### Development of advanced Nb<sub>3</sub>Al superconductors for a fusion demo plant

N. Koizumi 1), T. Takeuchi 2), K. Okuno 1)

1) Superconducting Magnet Laboratory, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute

801-1 Mukoyama, Naka-machi, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken, 311-0193, JAPAN

2) Superconducting Materials Center, National Institute for Materials Science

1-2-1, Sengen, Tsukuba, Ibaraki-ken, 305-0047, JAPAN

e-mail contact of main author: koizumi@naka.jaeri.go.jp

**Abstract.** Nb<sub>3</sub>Al superconductor has inherently outstanding features of large critical current, high critical field and excellent strain tolerance in critical current performance. Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute (JAERI) developed the world's first large Nb<sub>3</sub>Al coil, the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert, using jelly-roll processed Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strands. This coil was successfully operated up to the nominal current of 46 kA at the nominal field of 13 T. The test results of the coil demonstrated that a Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor is suitable to the application to high field, large magnets, such as Toroidal Field coils in a fusion reactor, which experience large electromagnetic force in the conductor. In parallel with this work, a Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand having high critical current at high field has been developed by National Institute of Material Science (NIMS). The technical issue of this strand in the application to a fusion magnet is stabilization against perturbation. This strand cannot include enough copper stabilizer, resulting in low stability, since it is heat-treated at much higher temperature than copper melting temperature. In order to find a solution to this issue, we performed an analytical study and it showed that the externally incorporated copper after the high temperature heat treatment is valid for stabilization when the electric conductance and heat transfer coefficient between the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand and external copper are more than 10 MS/m and 10 kW/m<sup>2</sup>K, respectively. It is expected that these values can be achieved by present conductor technologies. In conclusion, the possibility of development of a TF coil operated at high field around 16 T seems to be promised.

#### **1. Introduction**

A large current superconductor which can be operated at high magnetic field is required for fusion magnets. A15 superconductor, such as Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and Nb<sub>3</sub>Al, is one of the candidates to achieve this requirement. A Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn conductor was selected for the magnet system in ITER [1] since it was the most developed A15 superconductor in 1980s, and through the extensive R&D, Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn conductor technology has been established.

Nb<sub>3</sub>Al superconductor has inherently outstanding features of larger critical current, higher critical magnetic field [2] and excellent strain tolerance in critical current performance [3], as can be seen in Figure 1 [4], compared to Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn. These features can provide a possibility to realize a fusion magnet that can be operated at around 16 T, higher than 13 T obtained by Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn in ITER [1]. In addition, the superior strain tolerance of Nb<sub>3</sub>Al can simplify the fabrication process of a Toroidal Field (TF) coil [5].

Based on this consideration, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute (JAERI) has started the development of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor from the middle of



FIG. 1 Critical current dependence of  $Nb_3Al$  and  $Nb_3Sn$  on strain.

1980s, aiming at its application to the TF coil of a fusion demo plant, which will preferably be operated at more than 16 T from economical acceptance point of view. As an intermediate achievement of this development, a Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand using a conventional jelly-roll method [6] has been developed [7], and a large-scale coil, named Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert [8], has successfully been manufactured.

Since the superconducting performance of the jelly-roll processed strand was lower than the inherent level of Nb<sub>3</sub>Al, further improvement was explored. Recently, the National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS) has successfully developed a Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand with a Rapid-Heating, Quenching and Transformation (RHQT) method [9], which can provide much higher superconducting performance than the conventional jelly-roll processed Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand. Technologies at JAERI and NIMS are now being combined to develop high performance Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor using RHQT processed Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strands, which is hereafter called as 'advance Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor' for simplicity, for high performance fusion magnets which will be operated at around 16 T.

This paper gives the major achievements obtained in the development of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert, and results of an analytical study to overcome a major technical issue in the application of the RHQT Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand to the conductor for fusion magnets.

# 2. Development of a large-scale Nb<sub>3</sub>Al coil

The conductor of the fusion magnets generally requires sufficient amount of copper for stabilization against perturbation: perturbation drives part of conductor to a normal state and sufficient amount of copper helps the conductor return to superconducting state. Stoichiometric Nb<sub>3</sub>Al having high critical magnetic field can be obtained by heat treatment at a very high temperature, more than 1800 °C, which is much higher than the melting temperature of copper,  $\approx 1080$  °C. The inclusion of sufficient copper in the strand, therefore, was almost impossible. As one of the solutions to this technical issue, JAERI has developed a jelly-roll processed Nb<sub>3</sub>Al, which enables the generation of Nb<sub>3</sub>Al with a practicable heat treatment at around 750 °C owing to a small diffusion distance for Nb<sub>3</sub>Al formation. A mass-production technique has been established for this strand [10] and a 150-m conductor, shown in Figure 2, was fabricated. Table I summarizes major parameters of this conductor.



