the time of	procedures?	Yes	No
	commissioning and periodically thereafter?	followed?	Yes	No
b)	Written records of relevant procedures and results?	procedures?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No
c)	Verification of the appropriate calibration and conditions of operation	procedures?	Yes	No
	of dosimetry and monitoring equipment?	followed?	Yes	No
d)	Verification of patient identity?	procedures?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No
e)	Regular and independent quality audit reviews?	procedures?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No

#### VI-7. Dose constraints

a)	Does an Ethical Review Committee or other institutional body specify dose	Yes	No		
	constraints to be applied on a case by case basis in the optimisation of protection for				
persons exposed for medical research purposes if such medical exposure does not					
	produce direct benefit to the exposed individual?				
b)	Have dose constraints been established for individuals knowingly exposed while	Yes	No		
	voluntarily helping in the care or comfort of patients under going medical diagnosis?				

#### VI-8. Investigations of accidental medical exposures

	congation	is of accidental incurcal exposances				
a)	Did the registrant or licensee promptly investigate any or all instances where:					
	i)	A diagnostic dose was substantially greater than intended or resulting in doses repeatedly and substantially exceeding guidance levels?	Yes	No		
	ii)	An equipment failure, accident, error, mishap or other unusual occurrence with the potential for causing a patient exposure significantly different from that intended?	Yes	No		
b)	With res	spect to any incidents investigated, did the registrant or licensee:				
	i)	Calculate or estimate the doses received and their distribution within the patient?	Yes	No		
	ii)	Indicate the corrective measures required to prevent recurrence of such an incident?	Yes	No		
	iii)	Implement all corrective measures that were under their control?	Yes	No		
	iv)	Submit to the regulatory authority, as soon as possible after the investigation or as otherwise specified by the regulatory authority, a written report which stated the cause of the accident and included the information specified in "i" to "iii", as relevant?	Yes	No		
	v)	Inform the patient and his or her doctor about the incident?	Yes	No		

# **VII-RECORDS**

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Tests for leakage of radioactive material from sources
- g) Inventory of sources and accountability
- h) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme
- i) Incident and accident investigation reports
- j) Maintenance and repair work
- k) Facility modifications
- 1) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- m) Evidence of health surveillance
- n) Waste disposals
- o) Transportation
  - i) package documentation
  - ii) package surveys
  - iii) transfer/receipt documents
  - iv) details of shipments dispatched
- p) Patient discharge surveys
- q) Clinical dosimetry records

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY TO ANNEX VII**

# GENERALLY APPLICABLE

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Radiation Protection and the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 120, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, International Basic Safety Standards for Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 115, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 60, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Organization and Implementation of a National Regulatory Infrastructure Governing Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and the Safety of Radiation Sources, IAEA-TECDOC-1067, IAEA, Vienna (1999).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection from Potential Exposure: A Conceptual Framework, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 64, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment of Occupational Exposure due to Intakes of Radionuclides, Safety Standards Series No. RS-G-1.2 (in preparation).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Direct Methods for Measuring Radionuclides in the Human Body, Safety Series No. 114, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Publications in the IAEA's RADWASS Programme under Safety Series No. 111, IAEA, Vienna.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials Used in Medicine, Industry, Research and Teaching, Safety Series No. 91, IAEA, Vienna (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Safe Use of Radiation Sources, Training Course Series No. 6, IAEA (1995).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material 1996 Edition, Safety Standards Series No. ST-1, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Basic Principles for Occupational Radiation Monitoring, Safety Series No. 84, IAEA, Vienna (1987).

# PARTICULARLY APPLICABLE TO MEDICAL USES OF UNSEALED RADIOACTIVE SOURCES

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection Against Ionizing Radiation from External Sources Used in Medicine, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 33, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Therapeutic uses of Iodine-131, IAEA, Vienna (1991).

HUDSON, A.P., SHAW, J., Categorization and Designation of Working Areas in which Unsealed Radioactive Materials are Used, NRPB-M443, National Radiological Protection Board (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Manual on Decontamination of Surfaces, Safety Series No. 48, IAEA, Vienna (1979).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Radiological Protection of the Worker in Medicine and Dentistry, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 57, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment of Treatment of External and Internal Radionuclide Contamination, IAEA-TECDOC-869, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Medical Handling of Accidentally Exposed Individuals, Safety Series No. 88, IAEA, Vienna (1988).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Management of Radioactive Wastes Produced by Users of Radioactive Materials, Safety Series No. 70, IAEA, Vienna (1985).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Principles for Limiting Releases of Radioactive Effluents into the Environment, Safety Series No. 77, IAEA, Vienna (1986).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, A Rational Approach to Radiodiagnostic Investigations, Technical Report Series No. 689, WHO, Geneva (1983).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Rational Use of Diagnostic Imaging in Pediatrics, Technical Report Series No. 757, WHO, Geneva (1987).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Effective Choices for Diagnostic Imaging in Clinical Practices, Technical Report Series No. 795, WHO, Geneva (1990).

HELSINKI DECLARATION, Adopted by the 18th World Medical Assembly, Helsinki, 1964, and as amended by the 29th World Medical Assembly, Tokyo, 1975, the 35th World Medical Assembly, Venice, 1983 and the 41st World Medical Assembly, Hong Kong, 1989; available from the World Medical Association, F-01210 Ferney-Voltaire, France.

COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects, CIOMS, Geneva (1993).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Use of Ionizing Radiation and Radionuclides on Human Beings for Medical Research, Training and Non-Medical Purposes, Technical Report Series No. 611, WHO, Geneva (1977).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Quality Assurance in Diagnostic Radiology, WHO, Geneva (1982).

# Annex VIII

# SAFETY ASSESSMENT PLANS FOR RADIOTHERAPY

This annex has two exhibits which include an application form and a checklist for inspection:

- (1) Example VIII.A: Application for authorization and review plan for radiotherapy.
- (2) Example VIII.B: Checklist for commissioning and regular inspection of radiotherapy.

References that may be useful to the regulatory authority and/or to licensees and registrants are listed in the bibliography at the end of the annex. The list is divided into references generally applicable for radiation safety and protection and those which may have particular relevance to work with radiotherapy.

# **Example VIII.A**

# APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION AND REVIEW PLAN FOR RADIOTHERAPY

#### TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION

\_\_\_\_\_ New application

- \_\_\_\_\_ Amendment to existing authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Renewal of authorization number: \_\_\_\_\_

#### PURPOSE OF APPLICATION

\_\_\_\_\_ Construction (Complete Sections I through III)

- \_\_\_\_\_ Import/Purchase (Complete Sections I and II)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Use/Begin operation (Complete Sections I through V)

You may refer to previous submissions by date and application or authorization number(s)

The legal person who will be responsible for using any sealed source or radiation generator must, unless the source is exempted, submit the following information to the regulatory authority.

