IAEA Safety Standards for protecting people and the environment

Equipment Qualification for Nuclear Installations

Specific Safety Guide No. SSG-69





IAEA SAFETY STANDARDS AND RELATED PUBLICATIONS

IAEA SAFETY STANDARDS

Under the terms of Article III of its Statute, the IAEA is authorized to establish or adopt standards of safety for protection of health and minimization of danger to life and property, and to provide for the application of these standards.

The publications by means of which the IAEA establishes standards are issued in the IAEA Safety Standards Series. This series covers nuclear safety, radiation safety, transport safety and waste safety. The publication categories in the series are Safety Fundamentals, Safety Requirements and Safety Guides.

Information on the IAEA's safety standards programme is available on the IAEA Internet site

https://www.iaea.org/resources/safety-standards

The site provides the texts in English of published and draft safety standards. The texts of safety standards issued in Arabic, Chinese, French, Russian and Spanish, the IAEA Safety Glossary and a status report for safety standards under development are also available. For further information, please contact the IAEA at: Vienna International Centre, PO Box 100, 1400 Vienna, Austria.

All users of IAEA safety standards are invited to inform the IAEA of experience in their use (e.g. as a basis for national regulations, for safety reviews and for training courses) for the purpose of ensuring that they continue to meet users' needs. Information may be provided via the IAEA Internet site or by post, as above, or by email to Official.Mail@iaea.org.

RELATED PUBLICATIONS

The IAEA provides for the application of the standards and, under the terms of Articles III and VIII.C of its Statute, makes available and fosters the exchange of information relating to peaceful nuclear activities and serves as an intermediary among its Member States for this purpose.

Reports on safety in nuclear activities are issued as **Safety Reports**, which provide practical examples and detailed methods that can be used in support of the safety standards.

Other safety related IAEA publications are issued as **Emergency Preparedness and Response** publications, **Radiological Assessment Reports**, the International Nuclear Safety Group's **INSAG Reports**, **Technical Reports** and **TECDOCs**. The IAEA also issues reports on radiological accidents, training manuals and practical manuals, and other special safety related publications.

Security related publications are issued in the IAEA Nuclear Security Series.

The **IAEA Nuclear Energy Series** comprises informational publications to encourage and assist research on, and the development and practical application of, nuclear energy for peaceful purposes. It includes reports and guides on the status of and advances in technology, and on experience, good practices and practical examples in the areas of nuclear power, the nuclear fuel cycle, radioactive waste management and decommissioning.

EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION FOR NUCLEAR INSTALLATIONS

The following States are Members of the International Atomic Energy Agency:

AFGHANISTAN ALBANIA ALGERIA ANGOLA ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA ARGENTINA ARMENIA AUSTRALIA AUSTRIA AZERBAIJAN BAHAMAS BAHRAIN BANGLADESH BARBADOS BELARUS BELGIUM BELIZE BENIN BOLIVIA, PLURINATIONAL STATE OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA BOTSWANA BRAZIL BRUNEI DARUSSALAM BULGARIA BURKINA FASO BURUNDI CAMBODIA CAMEROON CANADA CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC CHAD CHILE CHINA COLOMBIA COMOROS CONGO COSTA RICA CÔTE D'IVOIRE CROATIA CUBA CYPRUS CZECH REPUBLIC DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO DENMARK DJIBOUTI DOMINICA DOMINICAN REPUBLIC ECUADOR EGYPT EL SALVADOR ERITREA ESTONIA ESWATINI ETHIOPIA FIJI FINLAND FRANCE GABON

GEORGIA GERMANY GHANA GREECE GRENADA **GUATEMALA** GUYANA HAITI HOLY SEE HONDURAS HUNGARY ICELAND INDIA INDONESIA IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAO IRELAND ISRAEL ITALY JAMAICA JAPAN **JORDAN** KAZAKHSTAN KENYA KOREA, REPUBLIC OF KUWAIT KYRGYZSTAN LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC LATVIA LEBANON LESOTHO LIBERIA LIBYA LIECHTENSTEIN LITHUANIA LUXEMBOURG MADAGASCAR MALAWI MALAYSIA MALI MALTA MARSHALL ISLANDS MAURITANIA MAURITIUS MEXICO MONACO MONGOLIA MONTENEGRO MOROCCO MOZAMBIOUE MYANMAR NAMIBIA NEPAL NETHERLANDS NEW ZEALAND NICARAGUA NIGER NIGERIA NORTH MACEDONIA NORWAY

