

## Group A3

- National position
- Stakeholders involvement
- Legislative framework
- Safeguards

# QUESTIONS / DIFFICULTIES

1. **Difference between safety, security and safeguard**
2. **What is the significance of additional protocol to the safeguards and why is it a condition for Milestone 2**
3. **What is the relation between and authority of NEPIO with that of AEC, Nuclear Regulatory, etc. Who leads NEPIO?**
4. **What is the role of NEPIO in Milestone 2 re bidding, assessment, etc.**
5. **How does NEPIO compare with the experience of recent successful nuclear countries and what is missing in the milestones NEPIO when compared to these countries**

## Group A3

- National position
- Stakeholders involvement
- Legislative framework
- Safeguards

# QUESTIONS / DIFFICULTIES

6. How to deal with public opinion especially the role of NEPIO in milestone 1
7. Can there be a streamlining of the planning and consents process so that public opinion and intervention does not make it open ended leading to cost and schedule overrun
8. Is a research reactor a pre requisite to having a nuclear power program

## Group A3

- National position
- Stakeholders involvement
- Legislative framework
- Safeguards

# MISSING / NEED CLARIFICATION

1. Could stakeholders be expanded to include more than public opinion and include academics, financial agencies, etc.
2. Could definition of public and its participants be clarified further
3. Could Agency's assessment of the member state's status be available to others such as the NSG members
4. Milestone document is good for what to do but is not clear on how to do it

## Group A3

- National position
- Stakeholders involvement
- Legislative framework
- Safeguards

# MISSING / NEED CLARIFICATION

5. Milestone 2 should say more about the QA during construction and commissioning
6. Can the agency identify a number of qualified national regulatory agencies which can be accepted by developing countries
7. Can the international supply chain capacity limit be pointed out as one of the factors to be considered by the member states
8. Can regional grid sharing be considered as a measure to mitigate supply chain limits

## Group A3

- National position
- Stakeholders involvement
- Legislative framework
- Safeguards

# **MISSING / NEED CLARIFICATION**

9. **Can technology transfer be dealt with more clearly in the milestones**
10. **How the milestones achievement are measured when countries decide to share infrastructure elements**
11. **Could there be an IAEA working session which includes member states and nuclear supplier companies**


**Group A3**

- National position

-

-

-



---

**Means to evaluate status**

**Group A3**

- 
- Stakeholders involvement
- 
- 

---

**Means to evaluate status**

**Group A3**

- 
- 
- Legislative framework
- 

---

**Means to evaluate status**

Group A3

- 
- 
- 
- Legislative framework

---

## Means to evaluate status