# **I-GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### I-1. Name and address of organisation:

Main address	Mailing address (if different)	Address of use (if different)		

#### I-2. Name and information about qualified experts:

Expertise: Radiation protection officer	Expertise: Radiation Oncology			
Name:	Name:			
Degree:	Degree:			
Certification:				
Experience:				
Telephone number:				
Expertise: Radiotherapy Physics	Expertise:			
Name:	Name:			
Degree:	_			
Certification:	Certification:			
Experience:				

I-3. The responsible representative of the legal person:

Name:	Telephone number
Title:	Facsimile number
	e-mail address

# SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

Signature of the authorised representative of the legal person

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Notes:

1. The regulatory authority may require additional information to fully consider this application prior to issuing an authorization.

2. In the event that all the above information is not available at the time of application, the regulatory authority may issue an authorization limiting the applicant to import, acquire, or store radiation sources, or construct facilities. Complete information will be required from the applicant prior to authorising use of the radiation sources.

3. Medical exposure may be under the jurisdiction of a regulatory authority other than the regulatory authority responsible for occupational and public exposure. However, the authorised user should address the items in Section V for referral to any appropriate authority.

#### **II-SOURCES AND EQUIPMENT**

#### **II-1.** For external beam therapy specify the following:

Type: (accelerator or gamma)					
Name of manufacturer:					
Address:					
Model no. and name:					
Country of manufacture:					
Year of manufacture:					
Type of gantry: (stationary or rotary)					
output Gy/min at isocenter:					
Describe the movement of the treatment table:					

#### a) For Gamma units:

- i) Radionuclide:
- ii) Model no. of the source:
- iii) Initial activity of sources:
- iv) Number of sources installed:
- v) Maximum design activity:
- vi) Total activity installed:
- vii) Types of source carrier or shutter (exposure mechanism):
- viii)Supplier of the source(s):
- b) For accelerator:
  - i) Maximum energy:
  - ii) Maximum current (mA):
    - iii) Type of radiation:

#### II-2. For External beam therapy, describe the features that will be available, including:

- a) External Beam Therapy Electrical Indicators/Interlocks (treatment room door, head lock, off shield, hand control, treatment mode–Fixed/Arc/Skip/Rotation, treatment angle, source drawer or shutter, emergency stop buttons to interrupt the irradiation, head collision switch, fixed area radiation monitor).
- b) External Beam Source Head Displays (Beam "OFF" indicator, beam "ON" indicator, head lock indicator, collimator rotation indicator, off shield indicator, light field displays).
  - performance specifications and operating and maintenance instructions, including protection and safety instructions, be provided in a major world language understandable to the users and in compliance with the relevant IEC or ISO standards with regard to "accompanying documents", and that this information be translated into local languages when appropriate;
  - ii) where practicable, the operating terminology (or its abbreviations) and operating values be displayed on operating consoles in a major world language acceptable to the user;
- c) Teletherapy Control Console Displays (beam "OFF" indicator, beam "ON" indicator, head lock indicator, off shield indicator, arm position indicator, door position indicator).
- d) Teletherapy Control Console Functions
  - i) power switch,
  - ii) reset switch,
  - iii) beam "ON" switch,
  - iv) beam "OFF" switch,
  - v) timer switch (with treatment & elapsed time displays),
  - vi) treatment mode selection switch-Fixed/Arc/Skip/, and
  - vii) Rotation selection switch for clockwise & anti-clockwise rotation).

# II-3. For brachytherapy, specify:

#### Devices:

Manufacturer:	Model	Radionuclide:	Type of	Dose Rate:	Number of	Maximum
	no:		loading:	High (H)	channels:	activity
			Manual (M)	Low (L)	(Remote)	
			Remote (R)			
			M R	ΗL		
			M R	ΗL		
			M R	ΗL		
			M R	ΗL		

#### Sources:

Manufacturer:	Model	Radionuclide	Physical type:	Physical	Total	Number of
	no:		Ribbon (R)	dimensions	activity	sources:
			Wire (W)	and shape	(per cm	(total
			Individual (I)	_	for wires	activity for
					and	wire)
					ribbons)	
			RWI			
			RWI			
			RWI			
			RWI			
			RWI			
			RWI			
			RWI			

#### II-4. Standards

Indicate to which IEC and ISO standards does the equipment and sources used for medical exposure conform:

#### **II-5.** For remotely loaded brachytherapy sources, describe the equipment features including:

- a) Door to treatment room electrically interlocked with source movement mechanism, and
- b) Fixed area radiation monitor.

#### II-6. For manual brachytherapy, describe source handling devices that will be available including:

- a) Source storage and transport container,
- b) Source handling devices and accessories (such as tongs, lead containers, etc.), and
- c) Radiation protection barrier during manual source loading in patient.

#### II-7. Servicing of equipment

Identify who will be authorised to perform service and maintenance on the equipment and their authorization number:

# **III-FACILITIES**

Approval should be obtained from the regulatory authority before starting construction of the treatment rooms.

In an attachment to this application, describe the facilities, including:

#### **III-1.** Location of the facility.

Provide a detailed description of the location of the radiotherapy facilities including surrounding structures or rooms and activities.

# III-2. Layout of facilities

- a) Describe factors such as the layout of the facility and its safety systems, including:
- b) building materials,
- c) alarms,
- d) shielding,
- e) engineering controls(mechanical interlocks, warning safety devices, emergency stop buttons inside/outside enclosure, prevention of unauthorised personnel entering area, and means of escape or communication from within enclosure.)

#### III-3. Sketch or drawing

Attach a detailed sketch or drawing of the facility showing the above details. Include on the drawings any penetrations or openings in the shielding materials such as conduits or ventilation ducts.

#### III-4. Safety assessments

Taking into account existing shielding, provide calculations of the maximum dose rates expected in all areas outside the treatment room(s) which could be occupied. For these calculations, assume any radiation beam is oriented in the position that would result in the highest directional exposures. Provide estimates of the magnitude of expected doses to workers during normal operations. Identify the probability and magnitude of potential exposure (to workers) arising from accidents or incidents. Include a statement of all assumptions used in the calculations.

# **IV-RADIATION PROTECTION AND SAFETY PROGRAMME**

In an attachment to this application, describe the radiation protection programme, including:

#### IV-1. Organisational structure

- a) Describe your organisational and management control systems including assignment of responsibilities related to radiation safety. In particular include: staffing levels, equipment selection, other assignments of the radiation protection officer, a requirement for the RPO to report unsafe operations to the Radiation Safety Committee or Licensee, personnel training, and maintenance of records and how problems affecting safety are identified and corrected.
- b) Identify the authorised users, radiation physicist, and radiation protection officer by name and include their training, qualifications, and experience. (Note: the authorised user, radiation physicist, and/or radiation protection officer may be the same individual).
- c) Confirm that training will include: explanation of radiation hazards and effects, explanation of written procedures, use of equipment (radiation source and instrumentation), meanings of warning signals, and a method to confirm adequacy of training (testing or demonstrations).