OMAN PAKISTAN PALAU PANAMA PAPUA NEW GUINEA PARAGUAY PERU PHILIPPINES POLAND PORTUGAL QATAR REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA ROMANIA RUSSIAN FEDERATION RWANDA SAINT LUCIA SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES SAMOA SAN MARINO SAUDIARABIA SENEGAL SERBIA SEYCHELLES SIERRA LEONE SINGAPORE SLOVAKIA SLOVENIA SOUTH AFRICA SPAIN SRI LANKA SUDAN SWEDEN SWITZERLAND SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC TAJIKISTAN THAILAND TOGO TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO TUNISIA TURKEY TURKMENISTAN UGANDA UKRAINE UNITED ARAB EMIRATES UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA UNITED STATES OF AMERICA URUGUAY UZBEKISTAN VANUATU VENEZUELA, BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF VIET NAM YEMEN ZAMBIA ZIMBABWE

The Agency's Statute was approved on 23 October 1956 by the Conference on the Statute of the IAEA held at United Nations Headquarters, New York; it entered into force on 29 July 1957. The Headquarters of the Agency are situated in Vienna. Its principal objective is "to accelerate and enlarge the contribution of atomic energy to peace, health and prosperity throughout the world".

IAEA SAFETY STANDARDS SERIES No. SSG-69

EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION FOR NUCLEAR INSTALLATIONS

SPECIFIC SAFETY GUIDE

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY VIENNA, 2021

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

All IAEA scientific and technical publications are protected by the terms of the Universal Copyright Convention as adopted in 1952 (Berne) and as revised in 1972 (Paris). The copyright has since been extended by the World Intellectual Property Organization (Geneva) to include electronic and virtual intellectual property. Permission to use whole or parts of texts contained in IAEA publications in printed or electronic form must be obtained and is usually subject to royalty agreements. Proposals for non-commercial reproductions and translations are welcomed and considered on a case-by-case basis. Enquiries should be addressed to the IAEA Publishing Section at:

Marketing and Sales Unit, Publishing Section International Atomic Energy Agency Vienna International Centre PO Box 100 1400 Vienna, Austria fax: +43 1 26007 22529 tel.: +43 1 2600 22417 email: sales.publications@iaea.org www.iaea.org/publications

© IAEA, 2021

Printed by the IAEA in Austria December 2021 STI/PUB/1978

IAEA Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Names: International Atomic Energy Agency.

- Title: Equipment qualification for nuclear installations / International Atomic Energy Agency.
- Description: Vienna : International Atomic Energy Agency, 2021. | Series: IAEA safety standards series, ISSN 1020–525X ; no. SSG-69 | Includes bibliographical references.
- Identifiers: IAEAL 21-01461 | ISBN 978–92–0–137021–1 (paperback : alk. paper) | ISBN 978–92–0–137121–8 (pdf) | ISBN 978–92–0–137221–5 (epub)
- Subjects: LCSH: Nuclear facilities Equipment. | Nuclear power plants Equipment and supplies. | Nuclear power plants Safety measures.

Classification: UDC UDC 621.039.56 | STI/PUB/1978

FOREWORD

by Rafael Mariano Grossi Director General

The IAEA's Statute authorizes it to "establish...standards of safety for protection of health and minimization of danger to life and property". These are standards that the IAEA must apply to its own operations, and that States can apply through their national regulations.

The IAEA started its safety standards programme in 1958 and there have been many developments since. As Director General, I am committed to ensuring that the IAEA maintains and improves upon this integrated, comprehensive and consistent set of up to date, user friendly and fit for purpose safety standards of high quality. Their proper application in the use of nuclear science and technology should offer a high level of protection for people and the environment across the world and provide the confidence necessary to allow for the ongoing use of nuclear technology for the benefit of all.

