Effects of the Safety Factor on Ion Temperature Gradient Modes
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Abstract: A model for the ion temperature gradient (ITG) driven instability is derived from Braginskii magnetohydrodynamic equations of ions. The safety factor \( q \) in a toroidal plasma is introduced into the model through the current density \( J_\parallel \). The effects of \( q \) or \( J_\parallel \) on both the ITG instability in \( k_\perp \) and \( k_\parallel \) spectra and the critical stability thresholds are studied. It is shown that the current density \( J_\parallel \) or the safety factor \( q \) plays an important role in stabilizing the ITG instability.

In the previous models [1, 2] for the ion temperature gradient (ITG) driven instability, the safety factor \( q(r) \) is introduced into the model through the wavenumber or relative derivative, such as \( k_\theta = lq(r)/r \) and \( \nabla_\parallel = ik_\parallel = (Rq)^{-1} \delta / \delta \theta \). Since the safety factor does not directly stem from the current density, it cannot fully and realistically describe the roles of the current density or safety factor in the ITG mode stability. Hence, in this work we directly introduce the safety factor into the present model equations from the parallel current density and study the dependence of ITG mode stability on the current density or safety factor. In the present model, an important physical quantity, \( \omega_j = 0.71k \cdot (V_{i\parallel} - V_{e\parallel}) = 0.71\epsilon n_{ei}^{-3}k \cdot J_\parallel \), involves the scalar product of the wave vector \( k \) and the relative motion of ion and electron fluid velocity \((V_i - V_e)\), or equivalently, that of the wave vector \( k \) and the current density \( J_\parallel \), and is expressed as [3]

\[
\omega_j = \begin{cases} 
0.71q_e^{-1}k_\parallel (V_{pe} - V_{pi}) , & \text{for the limiting case of } k_\perp = 0, \\
{b}_q (\omega_{pe} - \omega_{pi}) , & \text{for a finite value of } k_\perp ,
\end{cases}
\]

(1)

where \( b_q = 0.71q_e^{-1}(k_\parallel / k_\perp) \), \( \omega_{pe} = (1 + \epsilon e)\omega_{vi} \), \( \omega_{pi} = (1 + \epsilon i)\omega_{vi} \), \( \omega_j \), including the parallel current density or the safety factor, will be incorporated into the following model equation.

From the Braginskii magnetohydrodynamic equations of ions [4] and the adiabatic electron response \( \delta n_e / n_e = e\delta \phi / T_e \) along with quasi-neutrality \( \delta n_i / n_i = \delta n_e / n_e \), we derive the dispersion relation for the ITG instability

\[
[\omega_0 - \omega_\perp + b_i \Omega(\Omega + \omega_{pi} - \omega_j - 10\omega_{Di} / 3) + \omega_0 (5\omega_{Di} / 3 - \omega_{pi} + 5b_i \Omega / 3)]
= \tau[(\Omega + \omega_{pi})(\Omega + \omega_{pi} - \omega_j - 10\omega_{Di} / 3) + 5\omega_{Di}^2 / 3],
\]

(2)

where \( \Omega = \Omega_i + i\gamma \), \( b_i = -(k_\perp \rho_i)^2 \), \( \tau = T_i / Z_{eff} T_e \), and \( \omega_j \) is given by Eq. (1). From Eqs (1) and (2), we see that \( \omega_j \) describes the effects of \( J_\parallel \) or \( q \) on the ITG instability. In the limiting case of \( k_\perp = 0 \), the solution of Eq. (2) for \( \Omega \) is a stable mode

\[
\Omega = \omega_j = 0.71q_e^{-1}k_\parallel (V_{pe} - V_{pi}).
\]

(3)

When \( k_\perp \) is finite, we get the unstable solution of Eq. (2) after all frequencies are normalized...
\[ \Omega / \omega_\varepsilon \frac{\Omega / \omega_\varepsilon}{\omega_\varepsilon} = (\Omega + i \gamma) / \omega_\varepsilon = (\omega_\varepsilon - k \cdot \mathbf{V} + i \gamma) / \omega_\varepsilon, \]

(4)

where

\[ 2 \Omega / \omega_\varepsilon \frac{2 \Omega}{\omega_\varepsilon} = (1 + \tau / A_2 + b_q \tau + (1 + \eta_\tau)) + b_q (1 + \eta_\varepsilon) - 10 \varepsilon / (1 + \tau / A_2) / 3 + \tau (1 - 2 \varepsilon / A_2), \]