#### IV-2. Workplace monitoring, area classification and individual monitoring

- a) Describe your programme for monitoring the workplace (BSS, I.37-I.40), including: the quantities to be measured, where and when the measurements are to be made, the measurement methods and procedures, and reference levels and the actions to be taken if they are exceeded.
- b) Describe your policies and procedures for classification of controlled and supervised areas. (BSS, I.21-I.25).
- c) Describe personal dosimeters provided to workers and your policies for assigning dosimetry to individual workers (BSS, I.32-I.36). Describe your policy for reviewing individual doses, including reference levels and actions to be taken in exceeded.

Name and address of dosimetry service: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Denote type:

- i) Film\_
- ii) ThermoLuminescent dosimeter (TLD)\_\_\_\_\_
- iii) Direct reading dosimeter (DRD)
- iv) other: \_\_\_

# IV-3. Local rules and supervision

a) Describe your local rules and procedures regarding: investigation or authorised levels, protective measures and safety provisions, providing adequate supervision, providing workers information regarding health risks due to occupational exposure, and emergency planning instruction (BSS, I.26-I.27).

- b) Provide copies of your operating and safety procedures including: area access control, entry procedures, source inventory and leak testing, etc.
- c) Describe your training program to ensure that all appropriate personnel are adequately trained in the correct operating procedures and how their actions may affect safety (BSS, I.27).
- d) Describe your policies regarding female workers who become pregnant (notification adoption of working conditions to protect feotus/embryo) and the instructions you will provide to them (BSS, I.16-I.17 and I.27).
- e) Describe your programme of health surveillance based on general principles of occupational health and designed to assess the initial and continuing fitness of workers for their intended tasks (BSS, I.41-I.43).

#### IV-4. Quality assurance

- a) Describe your programme for ensuring that regulatory radiation safety requirements are addressed and satisfied.
- b) Describe your program to periodically review procedures, maintain procedures current and available, and your procedure modification process.
- c) Describe your programme for optimising occupational and public exposures to levels as low as reasonably achievable.
- d) Describe service arrangements with other organisations and qualified experts.

#### IV-5. Transportation of radioactive material

If you will be transporting or shipping new or used sources, describe your arrangements for preparation and transport of packages containing radioactive sources (IAEA Safety Standards Series No. ST-1). These procedures should address: documentation of package certification, package surveys, transfer/receipt documents, and details of shipments preparation.

#### IV-6. Emergency procedures

Provide your emergency procedures to address potential emergencies such as potential damage to the source, loss of source shielding, or stuck sources, and misadministration to patients. If other emergencies are envisaged, please provide additional appropriate emergency procedures. In all cases the magnitude of the hazard should be evaluated. Any off-site consequences should also be evaluated. Local emergency services (e.g. fire, police) may need to be provided with copies of the emergency procedures.

#### IV-7. Transfer or disposal of radioactive sources

Describe arrangements for transfer or disposal of spent radioactive sources.

#### **IV-8.** System of records (BSS; 2.40, I.44-I.49, II.31-II.32), including:

- a) Disposal of spent sources.
- b) Personnel exposure
  - i) current records
  - ii) prior work history
- c) Area surveys
  - i) dose or dose rate
  - ii) contamination
- d) Instrument tests and calibrations
- e) Tests for radioactive sealed source leakage.
- f) Inventory of sources and accountability
- g) Audits and reviews of radiation safety program
- h) Incident and accident investigation reports
- i) Maintenance and repair work
- j) Facility modifications
- k) Training provided
- 1) Evidence of health surveillance of workers
- m) Transportation
- n) Patient discharge surveys
- o) Clinical dosimetry records

# V-MEDICAL EXPOSURE

If appropriate for the purposes of the regulatory authority, in an attachment to this application, describe the programme to control medical exposure, including:

(BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix II "Medical Exposure").

#### V-1. Responsibilities

- a) Describe your arrangements to assure that patient treatment will only be prescribed by medical practitioners.
- b) Describe your arrangements to assure that calibration, dosimetry and quality assurance requirements for therapy are conducted by or under the supervision of a qualified expert in radiotherapy physics.
- c) Describe criteria and arrangements to ensure an adequate number of trained medical and paramedical personnel to discharge assigned tasks.

#### V-2. Justification

- a) Describe your arrangements to ensure that the therapeutic benefits will be weighted against the radiation detriment they might cause, taking into account the benefits and risks of available alternative techniques that do not involve ionising radiation.
- b) Describe your arrangements to ensure that exposure of humans for medical research will always be in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and will follow the guidelines for its application prepared by the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences and the World Health Organisation.
- c) Describe your arrangements to ensure that each exposure of humans for medical research is subject to the advice of an Ethical Review Committee or other similar institutional body.

#### V-3. Optimisation of protection

- a) Describe your arrangements to ensure that:
  - i) exposure of normal tissue during radiotherapy be kept as low as reasonably achievable consistent with delivering the required dose to the planning target volume, and organ shielding be used when feasible and appropriate;
  - ii) radiotherapeutic procedures causing exposure of the abdomen or pelvis of women who are pregnant or likely to be pregnant be avoded unless there are strong clinical indications;
  - iii) any therapeutic procedure for pregnant women be planned to deliver the minimum dose to any embryo or foetus; and
  - (i) the patient be informed of possible risks.
- b) Describe your arrangements to ensure that with regard to equipment consisting of radiation generators or containing sealed sources for medical exposures:
- c) the equipment conforms to applicable standards of the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) and the ISO or to equivalent national standards (whether imported into or manufactured in the country where it is used);
- d) performance specifications and operating and maintenance instructions, including protection and safety instructions, will be provided in a major world language understandable to the users and in compliance with the relevant IEC or ISO standards with regard to "accompanying documents", and that this information be translated into local languages when appropriate;
- e) where practicable, the operating terminology (or its abbreviations) and operating values will be displayed on operating consoles, in a major world language acceptable to the user.

#### V-4. Calibration

- a) Describe your systems to ensure the calibration of sources used for medical is exposure traceable to a Standards dosimetry laboratory.
- b) Describe radiotherapy equipment calibration in terms of radiation quality or energy and either absorbed dose or absorbed dose rate at a predefined distance under specified conditions. (IAEA Technical Report Series No. 277.)
- c) Describe procedures for calibration of sealed sources as of a reference date, for activity or at a specific distance in terms of reference air kerma in air or absorbed dose rate in a specific medium.
- d) Describe your programme of calibration to be carried out at commissioning of a unit, after maintenance that could affect dosimetry and at periodic intervals.

#### V-5. Clinical dosimetry

Describe your arrangements to ensure determination and documentation of:

a) for each patient treated with external beam radiotherapy equipment, the maximum and minimum absorbed doses to the planning target volume together with the absorbed dose to a relevant point such as the centre of

the planning target volume, plus the dose to other relevant points selected by the medical practitioner prescribing the treatment;

- b) in brachytherapeutic treatments performed with sealed sources, the absorbed doses at selected relevant points in each patient;
- c) in diagnosis or treatment with unsealed sources, representative absorbed doses to patients; and
- d) in all radiotherapeutic treatments, the absorbed doses to relevant organs.