Safety is a national responsibility underpinned by a number of international conventions. The IAEA safety standards form a basis for these legal instruments and serve as a global reference to help parties meet their obligations. While safety standards are not legally binding on Member States, they are widely applied. They have become an indispensable reference point and a common denominator for the vast majority of Member States that have adopted these standards for use in national regulations to enhance safety in nuclear power generation, research reactors and fuel cycle facilities as well as in nuclear applications in medicine, industry, agriculture and research.

The IAEA safety standards are based on the practical experience of its Member States and produced through international consensus. The involvement of the members of the Safety Standards Committees, the Nuclear Security Guidance Committee and the Commission on Safety Standards is particularly important, and I am grateful to all those who contribute their knowledge and expertise to this endeavour.

The IAEA also uses these safety standards when it assists Member States through its review missions and advisory services. This helps Member States in the application of the standards and enables valuable experience and insight to be shared. Feedback from these missions and services, and lessons identified from events and experience in the use and application of the safety standards, are taken into account during their periodic revision. I believe the IAEA safety standards and their application make an invaluable contribution to ensuring a high level of safety in the use of nuclear technology. I encourage all Member States to promote and apply these standards, and to work with the IAEA to uphold their quality now and in the future.

THE IAEA SAFETY STANDARDS

BACKGROUND

Radioactivity is a natural phenomenon and natural sources of radiation are features of the environment. Radiation and radioactive substances have many beneficial applications, ranging from power generation to uses in medicine, industry and agriculture. The radiation risks to workers and the public and to the environment that may arise from these applications have to be assessed and, if necessary, controlled.

Activities such as the medical uses of radiation, the operation of nuclear installations, the production, transport and use of radioactive material, and the management of radioactive waste must therefore be subject to standards of safety.

Regulating safety is a national responsibility. However, radiation risks may transcend national borders, and international cooperation serves to promote and enhance safety globally by exchanging experience and by improving capabilities to control hazards, to prevent accidents, to respond to emergencies and to mitigate any harmful consequences.

States have an obligation of diligence and duty of care, and are expected to fulfil their national and international undertakings and obligations.

International safety standards provide support for States in meeting their obligations under general principles of international law, such as those relating to environmental protection. International safety standards also promote and assure confidence in safety and facilitate international commerce and trade.

A global nuclear safety regime is in place and is being continuously improved. IAEA safety standards, which support the implementation of binding international instruments and national safety infrastructures, are a cornerstone of this global regime. The IAEA safety standards constitute a useful tool for contracting parties to assess their performance under these international conventions.

THE IAEA SAFETY STANDARDS

The status of the IAEA safety standards derives from the IAEA's Statute, which authorizes the IAEA to establish or adopt, in consultation and, where appropriate, in collaboration with the competent organs of the United Nations and with the specialized agencies concerned, standards of safety for protection of health and minimization of danger to life and property, and to provide for their application. With a view to ensuring the protection of people and the environment from harmful effects of ionizing radiation, the IAEA safety standards establish fundamental safety principles, requirements and measures to control the radiation exposure of people and the release of radioactive material to the environment, to restrict the likelihood of events that might lead to a loss of control over a nuclear reactor core, nuclear chain reaction, radioactive source or any other source of radiation, and to mitigate the consequences of such events if they were to occur. The standards apply to facilities and activities that give rise to radiation risks, including nuclear installations, the use of radiation and radioactive sources, the transport of radioactive material and the management of radioactive waste.

Safety measures and security measures¹ have in common the aim of protecting human life and health and the environment. Safety measures and security measures must be designed and implemented in an integrated manner so that security measures do not compromise safety and safety measures do not compromise security.

The IAEA safety standards reflect an international consensus on what constitutes a high level of safety for protecting people and the environment from harmful effects of ionizing radiation. They are issued in the IAEA Safety Standards Series, which has three categories (see Fig. 1).

Safety Fundamentals

Safety Fundamentals present the fundamental safety objective and principles of protection and safety, and provide the basis for the safety requirements.

