# Dependence of Confinement and Stability on Variations in the External Torque in the DIII-D Tokamak

#### by T.C. Luce<sup>£</sup>

for K.H. Burrell,<sup>£</sup> R.J. Buttery,<sup>‡</sup> J.C. DeBoo,<sup>£</sup> J.R. Ferron,<sup>£</sup> A.M. Garofalo,<sup>\*</sup> P. Gohil,<sup>£</sup> D.A. Humphreys,<sup>£</sup> G.L. Jackson,<sup>£</sup> R.J. Jayakumar,<sup>§</sup> J.E. Kinsey,<sup>¶</sup> R.J. La Haye,<sup>£</sup> G.R. McKee,<sup>#</sup> M. Okabayashi,<sup>†</sup> C.C. Petty,<sup>£</sup> P.A. Politzer,<sup>£</sup> H. Reimerdes,<sup>\*</sup> D.J. Schlossberg,<sup>#</sup> J.T. Scoville,<sup>£</sup> M.W. Shafer,<sup>#</sup> W.M. Solomon,<sup>†</sup> E.J. Strait<sup>£</sup>, F. Volpe<sup>¢</sup>

<sup>£</sup>General Atomics, San Diego, California. \*Columbia University, New York, New York. <sup>†</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey. <sup>‡</sup>EURATOM/UKAEA Association, Culham, United Kingdom. <sup>¶</sup>Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania. <sup>§</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California. <sup>#</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin. <sup>¢</sup>Max Planck Gesellschaft, Germany.

Presented at the 21st IAEA Fusion Energy Conference Chengdu, China

October 16-21, 2006





# Reorientation of One Neutral Beam Line Allows Experiments to Test the Effects of Rotation on Confinement and Stability

- Effects on energy confinement (L-mode, H-mode, Hybrid)
- Measurements of momentum confinement
- Simultaneous control of rotation and stored energy
- Effects on the L-H transition power threshold
- Changes in tearing mode onset and saturated amplitude
- New insight into rotational stabilization of resistive wall modes



Caveat: Fueling and NBCD profiles also change. These effects must be factored into the conclusions



# Hybrid Scenario Demonstrated at Low Rotation With Modest Reduction in Confinement



- Low rotation obtained with 1.5 ctr-injection sources + co-injection in feedback control ( $\beta_N = 2.6$ )
- Sawteeth do not appear (q<sub>95</sub> = 4.0)
- Performance not yet optimized (pressure limit, lowest q<sub>95</sub> without sawteeth)



### Effect of Changes in Torque Seen Across the Entire Radial Profile





# Changes in E×B Shear Can Explain the Effect of Torque on Energy Confinement

- With high toroidal rotation, E×B shear required in to reproduce measured profiles
- At low rotation, E×B shear is much less important



• Uses measured density, toroidal rotation, and current profiles



## Advanced Inductive Discharges Achieve Conditions Consistent with Q > 10 in ITER With Low Rotation



- $\beta_N = 2.7$  (feedback controlled),  $q_{95} = 3.3$
- Transition to low rotation occurs at the initiation of the high  $\beta_N$  phase
- High performance at low rotation maintained for > 4 τ<sub>R</sub>
- Extrapolates to Q > 10 in ITER at 15 MA for several common scalings:

ITER89-P:	Q = 10.3
IPB98y2:	Q = 10.2
DS03:	$Q = \infty$ (even with 7%
	lower H <sub>DS03</sub> )



# Discharges With H–Mode Edge Show Significant Increase of Confinement With Increasing Torque



- Points connected by lines are at constant field, current, density and pressure. Some parameters change between scans
- Scans in H-mode edge discharges show increases in confinement with increasing torque
  - 50% improvement is much larger than expected prompt losses from ctr-injection
- Adding torque in the counter current direction does not show similar improvement
- No variation of confinement with torque is seen in L-mode



#### **Momentum Transport Presents Puzzling Questions**



- Same dataset as previous graph on  $\tau_{th}$
- The different confinement regimes have variations in τ<sub>th</sub> up to 4x at equal torque, while ω<sub>tor</sub> varies by typically 2x
- There is intrinsic rotation without torque input that is not described by a simple momentum conservation equation
- The hybrid data show a non-linear response of ω<sub>tor</sub> to torque, possibly due to interaction of tearing modes with the wall or due to skin depth reduction of non-axisymmetric magnetic fields



#### New NBI Configuration and Real-Time Analysis of Toroidal Rotation Allows Simultaneous Control of Stored Energy and Rotation



 Uses proportional-integral controller with gains determined prior to the experiment through modeling



## L–H Power Threshold Varies Strongly with the Torque Injected by the Neutral Beams



- Neutral beam power is varied in
  < 1 MW increments by modulation</li>
- Torque is varied by the mixture of co-injection and counter-injection of the neutral beams
- More than a factor of 3 difference in L-H power threshold is seen from full co-injection to full counter-injection



# Strong Variation with Injected Torque May Lead to Better Understanding and Prediction of the L–H Power Threshold



- All data from single operational day to minimize systematic effects from changing wall conditions
- Little difference seen between upper and lower single null with low or counter rotation
- Detailed analysis of prompt orbit losses, radial electric field and fluctuation data in progress



# Plasma Rotation Affects the Pressure Limit to m=2/n=1 Tearing Modes



- Conventional H-mode discharges at q<sub>95</sub> = 4.5 with sawteeth
- Plasma with counter-injection is unstable at much lower value of β<sub>N</sub> than the plasma with co-injection
- Caveat: effect of current profile change on ∆´ is not yet quantified



### Pressure Limit to m=2/n=1 Tearing Modes Varies Significantly with Injected Torque



- Onset determined by NB power ramps with different ratios of co-injection and ctr-injection
- Effect of additional n=1 error fields small compared to the variation with injected torque



#### Saturated m=3/n=2 Tearing Mode Amplitude Decreases With Increasing Torque



- Both classes of discharges demonstrate high performance in the presence of m=3/n=2 tearing modes
- Magnitude of change in current profile with ctr-NBI and the effect on Δ´ has not been evaluated



### Threshold for Rotational Stabilization of RWMs Found With Balanced NBI is Low



- Correction of n = 1 error fields optimized by direct feedback
- Simultaneous feedback control of β<sub>N</sub> and torque apllied
- Plasma remains stable until toroidal velocity is < 0.3 % of the Alfvén velocity at the q = 2 surface



# **Observed Threshold for Rotational Stabilization is Lower Than Previous Results with Magnetic Braking**



- Lower thresholds have been observed for low torque input cases, independent of the proximity to the ideal wall limit
- Present experimental results agree with theoretical predictions
- Low rotation thresholds for stabilization in cases with axisymmetric magnetic fields is encouraging for access to high β<sub>N</sub> in ITER



#### Summary

Changes in rotation lead to significant variations in many plasma phenomena that impact ITER performance:

- Advanced inductive scenario performance still projects to Q > 10 in ITER with low rotation, but the margin is reduced compared to cases with rotation
- Going from no rotation to large co-rotation leads to:
  - increased energy confinement (over 50% in some cases)
  - increased L-H power threshold (> 2x)
  - increased pressure limits to m=2/n=1 tearing modes (~1.5x)
- Rotational stabilization of RWMs appears to have a much lower rotation requirement than previous data using magnetic braking indicated
- Accurate prediction of momentum transport, especially accounting for MHD modes and non-axisymmetric magnetic fields, will be challenging