#### V-6. Quality assurance for medical exposure

Describe your quality assurance program (BSS II.22) which should include:

- a) Verification of the appropriate physical and clinical factors used in treatment including measurements of physical parameters at the time of commissioning and periodically thereafter.
- b) Written records of relevant procedures and results.
- c) Verification of the appropriate calibration and conditions of operation of dosimetry and monitoring equipment.
- d) Verification of patient identity.
- e) Regular and independent quality audit reviews.

#### V-7. Investigation of accidental medical exposure

Describe the procedures to promptly investigate any of the following incidents:

- a) any therapeutic treatment delivered to either the wrong patient or the wrong tissue, or using the wrong pharmaceutical, or with a dose fractionation differing substantially from the values prescribed by the medical practitioner or which may lead to undue acute secondary effects;
- b) any equipment failure, accident, error, mishap or other unusual occurrence with the potential for causing a patient exposure significantly different from that intended.

#### V-8. With respect to any investigation

Confirm that you will:

- a) calculate or estimate the doses received and their distribution within the patient;
- b) indicate the corrective measures required to prevent recurrence of such an incident;
- c) implement all the corrective measures that are under your responsibility;
- d) submit to the regulatory authority, as soon as possible after the investigation or as otherwise specified by the regulatory authority, a written report which states the cause of the incident and includes the information specified in (a) to (c), as relevant, and any other information required by the regulatory authority; and
- e) inform the patient and his or her doctor about the incident.

#### V-9. Dose constraints to comforters and visitors to patients

Describe your procedures to ensure that the dose of any comforter or visitor of patients will be constrained to a level not exceeding that specified by national authorities (normally less than 5 mSv during the patient's treatment).

# **Example VIII.B**

# CHECKLIST FOR COMMISSIONING AND REGULAR INSPECTION OF RADIOTHERAPY

Prepare a visit agenda to review the operating program with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, prior programme reviews, inspection reports and their implementation, relevant correspondence, and other relevant documentation such as dosimetry reports. (References to appropriate sections and appendices of Safety Series No. 115, "International Basic Safety Standards for Protection against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources" (BSS), are provided at the beginning of Sections II-VII.)

Check the following for compliance with the authorization and with the regulatory authority requirements.

# **I-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION**

I-1.	Name of the institution:	
I-2.	Address of facility:	
I-3.	Telephone/facsimile/e-mail:	Voice: Fax: e-mail:
I-4.	Authorization number:	
I-5.	Name and qualification of the radiation protection officer:	Name:
I-6.	Name and qualifications of any qualified experts retained:	
	Radiotherapy Physics: Name: Degree:	Physician–Radiation oncology: Name: Degree:
	Certification: Experience:	Certification: Experience:
		Expertise: Name: Degree: Certification: Experience:
I-7.	Name and title of the responsible representative	

of the legal person:

# **II-VERIFICATION OF SAFETY**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix IV "Potential Exposure: Safety of Sources

Manufacturer:	Model	Radionuclide:	Type of	Dose Rate:	Number of	Maximum
	no:		loading:	High (H)	Channels:	activity
			Manual (M)	Low (L)		(design/
			Remote (R)			loaded)
			M R	ΗL		/
			M R	ΗL		/
			M R	ΗL		/
			M R	ΗL		/

#### **II-1.** For brachytherapy devices:

# Sealed sources

Sealed sources	1	1			1	1
Manufacturer:	Model	Radionuclide	Physical type:	Physical	Total	Number
	no:		Ribbon (R)	dimensions	activity	of
			Wire (W)	and shape	(per cm	sources:
			Individual (I)	_	for wires	(total
					and	activity
					ribbons)	for wire)
			RWI			
			RWI			
			RWI			
			RWI			
			RWI			
			RWI			
			RWI			

Do the devices and sources listed above conform to the standards in the application? If not, note the standards to which the devices and sources were manufactured.

#### II-2. External beam therapy unit design

Compare the External Beam Therapy unit with application descriptions and design specifications.

a)	Is the unit as described in the application approved by the regulatory aut	hority?	Yes	No
b)	Туре:	Accelerator?	Yes	No
		Gamma?	Yes	No
c)	Name of manufacturer:			
d)	Model no. and Name			
e)	Country of manufacture:			
f)	Year of manufacture:			
g)	Type of gantry:	Stationary?	Yes	No
		Rotary?	Yes	No
h)	Output Gy/min at isocenter:			

i) Describe the movement of the treatment table:

F	For ga	mma units:	
i)	)	Radionuclide:	
ii	i)	Model no. of the source:	
ii	ii)	Initial activity of sources:	
i	v)	Number of sources installed:	
v	<i>'</i> )	Maximum design activity:	
v	vi)	Total activity installed:	
For accelerators:			
i)	/	Maximum energy:	
ii	i)	Maximum current (mA):	
Ľ	Descri	be any accelerator differences or modifications:	

#### II-3. Facility design

			· '	
a)	Was a safety assessment by a qualified expert performed prior to any mo	odifications?	Yes	No
b)	Is protection of the devices and sources from adverse environmental	provided?	Yes	No
	conditions (heat, moisture, etc.):	working?	Yes	No
c)	Is fire detection and protection in the radiation and source storage	provided?	Yes	No
	areas:	working?	Yes	No
d)	Is adequate ventilation in the radiation and source storage areas:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
e)	Fixed area radiation monitor(s)	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
f)	Mechanical door interlocks	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
g)	Prevention of unauthorised personnel entering treatment areas:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
h)	Means of escape or communication from within treatment enclosure:	provided?	Yes	No
		working?	Yes	No
	cribe any facility differences or modifications from those approved by the		•	
con	sidered in the safety assessment (e.g. shielding design, building materials,	installed fire	protection	on

considered in the safety assessment (e.g. shielding design, building materials, installed fire protection and controls, etc.):

# **II-4.** Safety control systems

a)	Externs	l beam therapy electrical indicators/interlocks			
a)		**			
	i)	Treatment room door	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
	ii)	Head lock	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
	iii)	Off shield	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
	iv)	Hand control	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No
	v)	Treatment mode — fixed/arc/skip/rotation	provided?	Yes	No
			working?	Yes	No