Safety Requirements

An integrated and consistent set of Safety Requirements establishes the requirements that must be met to ensure the protection of people and the environment, both now and in the future. The requirements are governed by the objective and principles of the Safety Fundamentals. If the requirements are not met, measures must be taken to reach or restore the required level of safety. The format and style of the requirements facilitate their use for the establishment, in a harmonized manner, of a national regulatory framework. Requirements, including numbered 'overarching' requirements, are expressed as 'shall' statements. Many requirements are not addressed to a specific party, the implication being that the appropriate parties are responsible for fulfilling them.

Safety Guides

Safety Guides provide recommendations and guidance on how to comply with the safety requirements, indicating an international consensus that it

¹ See also publications issued in the IAEA Nuclear Security Series.



FIG. 1. The long term structure of the IAEA Safety Standards Series.

is necessary to take the measures recommended (or equivalent alternative measures). The Safety Guides present international good practices, and increasingly they reflect best practices, to help users striving to achieve high levels of safety. The recommendations provided in Safety Guides are expressed as 'should' statements.

APPLICATION OF THE IAEA SAFETY STANDARDS

The principal users of safety standards in IAEA Member States are regulatory bodies and other relevant national authorities. The IAEA safety standards are also used by co-sponsoring organizations and by many organizations that design, construct and operate nuclear facilities, as well as organizations involved in the use of radiation and radioactive sources.

The IAEA safety standards are applicable, as relevant, throughout the entire lifetime of all facilities and activities — existing and new — utilized for peaceful purposes and to protective actions to reduce existing radiation risks. They can be

used by States as a reference for their national regulations in respect of facilities and activities.

The IAEA's Statute makes the safety standards binding on the IAEA in relation to its own operations and also on States in relation to IAEA assisted operations.

The IAEA safety standards also form the basis for the IAEA's safety review services, and they are used by the IAEA in support of competence building, including the development of educational curricula and training courses.

International conventions contain requirements similar to those in the IAEA safety standards and make them binding on contracting parties. The IAEA safety standards, supplemented by international conventions, industry standards and detailed national requirements, establish a consistent basis for protecting people and the environment. There will also be some special aspects of safety that need to be assessed at the national level. For example, many of the IAEA safety standards, in particular those addressing aspects of safety in planning or design, are intended to apply primarily to new facilities and activities. The requirements established in the IAEA safety standards might not be fully met at some existing facilities that were built to earlier standards. The way in which IAEA safety standards are to be applied to such facilities is a decision for individual States.

The scientific considerations underlying the IAEA safety standards provide an objective basis for decisions concerning safety; however, decision makers must also make informed judgements and must determine how best to balance the benefits of an action or an activity against the associated radiation risks and any other detrimental impacts to which it gives rise.

DEVELOPMENT PROCESS FOR THE IAEA SAFETY STANDARDS

The preparation and review of the safety standards involves the IAEA Secretariat and five Safety Standards Committees, for emergency preparedness and response (EPReSC) (as of 2016), nuclear safety (NUSSC), radiation safety (RASSC), the safety of radioactive waste (WASSC) and the safe transport of radioactive material (TRANSSC), and a Commission on Safety Standards (CSS) which oversees the IAEA safety standards programme (see Fig. 2).

All IAEA Member States may nominate experts for the Safety Standards Committees and may provide comments on draft standards. The membership of the Commission on Safety Standards is appointed by the Director General and includes senior governmental officials having responsibility for establishing national standards.

A management system has been established for the processes of planning, developing, reviewing, revising and establishing the IAEA safety standards.



FIG. 2. The process for developing a new safety standard or revising an existing standard.

It articulates the mandate of the IAEA, the vision for the future application of the safety standards, policies and strategies, and corresponding functions and responsibilities.

INTERACTION WITH OTHER INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

The findings of the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) and the recommendations of international expert bodies, notably the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), are taken into account in developing the IAEA safety standards. Some safety standards are developed in cooperation with other bodies in the United Nations system or other specialized agencies, including the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, the United Nations Environment Programme, the International Labour Organization, the OECD Nuclear Energy Agency, the Pan American Health Organization and the World Health Organization.

INTERPRETATION OF THE TEXT

Safety related terms are to be understood as defined in the IAEA Safety Glossary (see https://www.iaea.org/resources/safety-standards/safety-glossary). Otherwise, words are used with the spellings and meanings assigned to them in the latest edition of The Concise Oxford Dictionary. For Safety Guides, the English version of the text is the authoritative version.

