# FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR VERY HIGH ASPECT RATIO TOKAMAK FUSION REACTOR

Y. MURAKAMI, K. SHINYA Nuclear Engineering Laboratory, Toshiba Corporation, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa-ken

S. NISHIO Naka Fusion Research Establishment, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Naka-machi, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken

Japan

# Abstract

## FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR VERY HIGH ASPECT RATIO TOKAMAK FUSION REACTOR

Very high aspect ratio (A) tokamak reactor is proposed as a future power plant. Plasma size and operation performance are surveyed over a wide range of A. To reduce the plasma minor radius, relatively high toroidal magnetic field of 18T is adopted. When the plasma major and minor radii are 12 m and 1.5 m (A=8), steady-state operation with 3 GW of fusion power and large fusion gain (>40) is possible. 90% of bootstrap current fraction is achieved by optimizing the density profile for the given MHD equilibrium. Toroidal field (TF) coil size is estimated by considering the field ripple, NBI beam line and coil case stress. Although overall TF-coil height and width are smaller than those of ITER, cross-sectional area of the TF-coil leg becomes 4 times larger than that of ITER to compensate the vertical force.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Aspect ratio A (ratio of plasma major radius  $R_P$  to minor radius  $a_P$ ) is a key parameter to determine the size of a tokamak fusion reactor. A relatively high-A (~4.5) DEMO class reactor was designed from the viewpoint of steady-state operation [1]. On the other hand, an ultra low-A (~1.5) compact reactor was proposed [2]. Recently, a very high-A (=8) reactor with SiC core structure has been proposed and shown to have an advantage from the viewpoint of maintenance feasibility [3]. Very high-A reactor was originally investigated as a core plasma of the second stability tokamak [4]. In this study, however, we concentrate on the first stability plasma (normalized beta  $\beta_N$ ~3) and investigate the feasibility of very high-A reactor according to ITER physics guideline [5] and design constraints similar to those of SSTR [1].

## 2. ASPECT RATIO AND PLASMA PERFORMANCE

To realize a very high-A reactor, the plasma minor radius  $a_p$  should be minimized while keeping the major radius  $R_P$  sufficiently small. Therefore, high toroidal field  $B_T$  at the plasma center is required. In this paper, we assume the maximum toroidal field  $B_{TMAX}=18$  T. The fusion power ( $P_{FUS}=3$ GW), MHD safety factor ( $q_{\Psi} \sim 3$ ), plasma elongation ( $\kappa \sim 1.4$ ) and inboard shield thickness (= 1.4 m) are fixed to compare the plasma performance. Figure 1 shows the plasma size and performance in steady-state operation for various aspect ratio. Since the plasma current becomes small and bootstrap current fraction increases in a very high-A (>8) plasma, the required current drive power (1.3 MeV NBI is used) decreases rapidly and large fusion gain Q is achieved. If an advanced divertor concept is not considered, the divertor peak heat load is simply estimated by  $W_{DIV} = P_{DIV} \sin \theta_{DIV} / (2\pi R_{DIV} \Delta_P)$ , where  $P_{DIV}$  is the power to the divertor,  $\theta_{DIV}$  is the plate inclination angle,  $R_{DIV}$  is the striking point radius and  $\Delta_P$  is the heat flux half width, respectively. A plasma with large major radius  $R_P$  has a substantial advantage to reduce the peak heat load since the target radius R<sub>DIV</sub> is approximately proportional to R<sub>p</sub>. Furthermore, large R<sub>p</sub> is favorable also for the heat diffusion across the field line because of long connection length between plasma and target plates. By using simplified scaling models [6] for  $\Delta_{\rm P}$ , the peak heat load is estimated. Figure 1-d) shows the peak heat load as a function of A. The absolute value of the heat load is normalized to 10 MW/m<sup>2</sup> for the parameters same as ITER. In this figure, the current drive power is not included in P<sub>DIV</sub>. It is seen that the divertor heat load is reduced greatly in a very high-A reactor due to the large plasma surface area, large major radius and strong synchrotron radiation. Adopting radiative divertor (by seeding 0.2% of argon), acceptable divertor condition with low plasma temperature can also be achieved. Finally, we select the plasma with A=8 for the reference reactor design where the minor radius is close to the minimum and the fusion gain is large enough. (If the confinement enhancement factor H≤2 is assumed, the maximum A is also about 8).