FIG. 2 The Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor.

Using this conductor, the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert was manufactured, which is the world's first application of Nb<sub>3</sub>Al to a large-scale magnet. The coil was tested in the bore of the ITER Central Solenoid (CS) Model Coil [11] as shown in Figure 3. Major parameters of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert are listed in Table II.

The test of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert was performed in 2002 at JAERI. The rated field and current of 13 T and 46 kA, respectively, were successfully obtained. Furthermore, extended charge to 60 kA was carried out at 12.5 T. Figure 4 shows the achieved technologies of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert with those of other superconducting coils constructed so far. The technology of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al coil comes to the same level as that of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn.

One of the most important objectives of the experiment is to compare the conductor critical current to that of a single strand and to obtain precise estimate of a strain state of the conductor because the conductor critical current degrades due to a strain. One of the sources of the strain is the different thermal expansions between the strand and conduit. If there is unexpected degradation, the measured critical current is lower than that expected from the thermal strain alone. Therefore, the critical currents of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert were measured in detail [12].

Effective strain, defined by the following equation, is generally used to briefly figure out if there is an unexpected degradation in the critical current performance.

$$\varepsilon_{eff} = \varepsilon - \varepsilon_L \tag{1}$$

Where  $\varepsilon_L$  denotes hoop strain arising from the global deformation of the coil during energization and  $\varepsilon$  is determined to give the best fitting between the measured critical current and the calculation [12, 13]. Figure 4 shows the evaluated effective strain of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert conductor as a function of the electromagnetic force (coil current x maximum field). For comparison, Figure 5 also shows those of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn



FIG. 3 The Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert.

TABLE IIMAJOR PARAMETERS OF THE NB3AL INSERT

Number of turns	20
Winding diameter	1.43 m
Outer diameter	1.56 m
Inner diameter	1.35 m
Height	2.80 m
Weight	7.8 ton
Nominal current	46 kA
Nominal field	13 T



FIG. 4 Operating points of superconducting coils constructed so far and the target for the fusion demo plant.

conductors, which were used in the CS Insert [14] and TF Insert [15] and are the same in size and current capacity as the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor. If there is no unexpected degradation in the critical current performance, it should be a constant, i.e., thermal strain. In case of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn conductors the effective strains decrease increase of as the electromagnetic force. It means that the critical current performance of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn conductor was degraded by the large electromagnetic force. In contrast, that of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor is almost constant and no degradation appeared. This behavior can be explained by the higher stiffness and larger strain tolerance of Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand compared to those of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn. This result demonstrated that the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor is suitable to the application to large magnets, such as TF coils of a fusion reactor, which



FIG. 5 Effective strain evaluated for the  $Nb_3Al$ Insert conductor. Those for the same scale  $Nb_3Sn$ conductors of the CS Insert and TF Insert are shown for comparison.

experience large electromagnetic force in the conductor. This conclusion is also supported by the success of the extended charge to 60 kA at 12.5 T, which is 25% larger in the electromagnetic force than that of the nominal operation.

#### 3. Development of advanced Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor

The target of superconducting coil development for a fusion reactor next to ITER is a maximum magnetic field of more than 16 T with an operating current of more than 80 kA, as

shown in Figure 4 [8]. However, the critical current density of the conventional jelly-roll processed Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand developed by JAERI is not sufficient at more than 16 T. In contrast, the critical current density and critical magnetic field of the RHQT Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand developed by NIMS are significantly higher than the conventional jelly-roll processed Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand, as shown in Figure 6. Therefore, JAERI and NIMS started the collaboration work to develop the advanced Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor for the next fusion reactor. In this collaboration, NIMS performs strand development based on RHQT method and JAERI performs conductor technology development.

In the RHQT method, Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand is



FIG. 6 Critical current density of the conventional jelly-roll processed Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand and RHQT Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand.

rapidly ohmic-heated up to around 1900°C and quenched to generate supersaturated bcc-solid-solution (Nb(Al)<sub>ss</sub>), as shown in Figure 7, followed by transformation annealing at around 770 °C to form Nb<sub>3</sub>Al phase from bcc Nb(Al)<sub>ss</sub>. Since the ohmic-heating temperature is far above the melting point of copper, the copper could not be included basically in the strand as a constituent element in this process. Therefore, sufficient stabilization of the conductor against disturbance cannot be performed, which is a major technical issue in this application. As one of the solutions to overcome this issue, a method to supplement enough copper before the transformation annealing has been proposed. In this proposal, a cable of the RHQT Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand surrounded by a



FIG. 7 Rapid-Heating and Quenching method.

cluster of copper wires is compressed in diameter and then transformation-annealed, as show in Figure 8, in order to obtain sufficiently good electrical and thermal contact between the  $Nb_3Al$  strand and external copper.