The background and context of each standard in the IAEA Safety Standards Series and its objective, scope and structure are explained in Section 1, Introduction, of each publication.

Material for which there is no appropriate place in the body text (e.g. material that is subsidiary to or separate from the body text, is included in support of statements in the body text, or describes methods of calculation, procedures or limits and conditions) may be presented in appendices or annexes.

An appendix, if included, is considered to form an integral part of the safety standard. Material in an appendix has the same status as the body text, and the IAEA assumes authorship of it. Annexes and footnotes to the main text, if included, are used to provide practical examples or additional information or explanation. Annexes and footnotes are not integral parts of the main text. Annex material published by the IAEA is not necessarily issued under its authorship; material under other authorship may be presented in annexes to the safety standards. Extraneous material presented in annexes is excerpted and adapted as necessary to be generally useful.

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Background (1.1–1.4) Objective (1.5, 1.6) Scope (1.7–1.14) Structure (1.15, 1.16)	1 2 2 3
2.	CONCEPTS AND PROCESS OF EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION	4
	Basic concept of equipment qualification (2.1–2.12).Overview of the equipment qualification process (2.13).Qualified life (2.14–2.17).Qualification methods (2.18)Preservation of equipment qualification (2.19, 2.20).Management system (2.21–2.25)Documentation (2.26–2.33)Training for equipment qualification (2.34–2.36)	4 6 7 7 7 8 10
3.	DESIGN INPUTS FOR EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION	10
	General (3.1) Identification of equipment performance requirements (3.2–3.5) Identification of service conditions (3.6–3.30) Preliminary suitability assessment (3.31–3.34)	10 11 11 16
4.	ESTABLISHING EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION (4.1, 4.2)	17
	Qualification by type testing (4.3–4.44)Qualification by analysis (4.45–4.49).Qualification by operating experience (4.50–4.53)Combined methods (4.54–4.56)Assessment of equipment capability for design extension conditionswith core melting (4.57–4.62)	17 24 25 25 26
5.	PRESERVATION OF EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION	27
	General (5.1–5.12)	27

	Ageing effects and qualified life (5.13–5.17).	29
	Monitoring of environmental conditions (5.18–5.21)	30
	Monitoring the condition of qualified equipment $(5.22-5.27)$	31
	Periodic surveillance of qualified equipment (5.28, 5.29)	32
	Maintenance relating to qualified equipment (5.30–5.32)	33
	Protective barriers for qualified equipment (5.33, 5.34)	33
	Procurement and storage of qualified equipment (5.35–5.42)	34
	Reassessment of the qualified life of equipment (5.43–5.48)	35
6.	EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE	
	EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION PROGRAMME (6.1–6.5)	36
7.	INTEGRATION OF EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION INTO	
	SAFETY PROGRAMMES AND PROCESSES	39
	Interfaces between the equipment qualification programme and	
	other programmes (7.1)	39
	Safety analysis report (7.2)	39
	Modifications to nuclear installations (7.3–7.6)	40
REF	ERENCES	41
ANI	EX: INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS RELATING	
	TO EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION	45
DEF	INITIONS	51
CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW		

1. INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

1.1. This Safety Guide provides recommendations on equipment qualification¹ in nuclear installations to provide confirmation of the reliable performance of safety functions by such equipment in operational states and accident conditions and to avoid vulnerability due to common cause failure of the equipment.

1.2. Requirements relevant to equipment qualification in nuclear installations are established in the following publications:

- IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1), Safety of Nuclear Power Plants: Design [1];
- IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-2/2 (Rev. 1), Safety of Nuclear Power Plants: Commissioning and Operation [2];
- IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-3, Safety of Research Reactors [3];
- IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-4, Safety of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Facilities [4].

