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#### Abstract

The formation of internal transport barriers (ITBs) near $\mathrm{q}=2,3$ surfaces in normal (NrS) or optimized shear discharges of JT-60U and JET is well known. In reverse shear (RS) JT-60U plasmas, the role of $q$ minimum ( $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}$ ) equal to $3.5,3,2.5,2$ is not obvious for ITB formation. ITB-events (non-local confinement bifurcations inside and around ITB in a ms timescale) are found in various JT-60U NrS and RS plasmas. Under sufficient power, ITB-events are seen at rational and not rational values of $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}$. The space-time evolution of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $T_{i}$ is similar even being strongly varied in space and time, suggesting same mechanism(s) of $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$ transport. The temporal formation of strong ITB in H-mode under passing of $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}=3$ (after periodical improvements and degradations via ITB-events with 8 ms period) in RS mode with $\mathrm{P}_{\text {nbi }}=8 \mathrm{MW}$ is presented. Under smaller power, ITB-events are observed only at rational values of $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}$. In a weak RS shot with $P_{n b i}=4 M W$, abrupt rise of $T_{e}$ is seen at $q_{\text {min }}=3.5$, while more cases of $T_{i}$ rise are observed. The difference of the $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$ evolution seen regularly under the low power, suggests decoupling of $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$ transport.


## 1. Introduction

The formation of internal transport barriers (ITBs) near $\mathrm{q}=2,3$ surfaces in normal (NrS) or optimized shear discharges of JT-60U and JET is well known [1,2]. In reverse shear (RS) JT60 U plasmas, the role of q minimum ( $\mathrm{q}_{\min }$ ) equal to $3.5,3,2.5,2$ is not obvious for ITB evolution. The transient processes seen under crossing $\mathrm{q}_{\min }=3$ were first time reported in [3]. Later, non-local confinement bifurcations inside and around ITB (abrupt variations of transport in a ms timescale within $\sim 0.3 \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{a}$ ) were found in various JT-60U RS and NrS plasmas and called ITB-events [4-6]. The maximum of heat flux variation is located near the position of $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}$. The series of ITB-events is able to create the strong ITB in H-mode ( $\mathrm{q}_{\min } \sim 2.7$ ) with nearly doubled energy confinement time [6]. The influence of the radial electric field calculated near ITB foot on wider ITB region was highlighted in [7]. Initially, another type of non-local (in $\sim 90 \%$ of volume) abrupt jumps (bifurcations) of transport at fast "global" L-H-L transitions was found in JET and JT-60U plasmas with NrS [8-9]. At L-H-L transitions in JT-60U plasmas with RS and ITB [5-6], the profile of the heat flux jump follows the position of the safety factor minimum and penetrates into RS region deeper for the weak ITB that for the strong one [6]. ITB-event degradation causes L-H transition [6].

## 2. ITB-events under sufficient NBI power in RS

Under sufficient power in JT-60U RS plasmas, ITB-events are seen at rational and not rational values of $q_{\text {min }}$ and the space-time evolution of $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$ is similar [4-6]. In the present paper, we highlight the similarity of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ evolution by detailed comparison $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t})$ behavior (see Fig. 1) during strong ITB creation via series of ITB-eventsimprovements A, C, F and further ITB degradation (shot 32423, 1.5MA/3.7T, L-mode edge, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{nb}}=8 \mathrm{MW}, \mathrm{q}_{\min } \sim 2.7$, see evolution of plasma parameters in [4]). The position and the evolution of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ measured by 12 -channels ECE heterodyne radiometer (data averaged in 0.5 ms interval) at channel $11\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{e} 11}\right)$ correspond to the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i} 13}$ evolution (changes of timetraces at the times A, D, F, K on Fig.1). The $T_{i}$ is measured with 17 ms time resolution and $\sim 0.06 \mathrm{r} /$ a space resolution half width. The $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e} 8-9}$ evolution corresponds to the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i} 12}$ evolution (changes of slopes at the times C, E, F, H on Fig.1). The $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e} 6}$ position lies near the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i} 11}$ position (times A, F, K). The $T_{e 1}$ position corresponds to the $T_{i 10}$ position. The evolution and the similarity of $T_{e}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ transport at $\mathrm{t}=6.5-6.68 \mathrm{~s}$ time interval was described in detail [4]. The $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}}$ evolution