(5)

\[ 2 \gamma / \omega_\varepsilon \frac{2 \gamma}{\omega_\varepsilon} = [4(A_4 / A_2) - (2 \Omega / \omega_\varepsilon)^2]^{1/2}, \]

(6)

with

\[ A_4 = (1 + b_q \tau^3) (1 + \eta_\varepsilon / 2 / (1 + b_q / 2) (1 + b_q / 2) (1 - 2 \varepsilon / (6 - 20 \tau) \varepsilon / 3)^2 \]

\[ \times (1 + \eta_\varepsilon / 2 / (1 + b_q / 2) (1 + b_q / 2) (1 - 2 \varepsilon / (6 - 20 \tau) \varepsilon / 3)^2 \]

\[ A_2 = \tau - b_q, \text{ and } \varepsilon / (\eta_\varepsilon / 2 / (1 + b_q / 2) (1 + b_q / 2) (1 - 2 \varepsilon / (6 - 20 \tau) \varepsilon / 3)^2 \]

If \( k_\parallel = 0 \), Eqs (4–6) give an unstable mode without the \( q \) or \( J_\parallel \) effect. For finite values of \( k_\perp \) and \( k_\parallel \), the term \( b_q \) in Eqs (4–6) or \( \omega_j \) describes the interaction between the unstable mode and the stable one \((\Omega = \omega_j)\), which is the essence of the \( q \) or \( J_\parallel \) effect. Such a function of \( \omega_j \) is similar to the \( \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \) shearing rate \( \omega_{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}} \)[5], which can lead to the coupling between the unstable mode and stable one. In Fig. 1, we show the growth rate \( \gamma / \omega_\varepsilon \) versus \( k_\perp \rho_i \) for different \( q \) values. The long wavelength instabilities in the \( k_\perp \) spectrum are suppressed by the \( q \) or \( J_\parallel \) effect, and the short wavelength instabilities \((k_\perp \rho_i \geq 0.92)\) are suppressed by the finite Larmor radius effect. In addition, the \( q \) or \( J_\parallel \) effect also suppresses the instabilities with larger wavenumber \( k_\parallel \), as shown in Fig. 2. The growth rate versus \( k_\perp \rho_i \) is shown in Fig. 3 for different values of \( \varepsilon / \eta_\varepsilon \). When \( \varepsilon / \eta_\varepsilon \) is very small, there are two discrete unstable regions in the perpendicular wavenumber spectrum. One is in the long wavelength region, \( k_\perp \rho_i \sim 10^{-2} \) or \(< 10^{-2} \). Another is in the short wavelength region, \( k_\perp \rho_i \sim 0.3 \) to 0.8. When \( \varepsilon / \eta_\varepsilon \) is large enough, however, the instabilities in the short wavelength region are suppressed.

Setting the growth rate \( \gamma = 0 \) from Eq. (6), we can obtain the critical stability threshold equation of the ITG mode,
This is a quadratic equation in $\eta_i$ and $q$. Hence, in the present model, there are two stability thresholds for $\eta_i$ and $q$, i.e. $\eta_{i1}$ and $\eta_{i2}$, and $q_{c1}$ and $q_{c2}$ (generally $\eta_{i1} \ll \eta_{i2}$ and $q_{c1} \ll q_{c2}$). As a result, each of $\eta_i$ and $q$ has two stable parameter regimes. They are, respectively, $\eta_i \leq \eta_{i1}$ and $\eta_i \geq \eta_{i2}$, and $q \leq q_{c1}$ and $q \geq q_{c2}$. Correspondingly, each of $\eta_i$ and $q$ has an unstable parameter regime between the two stable regimes, i.e. $\eta_{i1} < \eta_i < \eta_{i2}$ and $q_{c1} < q < q_{c2}$. In order to study the critical stability thresholds of $\eta_i$, we substitute Eq. (7) into Eq. (8) and rewrite Eq. (8) as

$$y_2 (1+\eta_i)^2 + y_1 (1+\eta_i) + y_0 = 0,$$

where

$$y_2 = (2\tau - b_i + b_q(\tau - b_i))^2 \tau^2 - 4(\tau - b_i)(1 + b_q)\tau^3,$$  

$$y_1 = 2\tau[(2\tau - b_i + b_q(\tau - b_i))[b_q(\tau - b_i)(1 + \eta_i) - 10\tau \varepsilon_n (2\tau - b_i)/3$$

$$+ \tau (1 - 2\varepsilon_n)] - 4(\tau - b_i)[b_q (1 + \eta_i) + (1 + b_q)(1 - 2\varepsilon_n)]$$

$$+ 2\varepsilon_n (3 - 10\tau)/3\tau^2,$$
Thus, the two thresholds of $\eta_i$ for the critical stability of the ITG mode are, respectively,

\begin{align}
\eta_{ic1} &= (2y_2) -[-y_1 - 2y_2 - (y_1^2 - 4y_0y_2)^{1/2}], \\
\eta_{ic2} &= (2y_2) -[-y_1 - 2y_2 + (y_1^2 - 4y_0y_2)^{1/2}].
\end{align}