1.3. Several other IAEA safety standards also have some relevance to equipment qualification. These include the following:

- IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 4 (Rev. 1), Safety Assessment for Facilities and Activities [5].
- IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 2, Leadership and Management for Safety [6], and its supporting Safety Guides, IAEA Safety Standards Series Nos GS-G-3.1, Application of the Management System for Facilities and Activities [7], and GS-G-3.5, The Management System for Nuclear Installations [8].
- IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-30, Safety Classification of Structures, Systems and Components in Nuclear Power Plants [9].
- IAEA Safety Standards Series Nos: SSG-34, Design of Electrical Power Systems for Nuclear Power Plants [10]; SSG-39, Design of Instrumentation

¹ 'Equipment qualification' refers to the generation and maintenance of evidence to ensure that equipment will operate on demand, under specified service conditions, to meet system performance requirements.

and Control Systems for Nuclear Power Plants [11]; SSG-37, Instrumentation and Control Systems and Software Important to Safety for Research Reactors [12]; SSG-48, Ageing Management and Development of a Programme for Long Term Operation of Nuclear Power Plants [13]; and SSG-10, Ageing Management for Research Reactors [14].

1.4. The terms used in this Safety Guide are to be understood as defined and explained in the IAEA Safety Glossary [15]. Definitions for certain terms used in this Safety Guide that are not in the IAEA Safety Glossary are provided at the end of this publication.

OBJECTIVE

1.5. The objective of this Safety Guide is to provide recommendations on a structured approach to the establishment and preservation of equipment qualification in nuclear installations to meet the relevant requirements established in SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1) [1], SSR-2/2 (Rev. 1) [2], SSR-3 [3] and SSR-4 [4].

1.6. This Safety Guide is intended for use by organizations responsible for aspects of equipment qualification for nuclear installations. This Safety Guide is also intended for use by regulatory bodies to support their licensing and inspection activities relating to equipment qualification.

SCOPE

1.7. The recommendations in this Safety Guide apply to new nuclear installations and, as far as is reasonably practicable, to existing nuclear installations.

1.8. This Safety Guide applies primarily to equipment that performs one or more safety functions, but it may also be applied to items not important to safety, in accordance with national requirements.

1.9. This Safety Guide applies to electrical equipment, instrumentation and control and active mechanical equipment, as well as components associated with this equipment (e.g. seals, gaskets, lubricants, cables, connections, mounting and anchoring structures).

1.10. The qualification process for passive mechanical components (e.g. piping, vessels), for which the safety performance is ensured by design in accordance with applicable codes, is outside the scope of this Safety Guide.

1.11. This Safety Guide does not specify seismic qualification methods and processes. Recommendations on seismic qualification for nuclear power plants are provided in IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-67, Seismic Design for Nuclear Installations [16].

1.12. This Safety Guide also does not specify methods for the validation of electromagnetic compatibility. Information and guidance on the validation of electromagnetic compatibility are provided in IEC 61000-4-1 [17].

1.13. This Safety Guide does not provide recommendations on equipment protection against the effects of internal fires and explosions. Recommendations on this topic are provided in IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-64, Protection against Internal Hazards in the Design of Nuclear Power Plants [18].

1.14. The verification and validation of computer software and firmware are out of the scope of this Safety Guide; recommendations on these topics are provided in SSG-39 [11] and SSG-37 [12].

STRUCTURE

1.15. Section 2 provides recommendations regarding the concepts and process of equipment qualification. Section 3 provides recommendations on specifying the design inputs needed to support the qualification process. Section 4 provides recommendations on establishing equipment qualification. Section 5 provides recommendations on preserving equipment qualification, and Section 6 provides recommendations on the evaluation of the effectiveness of the equipment qualification programme. Section 7 provides recommendations on the integration of equipment qualification into other safety programmes and processes.

1.16. The Annex provides a list of international nuclear and non-nuclear standards that can be used for equipment qualification and which have a strong relationship with the major topical areas of this Safety Guide.

2. CONCEPTS AND PROCESS OF EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

BASIC CONCEPT OF EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

2.1. Requirement 30 of SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1) [1] states:

"A qualification programme for items important to safety shall be implemented to verify that items important to safety at a nuclear power plant are capable of performing their intended functions when necessary, and in the prevailing environmental conditions, throughout their design life, with due account taken of plant conditions during maintenance and testing."

The same provisions for equipment qualification are established in Requirement 29 of SSR-3 [3] for research reactors, and in Requirement 30 of SSR-4 [4] for nuclear fuel cycle facilities.