				-	
	vi)	Treatment angle	provided?	Yes	No No
		0 1 1	working?	Yes	
	vii)	Source drawer or shutter	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No
	viii)	Emergency step byttons to interrupt the irrediction	provided?	Yes	No
	VIII)	Emergency stop buttons to interrupt the irradiation	-	Yes	No
	;)	Head collision switch	working?	Yes	No
	ix)	Head confision switch	provided?		No No
1.)	E (	.11	working?	Yes	INO
b)		al beam therapy source head displays Beam "OFF" indicator		Vaa	Ma
	i)	Beam OFF indicator	provided?	Yes Yes	No No
	::)	Beam "ON" indicator	working?		No
	ii)	beam ON mulcator	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No
	iii)	Head lock indicator	provided?	Yes	No
	111)	Head lock indicator		Yes	No
	i)	Collimator rotation indicator	working? provided?	Yes	No
	iv)	Commator rotation indicator	-	Yes	No
		Light field displays	working?		
	v)	Light field displays	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No
	vi)	Off shield indicator	provided?	Yes	No
	VI)	On smeld indicator	working?	Yes	No
2)	Entom	al beam theremy control consols displays	working?	Tes	INO
c)		al beam therapy control console displays Beam "OFF" indicator		Vaa	N.
	i)	Beam OFF indicator	provided?	Yes	No
	::)	Dear "ON" in director	working?	Yes	No
	ii)	Beam "ON" indicator	provided?	Yes	No No
	iii)	The difference	working?	Yes	No No
	111)	Head lock indicator	provided?	Yes	
	i)	Off shield indicator	working?	Yes Yes	No No
	iv)	On smeld indicator	provided? working?	Yes	No
-	)	Arm monition indicator	-	Yes	No
	v)	Arm position indicator	provided? working?	Yes	No
	vi)	Door position indicator	provided?	Yes	No
	V1)	Door position indicator	working?	Yes	No
d)	Extorn	al beam therapy control console functions	working:	105	INU
u)	i)	Power switch	provided?	Yes	No
	1)	i owei switeli	working?	Yes	No
	ii)	Reset switch	provided?	Yes	No
	11)	Reset switch	working?	Yes	No
	iii)	Beam "ON" switch	provided?	Yes	No
	III <i>)</i>	Bealli ON Switch	working?	Yes	No
	iv)	Beam "OFF" switch	provided?	Yes	No
	1 V )	Beam OFT SWICH	working?	Yes	No
	v)	Emergency switch	provided?	Yes	No
	vj	Lineigency Switch	working?	Yes	No
	vi)	Timer switch with treatment & elapsed time displays	provided?	Yes	No
	v1)	rimer switch with reachent & clapsed time displays	working?	Yes	No
	vii)	Treatment mode selection switch — fixed/arc/skip/rotation	provided?	Yes	No
	vii)	reament mode selection switch — fixed/ate/skip/folation	working?	Yes	No
	viii)	Selection switch for clockwise & anti-clockwise rotation	provided?	Yes	No
	viii)	Selection Switch for clockwise & anti-clockwise folation	working?	Yes	No
			working?	162	110

# II-5. Warning systems:

a)	Exposure signals and posted explanation (e.g. audible or visible	provided?	Yes	No
	alarms, illuminated signs)	working?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

b)	Warning notices	provided?	Yes	No
		local		
		language?	Yes	No

#### II-6. Safety operations -management

a)	Is management knowledgeable of the certificate of authorization and its restrictions and requirements?	s Yes	No		
b)	Does management provide adequate staffing levels?	Yes	No		
c)	Has management provided the radiation protection officer authority to stop unsafe operations?				
d)	Does management provide adequate resources for personnel training (time and money)?				
e)	Does management provide adequate equipment?				
f)	Does management provide for periodic program reviews and Schedule	d? Yes	No		
	recommendations? Performe	d? Yes	No		
	i) Date of the last program review:				
	ii) Status of recommendations:				

#### II-7. Safety operations — technical

a)	Does the radiation protection officer (RPO) have adequate knowledge an	nd expertise?	Yes	No
b)	Does the RPO have qualified experts available?			
c)	Is the RPO knowledgeable about the requirements of the regulatory authority and the provisions of the certificate of authorization?			
d)	) Is the RPO given sufficient time and resources to do the job (e.g. not kept too busy with other assignments or given insufficient technical and secretarial help)?			
e)	Does RPO maintains knowledge of activities of workers using radiation sources?		Yes	No
f)	Does the RPO conduct initial and periodic training of Workers?		Yes	No
g)			Yes	No
h)	Are there provisions for inventory of sources and accountability:	Procedures?	Yes	No
		Performed?	Yes	No

#### II-8. Investigation and quality assurance

a)	Were there any incidents or accidents?		Yes	No
b)	) If so, were incident and accident investigation reports prepared?		Yes	No
c)	Were safety assessments reviewed or made based on lessons learned from any		Yes	No
	accident or accidents at similar facilities?			
d)	Is there a written quality assurance program?	Procedures?	Yes	No
		Performed?	Yes	No
e)	Is maintenance and repair work in accordance with manufacturer's	Scheduled?	Yes	No
	recommendations?	Performed?	Yes	No
f)	Are repair/maintenance procedures?	Developed?	Yes	No
		Followed?	Yes	No

# **III-VERIFICATION OF WORKER PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix I "Occupational Exposure

#### **III-1.** Classification of areas

a)	Are controlled areas demarcated?		Yes	No
b)	Are approved signs at access points?	provided? legible? Local	Yes Yes	No No
		language?	Yes	No

c)	Is radiation source storage at a physically defined location (e.g. cabinet, safe, r	oom)?	Yes	No
	i) locked/secured location with key control?		Yes	No
	ii) proper shielding (e.g. individual containers, room)?		Yes	No
	iii) reserved only for radiation sources?		Yes	No
d)	Are supervised areas demarcated?		Yes	No
e)	Are approved signs at access points? nee	ded?	Yes	No
	prov	ided?	Yes	No
	leg	ble?	Yes	No
	Lo	ocal		
	lang	uage?	Yes	No

#### III-2. Local rules and supervision

a)	Are rules established in writing, in a local language?	Yes	No
b)	Do rules include investigation levels and authorised levels and the procedure to be	Yes	No
	followed when a level is exceeded?		
c)	Are workers (including nurses attending brachytherapy patients) instructed in the	Yes	No
	implementing procedures?		
d)	Are work activities involved with treatment done in accordance with prescribed	Yes	No
	operating procedures and conditions?		
e)	Do workers have adequate supervision to ensure rules, procedures, protective	Yes	No
	measures and safety provisions are followed?		

#### **III-3.** Monitoring

			V	NT
a)	Does the authorised organisation provide personal dosimeters?		Yes	No
	i) Worn properly?		Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?		Yes	No
	iii) Exchanged at required frequency?		Yes	No
b)	Are personnel exposures within limits?		Yes	No
c)	Are area and portable survey instruments:			
	i) Appropriate?		Yes	No
	ii) Calibrated?		Yes	No
	iii) Operational?		Yes	No
	iv) Operational check performed before use?		Yes	No
d)			Yes	No
	adequate and the dose rates around the room meet authorised radiation			
e)	Does the authorised organisation make periodic tests for leakage of r	adioactive	Yes	No
	materials from sealed sources?			
)	Is the instrumentation:	Appropriate	Yes	No
		?	Yes	No
		Calibrated?	Yes	No
		Operational		
		?		
Rec	ord independent measurements made during the inspection:			
Гур	e/model no. of survey meter:			
<b>D</b>	e last calibrated:			
Date				NI.
	he inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the	authorised	Yes	INC
Dot	he inspector's independent surveys agree with the survey results of the nisation?	authorised	Yes	INC
Do t orga				
Do t orga	nisation?			
Do t orga	nisation?			INO
Do t orga	nisation?			No

# **IV-VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC PROTECTION**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix III "Public Exposure".