### **3. TOROIDAL COIL SIZE AND REACTOR CONCEPT**

In a very high-A reactor, TF-coil design is one of the most important issues since the hoop force of TF-coil becomes very large. Furthermore, outboard space  $\Delta_{TF2}$  between TF-coil leg and plasma surface should be increased to keep the field ripple sufficiently small. Figure 2 shows the field ripple as a function of  $\Delta_{TF2}$ . Here, N<sub>TFC</sub> is the number of TF-coils. The required  $\Delta_{TF2}$  is about 3.4 m when field ripple is 1% and N<sub>TFC</sub>=20. In this case, vertical force of TF-coil is about 2.7 times larger than that for SSTR [1]. Critical current density for 18 T magnet is assumed to be 3/4 of that for 16.5T magnet [1]. Therefore, the cross-sectional area of TF-coil case is estimated to be about 5 m<sup>2</sup>. Figure 3 shows the plan view of the very high-A reactor. Tangential injection of neutral beam of diameter 1m is possible. Large TF-coil case also increases the distance between the plasma surface and poloidal field (PF) coils. This implies the large PF-coil stored energy and large coil current. Figure 4 shows the results of equilibrium calculation for the very high-A reactor. Stored energy at high  $\beta_P$ (~3.3) operation is about 30 GJ and the maximum coil current is 35 MA (at the divertor coil). Figures 4-b) and 4-c) show the current profiles and MHD safety factor profile corresponding to a). Here, we optimize the bootstrap current profile by calculating the density (n) and temperature (T) profiles which are consistent with the equilibrium pressure P. When the flux average bootstrap current required for the equilibrium is written by  $\langle j_{BS}B \rangle = -1.46A^{-0.5}I(\Psi)P(\Psi)(c_Nn'/n+c_PP'/P)=f(\Psi)$ , the optimized density profile is given by  $n(\Psi) = n(\Psi_s) \exp\left[\frac{1}{c_N}\int_{\Psi_s}^{\Psi}\left\{\frac{A^{0.5}f(\Psi)}{1.46I(\Psi)P(\Psi)} + c_P\frac{P'}{P}\right\}d\Psi\right]$ . Here, I is the toroidal flux function and s denotes the plasma surface. Figure 4-d) shows the calculated density and temperature profiles. In this case, the bootstrap current fraction is about 90%.

# 4. **DISCUSSIONS**

Optimization of the maximum toroidal field  $B_{TMAX}$  and TF-coil design is a critical issue in a very high-A reactor. If a plasma with  $\beta_N$ =5 in reversed shear mode can be achieved, the required  $B_{TMAX}$  is reduced from 18 T to 14.5 T for the same fusion power and the design requirements for the TF-coil are mitigated. Increasing the plasma elongation also reduces  $B_{TMAX}$ . In this case, however, the bootstrap current decreases while the plasma current increases. Therefore, the steady-state operation capability degrades. Although the confinement margin of very high-A plasma is same level as ITER, there is a large uncertainty in the confinement scaling of a high-A plasma. In a high-A plasma, there is a possibility that the ripple loss of alpha particle is enhanced. In this case, the number of TF-coil should be increased. Relative construction cost considering the amount of structural material with the same design constraints on coil case stress is estimated. Preliminary estimate shows that the total cost is 30% higher than that for ITER. Size reduction of in-vessel components and decrease of replacement frequency of the first wall material could contribute the cost reduction.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Prof. Y.Ogawa of University of Tokyo and Dr. K. Okano of CRIEPI for their useful discussions. The authors also would like to thank Drs. Y.Seki and S.Ueda of JAERI, and Drs. Y.Itoh and T.Ishiduka of Toshiba for their continuous encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] KIKUCHI, M. et.al., Fusion Eng. Des. 16 (1991) 253.
- STAMBAUGH, R.D. *et.al.*, in Proc. 16h IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Montréal, 1996 (IAEA, Vienna, 1997) Vol.3, p395.
- [3] NISHIO, S. *et.al.*, in Proc.16h IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Montréal, 1996 (IAEA, Vienna, 1997) Vol.3, p693.
- [4] NAVRATIL, G. et.al., in Proc.11th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research, Kyoto 1986 (IAEA, Vienna, 1987) Vol.1, p299.
- [5] UCKAN, N. A. et. al., Fusion Technology 30 (1996) 579.
- [6] MURAKAMI, Y. and SUGIHARA, M., Fusion Technology 24 (1993) 375.



FIG. 1. Aspect ratio A and steady-state operation performance. Here, the fusion power (=3 GW), normalized beta (=3) and the maximum toroidal field (=18 T) are fixed.



FIG. 4. Total current  $(j_{\phi})$ , net current  $(j_{NET})$ , bootstrap current  $(j_{BS})$ , NBI current  $(j_{NBI})$ , MHD safety factor  $(q_{\Psi})$ , electron density  $(n_e)$  and electron temperature  $(T_e)$  profiles.