2.2. Paragraph 4.48 of SSR-2/2 (Rev. 1) [2] states:

"Appropriate concepts and the scope and process of equipment qualification shall be established, and effective and practicable methods shall be used to upgrade and preserve equipment qualification. A programme to establish, to confirm and to maintain required equipment qualification shall be launched from the initial phases of design, supply and installation of the equipment. The effectiveness of equipment qualification programmes shall be periodically reviewed."

2.3. Paragraph 4.49 of SSR-2/2 (Rev. 1) [2] states:

"The scope and details of the equipment qualification process, in terms of the required inspection area(s), method(s) of non-destructive testing, possible defects inspected for and required effectiveness of inspection, shall be documented and submitted to the regulatory body for review and approval. Relevant national and international experience shall be taken into account in accordance with national regulations."

2.4. Paragraph 5.29 of SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1) [1] states (footnote omitted):

"[T]he features that are designed for use in, or that are capable of preventing or mitigating, events considered in the design extension conditions...Shall be capable of performing in the environmental conditions pertaining to these design extension conditions, including design extension conditions in severe accidents, where appropriate".

2.5. As indicated in para. 2.1, equipment qualification is required to demonstrate that the equipment will be capable of performing its intended safety functions under the range of service conditions specified for the nuclear installation in operational states and accident conditions. This includes an evaluation of the ability of systems or components to perform these safety functions under the effects caused by specified service conditions during plant states and during external events not excluded by the design of the nuclear installation (e.g. seismic events, electromagnetic phenomena such as arcing, lightning). In contrast, internal fires, explosions, internal flooding, tornadoes and hurricanes are not normally considered in equipment qualification because the design generally protects the equipment from the effects of these events.

2.6. Equipment qualification should consider possible synergistic effects (e.g. simultaneous elevated dose rates and temperature, humidity and radiation level), where such effects could lead to significant ageing effects and degradation mechanisms or adverse equipment performance in accident conditions.

2.7. One objective of equipment qualification should be the prevention of common cause failures arising from the exposure of equipment to the specified service conditions.

2.8. The equipment qualification programme should provide confidence that equipment is designed, manufactured, installed, commissioned, operated and maintained such that it is capable of performing its intended safety functions, when needed, under the specified service conditions and throughout its qualified life (see para. 2.15), with due account taken of conditions during maintenance and testing.

2.9. Within the context of equipment qualification, the equipment should be considered an integrated assembly of one or more interconnected components or subassemblies, each with dedicated functionality and specified interfaces to perform or contribute to one or more safety functions.

2.10. The equipment to be qualified should be an accurate representation of the type or series type of the equipment to be installed.

2.11. The qualified configuration of the equipment should include the equipment itself and the equipment it interfaces with. The qualified configuration should include the final versions of firmware, application software and hardware description language, as well as process, electrical and mechanical interfaces, mounting, and equipment orientation.

2.12. Equipment qualification should be considered an essential programme throughout the whole lifetime of a nuclear installation.

OVERVIEW OF THE EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION PROCESS

2.13. The equipment qualification process comprises three phases:

- (a) Establishment of appropriate design inputs;
- (b) Establishment of equipment qualification process steps;
- (c) Preservation of the status of qualified equipment.

These three phases and the relationship of activities within each phase are considered in Sections 3, 4 and 5, respectively.

QUALIFIED LIFE

2.14. Qualified life² is the period for which a structure, system or component has been demonstrated, through testing, analysis or experience, to be capable of functioning within acceptance criteria during specific operating conditions while retaining the ability to perform its safety functions in accident conditions for a design basis accident or a design basis earthquake [15].

2.15. A qualified life should be established for all equipment that is subject to significant performance degradation mechanisms that could occur under the range of specified service conditions for operational states.

² The term 'qualified life' is not used in all Member States. In some Member States, the term 'qualification for the lifetime of the equipment' serves the same purpose of demonstrating the capability of the equipment to perform its intended safety functions throughout its intended use period and in accident conditions.

2.16. The parameters and any modelling of environmental conditions used to establish the qualified life should be specified. Activities such as monitoring the condition of qualified equipment (see paras 5.22–5.27) and monitoring environmental conditions (see paras 5.18–5.21) should be performed to determine whether these parameters and specified environmental conditions remain within acceptable ranges.