# **IV-1.** Control of visitors

a)	Are visitors accompanied in controlled area?	Yes	No
b)	Is adequate information provided to visitors entering controlled areas?	Yes	No
c)	Are there adequate controls over entries into supervised areas and appropriate postings?	Yes	No

#### IV-2. Sources of exposure

a)	Are the shielding (including rooms of patients implanted with brachytherapy sources)	Yes	No
	and other protective measures optimised for restricting public exposure to external		
	sources of radiation?		
b)	Are the floor plans and arrangement of equipment appropriate considering public	Yes	No
	areas adjacent to the installation?		
c)	Have provisions been made to control contamination in the event of a leaking	Yes	No
	source?		

#### **IV-3.** Radioactive waste and discharges

a)	Have provisions been made to transfer sources to an appropriate registrant or	Yes	No
	licensee or to an authorised waste disposal facility at the end of use?		
b)	If sources are no longer in use and being stored, does the authorised organisation	Yes	No
	have a plan for timely transfer or disposal of the sources?		

#### IV-4. Monitoring of public exposure

Are routine periodic measurements of exposure rates in areas adjacent to treatment and	Yes	No
storage made by the staff or qualified expert?		
Record independent measurements made during the inspection:		
Type/model no. of survey meter:		
Date last calibrated:		
Are the inspector's independent measurements in agreement with the organisations routine	Yes	No
measurements?		
Do surveys shows that the shielding is adequate and the dose rates outside the controlled	Yes	No
and supervised areas meet authorised radiation levels?		

# **V-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix V "Emergency Exposure Situations".

#### V-1. Emergency plan

a)	Is there a written plan?	Yes	No
b)	Is the plan periodically reviewed and updated?	Yes	No
c)	Are there procedures for staff to safely handle gamma teletherapy and brachytherapy patients if the radiation source fails to return to the shielded position?	Yes	No
d)	Does the plan take into account lessons learned from operating experience and accidents at similar facilities?	Yes	No

#### V-2. Training and exercises

a)	Have workers involved in implementing the plan received training?	Yes	No
b)	Have provisions been made for the plan to be rehearsed at suitable intervals?	Yes	No

# VI-MEDICAL EXPOSURE

BSS requirements related to this section may be found in Appendix II "Medical Exposure".

#### VI-1. Responsibilities

	1100	Polisionnees			
	a)	Are there procedures or arrangements to ensure that no patient treated	provided?	Yes	No
		unless the exposure is prescribed by a medical practitioner?	followed?	Yes	No
	b) Are there an adequate number of trained medical and paramedical personnel to		Yes	No	
	discharge assigned tasks?				
	c) Are calibration, dosimetry, and quality assurance requirements conducted by or		Yes	No	
	under the supervision of a qualified expert in radiotherapy physics?				
VI-2.	Jus	tification			
	a) Are new therapy procedures justified by taking into account the benefits and risks of		Yes	No	
		alternate techniques that do not involve medical exposure?			
	b)	Are there procedures to ensure that exposure of humans for medical rese	arch is in	Yes	No
		accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and follows the guidelines for	its		
		application prepared by the Council for International Organizations of M	Iedical		
		Sciences and the World Health Organisation?			
	c)	Is each exposure of humans for medical research subject to the advice of	an Ethical	Yes	No
		Review Committee or other similar institutional body?			

# VI-3. Optimisation

Des	ign considerations			
a)	Is there documentary evidence that equipment and sources comply with IEC and IS standards?			No
b)	Whether imported into or manufactured in the country, does the equipme to applicable standards of IEC and ISO or to equivalent national standard		Yes	No
c)	Are performance specifications and operating and maintenance instruction in a major world language understandable to the users and in compliance relevant IEC or ISO standards with regard to "accompanying documents"	ons provided with the	Yes	No
d)	Where practicable, are the operating terminology (or its abbreviations) a values displayed on operating consoles in a major world language accepuser?	1 0	Yes	No
e)	) Is the of design newly acquired equipment evaluated to ensure that failures of components are promptly detectable and the incidence of human error is minimised?		Yes	No
f)	Is a backup system for terminating irradiation:	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No
g)	Do radioactive sources conform to the definition of a sealed source?		Yes	No
h)	Are there appropriate contingency plans for responding to events that	provided?	Yes	No
	may occur, while the patient is being treated?	practised?	Yes	No
i)	Are these plans for patient protection displayed prominently and practise periodically?	ed	Yes	No
j)	Are there provisions for selection, reliable indication and confirmation	provided?	Yes	No
	(when appropriate and to the extent feasible) of operational parameters such as type of radiation, indication of energy, beam modifiers, treatment distance, field size, beam orientation and either treatment time or preset dose?	working?	Yes	No
k)	Will radioactive sources be automatically shielded in the event of an interruption of power and remain shielded until reactivated at the control panel?	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No
1)	Are monitors provided to give warning of an unusual situation such as high radiation levels when position indicators show the source has been returned to a shielded position?	provided? working?	Yes Yes	No No

# VI-4. Operational considerations

a)	) Do treatment plans include exposure of normal tissue is kept as low as provided?		Yes	No
	is reasonably achievable consistent with delivering the planned dose to	followed?	Yes	No
	the target volume?			
b)	Are radiotherapeutic procedures causing exposure of the abdomen or	provided?	Yes	No
	pelvis of women who are pregnant avoided except when there are	followed?	Yes	No
	strong clinical indications?			
c)	Are any therapeutic procedures for pregnant women planned to deliver	provided?	Yes	No
	the minimum dose to any embryo or foetus?	followed?	Yes	No
d)	Are patients informed of possible risks?		Yes	No

#### VI-5. Calibration

a)	Is the calibration of sources used for medical exposure traceable to a Standards	Yes	No
	dosimetry laboratory?		
b)	Is radiotherapy equipment calibrated in terms of radiation quality or energy and	Yes	No
	either absorbed dose or absorbed dose rate at a predefined distance under specified		
	conditions? (IAEA Technical Report Series No. 277.)		
c)	Are sealed sources calibrated for a specified reference date for activity or at a	Yes	No
	specific distance in terms of reference air kerma in air or absorbed dose rate in a		
	specific medium?		
d)	Are calibrations carried out at commissioning of a unit, after maintenance that could	Yes	No
	affect dosimetry and at periodic intervals?		