The basic idea of the above solution is as follows. When part of the conductor becomes normal, the current in the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand can be transferred to the external copper wires if the electric conductance is sufficiently small. Then a joule heating occurs in the copper wires as well as in the strand, which are cooled by the surrounding coolant and heat conduction in the axial direction, as can be seen in Figure 9. Recover to a superconducting state, therefore, depends on the speeds of the current transfer and cooling, *i.e.* degree of the electrical and thermal contact between the strand and external copper. A numerical analysis was performed to show that the external copper provides the stabilization and to estimate the required



FIG. 8 An example of stabilization of RHQT Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand by using external copper wires.

parameters on the electrical and thermal contact. The analysis used one-dimensional fluid dynamics equation consisting of continuous, momentum and energy equations, and heat conduction equation in the cable [16] and a distributed circuit model for simulating current transfer (Figure 9).

Some of the key parameters were measured in small experiments. Electric conductance between the RHQT Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand and external copper was measured by NIMS using the various samples, in which copper stabilizer was incorporated by 1) co-drawing with a copper tube, 2) cladding with a copper sheet, 3) ion-plating with copper and 4) subsequently electroplating [17]. The electric conductance was measured to be in the order of  $10^6 - 10^{10}$  S/m. In our simulations, the



FIG. 9 Simulation model.

conductance of  $10^6 - 10^9$  S/m was used, and conductance of more than  $10^8$  S/m does not give significant change in the stability as can be seen later. Thermal contact between the strand and external copper can be represented by heat transfer coefficient. K. Takahata et al. reported that the heat transfer coefficient between the cable and conduit is in order of 200 - 5000 W/m<sup>2</sup>K [18], although their thermal contact is not as good as the proposed Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor because there was no compaction in diameter. Our simulations were carried out for the heat transfer

coefficient of  $500 - 50000 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$ , which may be pessimistic. The copper/non-copper ratio and current density of the conductor are also key parameters in the stability simulation. They were assumed to be almost the same as those of the ITER-TF conductor.

The simulation was performed by putting a heat in the center of the conductor over the length of 2 cm, with a perturbation period of 1 ms assuming a local wire motion in the conductor. and performance of the conductor was evaluated to see if it goes normal or return to a superconducting state. Figure 10 shows the calculated current and temperature of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand and external copper at the middle of the heated zone for the conductance and heat transfer coefficient between the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand and external copper of 10 kW/m<sup>2</sup>K and 10 MS/m, respectively. Result indicates that the current transfer from the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand to copper immediately occurs (at  $t \approx 0$ ) and



FIG. 10 Simulation results of the current and temperature. The conductance and heat transfer coefficient between the  $Nb_3Al$  and external copper is 10 MS/m and 10 kW/m<sup>2</sup>K, respectively.

their temperatures go down quickly. As the strand temperature decrease, the current in the strand increases, but it does not completely return to the original value because the strand temperature is still a little higher than current sharing temperature as a result of temperature rise of coolant. However, this Joule heating is too small to make entire conductor normal, and will disappear when new fresh coolant is supplied.

Figure 11 shows the calculated minimum quench energy (MQE) normalized to 1.0  $MJ/m^3$ , which is MQE of a single Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand having the same amount of copper in it. The MQE is almost identical at the conductance more than 100 MS/m. When the conductance is enough large, the current transfers from the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand to



the external copper very quickly, and entire stability is then limited by cooling capacity (*i.e.* heat transfer from the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand to external copper). Accordingly, the dependence of the MQE on the electric conductance becomes small above certain value of the conductance.

The magnitude of the perturbation of 1.0  $MJ/m^3$  seems extremely higher than the actual disturbance during TF coil operation. However, the MQE of the externally stabilized cable can realize this value when the conductance and heat transfer coefficient between the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand and external copper are more than 10 MS/m and 10 kW/m<sup>2</sup>K, respectively. Since these conductance and heat transfer coefficient are expected to be achieved with the present technologies [17], the advanced Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor is promising candidate for the superconductor in the fusion reactor, whose target operation areas are indicated in Figure 6.