(10a) (10b)

Here, both $\eta_{ic1}$ and $\eta_{ic2}$ decrease with the increase of the safety factor $q$. When $q$ is large enough, $\eta_{ic1}$ goes through zero and then is negative. In this case, however, $\eta_{ic2}$ reduces from over one hundred to the typical $\eta_i$ parameter regime of tokamaks, i.e. $0 \leq \eta_{ic2} < 10$, as is shown in Fig. 4. It is interesting that, under the specific condition of the parameters, i.e. $y_1^2 = 4y_0y_2$, $\eta_{ic1} = \eta_{ic2}$. That is, the unstable regime $\eta_{ic1} < \eta_i < \eta_{ic2}$ vanishes and thus the mode is stable without any $\eta_i$ threshold. Here it should be pointed out that we have not found a typical parameter regime of tokamaks in which $q$, $\eta_i$, $\tau$, and $\varepsilon$, independently of each other, satisfy the condition. Similarly, Eq. (8) can be rewritten as

$$z_2q^2 + z_1q + z_0 = 0,$$

(11a)

where

\begin{align}
z_2 &= (0.74 \varepsilon^{-1}k_y / k_z)^2 (\tau - b_j) [\tau(1 + \eta_1) + (1 + \eta_n)]^2, \\
z_1 &= 1.42\varepsilon^{-1}(k_y / k_z)[\tau(1 + \eta_1) + (1 + \eta_n)][(2\tau - b_j)\tau(1 + \eta_1) + \tau(1 - 2\eta_n)] \\
&\quad - 10\varepsilon^{-1}(2\tau - b_j)/3 - 2.84\varepsilon^{-1}(k_y / k_z)[\tau^3(1 + \eta_1)^2 + \tau^2(1 + \eta_1)(1 + \eta_n) + \tau^2(1 + \eta_1)(1 - 2\eta_n)], \\
z_0 &= [(2\tau - b_j)\tau(1 + \eta_1) + \tau(1 - 2\eta_n) - 10\varepsilon^{-1}(2\tau - b_j)/3]^2 - 4 \\
&\quad \times [(1 + \eta_1)^2 \tau^3 + (1 + \eta_1)\tau^2\eta_n(6 - 20\tau)/3 + (1 + \eta_1)\tau^2(1 - 2\eta_n)] \\
&\quad + 20\varepsilon^{-1}(\eta_n + \varepsilon_n - 1)/3.
\end{align}

(11b) (11c) (11d)

Then we obtain the two thresholds of $q$, which are, respectively,

\begin{align}
q_{c1} &= (2z_2)^{-1}[-z_1 - (z_1^2 - 4z_0z_2)^{1/2}], \\
q_{c2} &= (2z_2)^{-1}[-z_1 + (z_1^2 - 4z_0z_2)^{1/2}].
\end{align}

(12a) (12b)

In particular, when the parameters satisfy the following condition

$$z_1^2 = 4z_0z_2,$$

(13)
Thus, the unstable \( q \) parameter regime vanishes and the mode is stable for any value of \( q \). This situation is shown in Fig. 5, where \( q_{c1} = q_{c2} = 115 \) when \( \varepsilon_n \geq 1.8 \). Usually ion internal thermal transport barriers are observed in reversed shear plasmas. However, there are regimes, such as the high-\( \beta_p \) H-mode [6], for which the profile of reversed shear is not present but for which an ion core transport barrier certainly forms. The present theoretical result should be a possible scenario to explain the experiment of the high-\( \beta_p \) H-mode.

In summary, the parallel current density or the safety factor is introduced into the present model. Its effects are to suppress both the longer wavelength mode in the \( \perp k \) spectrum and the shorter wavelength mode in the \( \parallel k \) spectrum. In addition, it is shown that there exist two critical stability thresholds of both \( \eta \) and \( q \). They correspond to two stable regimes and one unstable regime between the two stable ones. The first stable regime is in the typical parameter range of present day tokamaks.
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