#### VI-6. Clinical dosimetry

	·		
a)	Are the maximum and minimum absorbed doses from external beam teletherapy	Yes	No
	determined and documented for the planning target volume together with the		
	absorbed dose at selected relevant points?		
b)	For brachytherapy, is the absorbed dose determined and documented for selected	Yes	No
	relevant points in each patient?		
c)	For all radiotherapy, is the absorbed dose to relevant organs determined and	Yes	No
	documented?		

# VI-7. Quality assurance

Doe	Does the medical quality assurance program include:			
a)	Verification of the appropriate physical and clinical factors used in	provided?	Yes	No
	treatment including measurements of physical parameters at the time of	followed?	Yes	No
	commissioning and periodically thereafter?			
b)	Written records of relevant procedures and results?	provided?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No
c)	Verification of the appropriate calibration and conditions of operation	provided?	Yes	No
	of dosimetry and monitoring equipment?	followed?	Yes	No
d)	Verification of patient identity?	provided?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No
e)	Regular and independent quality audit reviews?	provided?	Yes	No
		followed?	Yes	No

# VI-8. Dose constraints

a)	Does an Ethical Review Committee or other institutional body specify dose constraints to be applied on a case by case basis in the optimisation of protection for persons exposed for medical research purposes if such medical exposure does not produce direct benefit to the exposed individual?	Yes	No
b)	Have dose constraints been established for individuals knowingly exposed while voluntarily helping in the care or comfort of patients under going medical treatment?	Yes	No
c)	Have dose constraints been established for individuals knowingly exposed while voluntarily visiting patients under going medical treatment?	Yes	No

# VI-9. Discharge of patients Are patients monitored prior to discharge to determine that all temporary implants of radioactive sources have been removed and that the activity is below the level specified in Schedule III, Table III-VI of the BSS? procedure Yes No

#### VI-10. Investigations of accidental medical exposures

	congutoris of accidental incurcal exposures		
Did	the registrant or licensee promptly investigate any or all instances where:		
a)	A therapeutic treatment was delivered to the wrong patient, the wrong treatment site, or with a dose or dose fractionation differing substantially from the values prescribed by the medical practitioner?	Yes	No
b)	An equipment failure, accident, error, mishap or other unusual occurrence with the potential for causing a patient exposure significantly different from that intended?	Yes	No
c)	With respect to any incidents investigated, did the registrant or licensee:		
	i) Calculate or estimate the doses received and their distribution within the patient?	Yes	No
	ii) Indicate the corrective measures required to prevent recurrence of such an incident?	Yes	No
	iii) Implement all corrective measures that were under their control?	Yes	No
	<ul> <li>iv) Submit to the regulatory authority, as soon as possible after the investigation or as otherwise specified by the regulatory authority, a written report which stated the cause of the accident and included the information specified in "i" to "iii", as relevant?</li> </ul>	Yes	No
	v) Inform the patient and his or her doctor about the incident?	Yes	No

# **VII-RECORDS**

Note: Records will be needed as part of the assessments and verifications outlined in the prior sections of the checklist. This list is a reminder of the type of records that may be helpful. The appearance of the list at the end of the checklist does not indicate that records review is the last item to be done. Rather, an inspection may begin with an entrance interview and facility tour followed by an initial review of some of the records listed below.

- a) Copy of authorization certificate
- b) System of records management
- c) Dosimetry
  - i) current
  - ii) prior work history
- d) Area surveys
- e) Instrument tests and calibrations
- f) Tests for leakage of radioactive material from sources
- g) Inventory of sources and accountability
- h) Audits and reviews of radiation safety programme
- i) Incident and accident investigation reports
- j) Maintenance and repair work
- k) Facility modifications
- 1) Training provided
  - i) initial
  - ii) refresher
- m) Evidence of health surveillance
- n) Waste disposals
- o) Transportation
  - i) package documentation
  - ii) package surveys
  - iii) transfer/receipt documents
  - iv) details of shipments dispatched
- p) Patient discharge surveys
- q) Clinical dosimetry records

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY TO ANNEX VIII**

# **GENERALLY APPLICABLE**

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Radiation Protection and the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 120, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, International Basic Safety Standards for Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and for the Safety of Radiation Sources, Safety Series No. 115, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 60, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Organization and Implementation of a National Regulatory Infrastructure Governing Protection Against Ionizing Radiation and the Safety of Radiation Sources, IAEA-TECDOC-1067, IAEA, Vienna (1999).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection from Potential Exposure: A Conceptual Framework, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 64, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment OF Occupational Exposure due to Intakes of Radionuclides, Safety Standards Series No. RS-G-1.2 (in preparation).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Direct Methods for Measuring Radionuclides in the Human Body, Safety Series No. 114, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Publications in the IAEA's RADWASS Programme under Safety Series No. 111, IAEA, Vienna.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials Used in Medicine, Industry, Research and Teaching, Safety Series No. 91, IAEA, Vienna (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Safe Use of Radiation Sources, Training Course Series No. 6, IAEA (1995).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material 1996 Edition, Safety Standards Series No. ST-1, IAEA, Vienna (1996).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Basic Principles for Occupational Radiation Monitoring, Safety Series No. 84, IAEA, Vienna (1987).

# PARTICULARLY APPLICABLE TO RADIOTHERAPY

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Radiological Accident in Goiânia, IAEA, Vienna (1989).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Protection Against Ionizing Radiation from External Sources Used in Medicine, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 33, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1993).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Shielded Enclosures, IAEA, Vienna (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on High Energy Teletherapy, IAEA, Vienna (1991).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Practical Radiation Safety Manual on Brachytherapy, IAEA, Vienna (1991).

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION, Radiological Protection of the Worker in Medicine and Dentistry, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Publication 57, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1989).

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Medical Handling of Accidentally Exposed Individuals, Safety Series No. 88, IAEA, Vienna (1988).

HELSINKI DECLARATION, Adopted by the 18th World Medical Assembly, Helsinki, 1964, and as amended by the 29th World Medical Assembly, Tokyo, 1975, the 35th World Medical Assembly, Venice, 1983 and the 41st World Medical Assembly, Hong Kong, 1989; available from the World Medical Association, F-01210 Ferney-Voltaire, France.

COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects, CIOMS, Geneva (1993).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Use of Ionizing Radiation and Radionuclides on Human Beings for Medical Research, Training and Non-Medical Purposes, Technical Report Series No. 611, WHO, Geneva (1977).

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Quality Assurance in Radiotherapy, WHO, Geneva (1988).

PAN AMERICAN HEALTH ORGANIZATION, Publicación Científica No. 499, Control de Calidad en Radioterapia: Aspectos Clínicos y Físicos, PAHO, Washington, DC (1986).

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION; Medical Electrical Equipment, Part 2: Particular Requirements for Medical Electron Accelerators in the Range 1 MeV to 50 Mev, Section 2: Radiation Safety for Equipment, IEC 60601-2-1 (1981).