# 4. Conclusion

The world's first large Nb<sub>3</sub>Al coil, the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al Insert, was successfully developed by JAERI and operated up to the nominal current of 46 kA at the nominal field of 13 T. The test results of the coil show that a Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor is suitable for the application to high field, large magnets, such as TF coil of a fusion reactor, which experience large electromagnetic force in the conductor.

In addition, a Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand having high critical current at high field has been developed by NIMS. The technologies at JAERI and NIMS are being combined to develop a conductor using this high performance Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand. One of the technical issues in this application is stabilization since this strand cannot include sufficient copper because of its very high heat treatment temperature more than copper melting temperature. A solution to overcome this issue has been proposed, based on an idea to incorporate external copper before the transformation annealing. A simulation indicated that the externally incorporated copper can provide sufficient stabilization when the conductance and the heat transfer coefficient between the Nb<sub>3</sub>Al strand and external copper are more than  $10^7$  S/m and 10 kW/m<sup>2</sup>K, respectively. These values are expected to be obtained by the present technology. Therefore,

the realization of a TF coil operating at a high magnetic field around 16 T seems to be promised.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Drs. M. Seki, S. Seki, H. Takatsu and H. Tsuji of JAERI for their encouragement and support during this work. This work was supported in part by the Budget for Nuclear Research of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT).

## References

- [1] THOME, R., "Magnet program overview for International Thermonuclear Test Reactor", IEEE Trans. Magn. 30 (1994) pp.1595-1601.
- [2] THIEMES, C. et al., "Nb<sub>3</sub>Al wire produced by powder and rapid quenching from high temperature", IEEE Trans. Magn. 25 (1989) 1992-1995.
- [3] EKIN, J., "Strain effects in superconducting compounds", Adv. Cryog. Eng. Material 30 (1984) 823-836.
- [4] SPECKING, W. et al., "First results of strain effects on I<sub>c</sub> of Nb<sub>3</sub>Al cable in conduit superconductors", IEEE Trans. ASC. 3 (1993) 1342-1345.
- [5] ANDO. T., et al., "Development of Nb<sub>3</sub>Al coil for the ITER TF magnet", Proceedings of 19<sup>th</sup> Symposium of Fusion Technology, Elsevier Science (1996) 1083-1086.
- [6] CERESARA, S., et al."Nb<sub>3</sub>Al formation at temperatures lower than 1000 °C", IEEE Trans. Magn. 11 (1975) 263-265.
- [7] KOIZUMI, N., et al., "Development of a 13-T and 40-kA Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor for Toroidal coils of fusion reactors", Fusion Engineering and Design 41 (1998) 277-281.
- [8] KOIZUMI, N., et al., "Development of a Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor for Toroidal field coils", Proceedings of 20<sup>th</sup> Symposium on Fusion Engineering, To be published.
- [9] TAKEUCHI, T., "Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductors for high field applications", Supercond. Sci. Technol. 13 (2000) R101-119.
- [10] YAMADA, Y., et al., "Development of Nb<sub>3</sub>Al superconductors for International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER)", Cryogenics 39 (1999) 115-122.
- [11]TSUJI, H., et al., "ITER R&D: Magnets: Central Solenoid Model Coil", Fusion Engineering and Design, 55 (2001) 153-170.
- [12]KOIZUMI, N., et al., "Evaluation of strain applied to strands in a 13 T–46 kA Nb<sub>3</sub>Al cable-in-conduit conductor," Supercond. Sci. Technol. 16 (2003) 1092-1096.
- [13]NUNOYA, N., et al., "Evaluation method of critical current and current sharing temperature for large-current cable-in-conduit conductors", IEEE Trans. ASC 13 (2003) 1404-1407.
- [14]MARTOVETSKY, N., et al., "ITER CS Model Coil and CS Insert test results", Fusion Engineering and Design, 56-57 (2001) 59-70.
- [15]MARTOVETSKY, N., et al., "Test of the ITER TF Insert and Central Solenoid Model Coil", IEEE Trans. ASC 13 (2003) 1441-1446.
- [16]Koizumi, N., et al., "Numerical model using an implicit finite difference algorithm for stability simulation of a cable-in-conduit superconductor" Cryogenics 36 (1996) 649-659.
- [17] TAKEUCHI, T., et al., "Transport properties of long-lengths of RHQT processed Nb<sub>3</sub>Al conductor", IEEE Trans. ASC 13 (2003) 3454-3457.
- [18] TAKAHATA, K., et al., "Thermal contact conductance between the bundle and the conduit in cable-in-conduit conductors," IEEE Trans. ASC, 14 (2004) 1477-1480.