presented on Fig.1, shows the similarity of the transport in a longer time interval, including the formation of double ITBs clearly seen before time G (weak ITB between $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e} 2}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e} 6}$, and strong ITB between $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e} 10}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e} 12}$. The same trend is observed for $T_{i}$ profile at $\mathrm{t}=6.75 \mathrm{~s}$ (the difference between $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i} 12}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i} 13}$ is equal to 1 keV and 2.5 keV for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i} 13}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i} 14}$ ( $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{a}=0.73$ )). Strong ITB destroys after time G.
The timetraces of shot 32474 (1.5MA/3.7T), the evolution of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and profiles in H -mode under passing of $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}=3$ are presented on Figs 2(a-d). Four cycles of ITBevents (called periodic ITB-events or P-ITB-events) are seen on $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ evolution after $t=6.68$ s (see Figs 2(c,d). Each cycle consists of $\sim 4 \mathrm{~ms}$ ITB-event improvement phase ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e} 3-6}$ rise and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e9-12}}$ decay) and $\sim 4 \mathrm{~ms}$ ITB-event
Fig. 1. Similarity of $T_{i}$ and $T_{e}$ evolution in shot 32423. $P_{n b i}$ rises from $8 M W$ to 10 MW at $t=6.66 \mathrm{~s}$.


Figure 2(a) Timetraces of $W, P_{n b i}$ and $I_{p}$ in shot 32474. Transitionless H-mode [10] starts from $t$ $\sim 5.7$ s. $P$ - start of periodical ITB-events at $q_{m i n}=3$. (b) Positions of radiometer channels and $T_{e}(r)$ for $t=6.68,6.9 \mathrm{~s} .(c-d) T_{e}$ timetraces at periodical $P$-ITB-events and ITB-event-improvement $I$


Fig. 3. Profiles of $T_{e, i}, n_{e}$, and $q$ before time $P$ at Fig. 2.
Fig . 4 Profiles of $\delta \chi_{e}$ estimated for $P, I$ and D ITB-events

$t=6.9 \mathrm{~s}$ (dotted line) are shown on Fig.3. The inversion radius (region between $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ rise and decay at ITB-events on Fig 2(c) lies




Fig. 5 Simulation of P-ITB-events (a) $\delta \chi_{e}$ profile, (b) evolution of $\chi_{e}$ in time (c) evolution of $\delta T_{e}$.
degradation phase $\left(T_{\text {e2-6 }}\right.$ decay and $\mathrm{T}_{\text {e9-12 }}$ rise). At $\mathrm{t}=6.75 \mathrm{~s} \quad$ confinement improves again via the ITBevent I, and the ITB foot locates at the position of ch. 11 at $\mathrm{t}=6.9 \mathrm{~s}$ (see Fig. 2(b)) instead of position of ch8 before ITB-events. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ evolves similar to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$, as usual.
Profiles of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{i}}$ (rhombus and circles), $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (triangles) q at near the position of $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{min}}$, as usual [46]. The $\delta \chi_{e}$ profiles at ITB-events $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{I}$ and D (degradation which occurs later and not shown on Fig.2) were calculated from abrupt variations $\partial \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}} / \partial \mathrm{t}$ values at times of ITB-events (see method in [4]).

Fig. 5 presents modelling of periodical ITB-events with the profile of the electron heat diffusivity coefficient variation $\delta \chi_{\mathrm{e}}$ shown in Fig. 5(a). The evolution of $\chi_{\mathrm{e}}$ and calculated values of $\delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ at various radial positions are shown on Figs. 5 (b-c). The calculations reasonably describe the experiments shown on Fig. 2(c-d). We suppose that the periodical "global" L-H-L transitions with 20 ms period ( 10 ms H -mode phase and 10 ms L-mode phase) found in JET [11] are clear physical analogue to the periodical ITB-events described above.

## 3. ITB-events under small NBI power in RS



Fig.6. Timetraces of $I_{p}, W, H_{\alpha}, P_{n b i}$ and $q_{\text {min }}$ in shot 36639

Under smaller power, ITBevents (in $\sim 20$ pulses studied) are connected with some low order rational $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}$ values. ITBevents are found at $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{nbi}}=2.5 \mathrm{MW}$ in the latest phase
of RS discharge 36639 (1.4MA /3.8T) under $\mathrm{q}_{\min }=2.5$ (see Fig. 6).