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION; Medical Electrical Equipment, Part 2: Particular Requirements for the Safety of Gamma Beam Therapy Equipment, IEC-601-2-11, IEC (1997-08).

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION; Medical Electrical Equipment, Part 2: Particular requirements for the safety of remote-controlled automatically-driven gamma ray after loading equipment, IEC-601-2-17, IEC (1989).

# Annex IX

# INVESTIGATION OF INCIDENTS AT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES

This checklist should be used for investigating incidents at industrial facilities to reconstruct the events leading to the incident, and identify any contributing factors.

In general it is good practice to interview any individual directly or indirectly connected with the incident. Each person should be interviewed separately and without their supervisor. Note times, places, names, equipment, procedures followed, surveys performed, and other information even if it does not seem relevant at the time. Consider the need to have the incident re-enacted with a non radioactive source if doses received by individuals need to be estimated.

If time permits, prepare a visit agenda to review the programme with details contained in the application for authorization, the authorization certificate, and any information available on the incident.

# **I-SITE DETAILS**

Review the following details at the site:

#### I-1. Comparison with authorization

Note any changes from the general information available in the application for authorization and authorization certificate:

a)	Radioactive	materials
----	-------------	-----------

- i) lost Yes/No
- ii) stolen Yes/No
- b) if yes, explain and give details of radiation source

a) Resp	ponsible individuals	
i)	changed Yes/No	
ii)	available Yes/No	
b) Aut	horised use	
i)	changed Yes/No	
c) Aut	horised address	
i)	changed Yes/No	
	Facility design and equipment usekeeping	
i)	Is everything in its proper place?	Yes/No
ii)	Is equipment, floors, work surfaces clean and orderly	Yes/No
b) Shie	elding	
i)	integrity lost	Yes/No
	if yes, explain	
ii)	source stored properly	Yes/No
	if no, explain	

#### I-3. Radiation Protection equipment

- a) portable survey meters
  - i) availableii) workingYes/No
  - iii) current radiation levels\_\_\_\_\_

b)	dosimetr	у	
	i) avai	lable	Yes/No
	ii) eme	rgency reading	Yes/No
	iii) bioa	issay needed	Yes/No
c)	radiation	area monitors	
	i) avai	lable	Yes/No
	ii) wor	king	Yes/No
	iii) curr	ent radiation levels	
I-4	. Warı	ning signs and access cont	rol
a)		perly posted	Yes/No
b)	signs vis	ible	Yes/No
c)	signs leg	ible	Yes/No
d)	adequate	control	Yes/No
	i) if n	o, explain	
	ii) inte	rlocks defeated	Yes/No
	iii) if y	es, explain	
I-5	. Proc	edures	
a)	Written p	procedures followed	Yes/No
b)	Emergen	cy procedures followed	Yes/No
	if no, exp	olain	
c)	Were act	ivities properly supervised	Yes/No

# **II-DOCUMENTATION**

#### II-1. General

Obtain and review all documentation related to the incident (e.g. licensee's incident report, dosimetry. Incident report must contain information about:

- a) simulation of individual doses
- b) reconstruction of the accident situation
- c) checking the individual monitoring results

#### II-2. Additional information needed based on type of event

a) Potential Radiation Overexposure

- i) number of people receiving overexposures
- ii) dose received from overexposures
- iii) number of members of the public overexposed
- iv) number of members of the public exposed
- v) number of radiation workers overexposed
- vi) worker exposures
- vii) source of radiation
- viii) manufacturer
- ix) serial number of device
- x) model number of source
- xi) radionuclide
- xii) activity or energy level of source
- xiii) source assay date

- b) Lost/stolen/abandoned/leaking/damaged/malfunctioning device or source
  - i) source of radiation
  - ii) manufacturer
  - iii) serial number of device
  - iv) model number of source
  - v) radionuclide
  - vi) activity or energy level of source
  - vii) source assay date
  - viii) leak test result or last leak test result\_\_\_\_\_
  - ix) note contaminated areas
  - x) current status of source(s)
- c) Release of Radioactive material (complete item 7a above). Describe the radioactive materials and forms dispersed, determine the volume and concentration of contamination, and where it has been dispersed (e.g. air, groundwater, sewer, surfaces).

# Annex X

# **PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

This annex contains two lists of performance indicators. Table X-1 contains 5 performance indicators that are most commonly found during inspections. Table X-2 contains additional performance indicators that also may be of value in identifying situations with the potential for degraded safety performance in the use of radiation sources.

#### TABLE X-1. COMMON PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number	Description
1	Lack of senior management commitment to or involvement with the radiation safety programme
2	Minimal radiation protection officer oversight and/or too busy with other assignments
3	Too few staff trained to conduct an effective radiation protection programme and/or too heavy workload
4	Issues relating to radiation protection programme are not discussed by the appropriate responsible individuals within the organisation
5	Quality assurance fails to detect radiation safety problems

#### TABLE X-2. ADDITIONAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number	Description	
1	Failure to follow approved procedures	
2	Users not familiar with safety procedures or authorization conditions	
3	Allowing production activities to take precedence over radiation protection programme	
4	Failure to implement lasting corrective action	
5	Frequent repeated minor problems, perhaps arising from failure to correct a fundamental problem	
6	Poor record keeping	
7	Evidence of financial instability of authorised organisation	
8	Frequent resignations of staff	
9	Inability to perform on time all tasks required by the radiation protection	
	programme	
10	Lack of training documentation	
11	Failure to assess the effectiveness of training provided	
12	Concerns about radiation safety from the workforce	
13	Poor accounting for, or security of, radiation sources	
14	Major change of organisation or structure	
15	Excessive accumulation of radioactive waste	
16	Lack of refresher training	
17	Lack of emergency preparedness	
18	Inadequate radiation and contamination monitoring	

# CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW

Aguado, D.	Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear, Spain
Coronado, S.	Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, Spain
Coy, K.	Bayerisches Landesamt für Umweltschutz, Germany
Cunningham, R.	United States of America
Glenn, J.	United States of America
Golder, F.	Institute of Isotopes of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary
McCready-Shea, S.	Health & Safety Executive, United Kingdom
Murthy, M.S.S.	Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, India
Oresegun, M.	International Atomic Energy Agency
Ortiz López, P.	International Atomic Energy Agency
Prouza, Z.	State Office of Nuclear Safety, Czech Republic
Rojkind, R.H.	Ente Nacional Regulador Nuclear, Argentina
Santiago, P.	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission, United States of America
Spitzberg, D.B.	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission, United States of America
Velasques, S.	Comissao Nacional de Energia Nuclear, Brazil
Wheatley, J.	International Atomic Energy Agency
Wheelton, R.	National Radiological Protection Board, United Kingdom

# **Consultants Meetings**

Vienna, Austria: 3–6 June 1996, 4–6 December 1996, 10 February – 21 March 1997, 9–11 July 1997

# **Technical Committee Meeting**

Vienna, Austria: 4-8 November 1996