Fig. 7 Timetraces of $I_{p}$, $W$ and $P_{n b i}$ in shot 38976

Moreover, the influence of some low order rational $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}$ values is seen clearly for temporal ITB creation on $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$. The timetraces of shot 38976 (1.3MA/3.7T) are shown on Fig.7. The abrupt rise of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is seen only once at $\mathrm{q}_{\min }=3.5$ at
$\mathrm{t}=5.87 \mathrm{~s}$ while more cases of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ rise are observed (after $\mathrm{t}=6.1 \mathrm{~s}$ also). The timetrace of the row heterodyne data is shown on Fig.8. The rise of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is seen at $\mathrm{t}=5.87 \mathrm{~s}$ ( $\mathrm{q}_{\min }=3.5$ at this time)


Fig. 8. Timetrace of $T_{e}$ (0.35), similar behavior seen at $0.2<r / a<0.4$ region in shot 38976


Fig. 9. Profile q at $t=5.9 \mathrm{~s}$ with qmin $=3.42$ in shot 38976
in the wide region $0.18<\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{a}<0.42$. The profile of the electron heat diffusivity variation $\delta \chi_{\mathrm{e}}$ is obtained from abrupt variation of $\partial \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}} / \partial \mathrm{t}$ values at $\mathrm{t}=5.87 \mathrm{~s}$ in the same way like described in


Fig. 10 Timetraces of $T_{i}$ in shot 38976 detail in [4] and is wide in space (in the region over $0.5 \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{a}$ ). The q profile at $\mathrm{t}=5.9 \mathrm{~s}$ is presented on Fig. 9. The wide region of small shear is observed clearly. In this particular shot 38976 case, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ evolves similar to $T_{e}$ at $q_{m i n}=3.5$ and rises separately from $T_{e}$ at $\mathrm{t}=6.1 \mathrm{~s}$ (see Fig.10). The same behavior of $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$ is observed in the similar shot 38974. The rise of $T_{e}$ occurs at $\mathrm{t}=5.92 \mathrm{~s}$ (close to $\mathrm{t}=5.86 \mathrm{~s}$ in shot 3896).

The difference of the $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$ evolution seen regularly under the low power, suggests decoupling of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ transport.

## 4. Discussion and Conclusions

Besides well-known formation of ITBs near $\mathrm{q}=2,3$ surfaces in NrS or optimized shear discharges of JT-60U and JET [1-2], similar features are sometimes seen in small machines with ECR heating. The existence of the zones with improved transport near low-orderrational q values was reported at RTP [13]. The zone of the improved transport formed by
off-axis ECRH in T-10 (the region with low shear and q near 1 inside $\sim 0.3 \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{a}$ ) is able to survive at $R / L_{T e}=\operatorname{Rgrad} T_{e} / T_{e}$ up to 23 with $\chi_{\mathrm{e}} \sim 0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ [14].

Under sufficient power in RS JT-60U plasmas, the space-time evolution of $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$ due to series of ITB-events improvements and degradations is similar even being strongly varied in time and space. The same physical mechanism(s) is responsible for $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$ evolution at ITB-events. ITB-events are observed under various values of $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}$. The periodical ITB-events with $\sim 8 \mathrm{~ms}$ period are found in H-mode RS plasmas under crossing $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}=3$. Probably the clearest analogues are periodical "global" L-H-L transitions with 20 ms period found in JET [11].

Under smaller power in JT-60U RS plasmas, the space-time evolution of $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$ could be different from each other. The transport looks different for $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$. The influence of some low order rational $q_{\text {min }}$ values is seen clearly for temporal creation of the ITB on $T_{e}$ and for series of small-scale ITB-events on $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$. At present, we observe ITB-events at low order rational $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}$ values only.

ITB-events triggers could be different in various JT-60U plasmas. The role of MHDactivity as ITB-event improvement trigger should be studied in future. The correlation of the MHD-activity and ITB-event improvement within a millisecond timescale was found sometimes (not frequently). The correlation of the coupled edge-core MHD-activity and ITB formation (unfortunately within $\sim 100 \mathrm{~ms}$ time interval) was reported on JET [11]. A physical mechanism of non-local bifurcations of the core transport at the ITB-events is still unclear. Further study of ITB-events (especially in low power cases) and ITB-events triggers is necessary.
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