2.17. The qualified life may be based on the performance of the entire equipment assembly or may be dependent on individual components (e.g. gaskets, sealings) within the assembly.

QUALIFICATION METHODS

2.18. Internationally recognized methods for equipment qualification are type testing, analysis, use of operating experience and a combination of these methods. The Annex provides a list of applicable industry standards, which may be considered when identifying appropriate qualification methods.

PRESERVATION OF EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

2.19. The preservation of equipment qualification is needed throughout the lifetime of the nuclear installation (see Section 5).

2.20. Justification should be provided during the reassessment of equipment qualification whenever changes occur that could alter the initial equipment qualification.

MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

2.21. Organizations responsible for equipment qualification for nuclear installations are required to develop, implement, assess and continuously improve a management system, which includes quality management, in accordance with the requirements established in GSR Part 2 [6].

2.22. The equipment qualification programme should be subject to a quality assurance programme that includes a variety of elements, such as equipment design control, procurement document control, manufacturing quality control, qualification assessment (e.g. testing, analysis, combined testing and analysis,

experience), storage, installation and commissioning, installation surveillance and maintenance, periodic testing and documentation.

2.23. Equipment qualification activities, including the assessment or reassessment of the status of qualified equipment, should be performed in accordance with approved procedures and controls.

2.24. Data acquisition tools used in equipment qualification should be calibrated against defined criteria, and documentation supporting such calibrations should be provided.

2.25. Traceability should be established between the qualification documentation, the conclusions from each qualification test or analysis, and the configuration of the installed equipment, in order to ensure that the installed configuration corresponds to the as-tested configuration.

DOCUMENTATION

2.26. Equipment qualification documentation of a nuclear installation should include the following:

- (a) A list of items important to safety that are subject to equipment qualification. This list should include the intended safety functions and the specific location of each item of equipment.
- (b) Criteria for equipment qualification.
- (c) Equipment specifications (see para. 2.27).
- (d) Data and reports from equipment qualification analyses and tests.
- (e) Equipment qualification summary reports (see paras 2.32 and 2.33).
- (f) Instructions for preserving the status of qualified equipment during manufacture, installation, commissioning, operation and maintenance of the equipment.
- 2.27. The equipment specification should include the following:
- (a) Equipment type, vendor and/or manufacturer, model number (or series type) and dimensions;
- (b) Specific equipment configuration and settings;
- (c) The versions of any firmware, application software and hardware description language to be used;

- (d) The ranges of mechanical and electrical parameters for which the equipment is rated;
- (e) The mechanical, electrical and instrumentation and control interfaces of the equipment;
- (f) Equipment performance capabilities (e.g. accuracy, insulation resistance, cable impedance, response times);
- (g) Operating manuals, instructions and data sheets, including a parts list and maintenance, installation and test procedures;
- (h) Certificates and test documentation with respect to industry standards and quality assurance.

2.28. The equipment qualified configuration should be properly documented, and this documentation should be maintained in an auditable form while the equipment is in service (or in storage awaiting installation).

2.29. The documentation of the equipment qualification should identify individual components that have a qualified life that is shorter than the expected in-service life of the equipment assembly, to allow for their replacement at predetermined intervals consistent with their qualified life.

2.30. Test specifications, test reports and analysis reports should be prepared for each type of qualification (e.g. seismic, environmental and electromagnetic compatibility, functionality testing under specified dynamic loading conditions, ageing and wear through functional cycling).

2.31. All non-conformities and deviations identified during the equipment qualification process (including during the preservation of equipment qualification) should be analysed and documented, with conclusions drawn as to whether any further actions or considerations are necessary.

2.32. A qualification summary report that evaluates the results of each type of qualification test and/or analysis should be prepared. The qualification summary report should provide the basis for an equipment qualification assessment (also referred to as a 'suitability analysis'), which is used to conclude that the equipment is suitably qualified for a specific application in the nuclear installation.

2.33. The qualification summary report should contain appropriate information to serve as a reference for the long term maintenance and procurement processes, in support of the preservation of the status of all qualified equipment included in the report.

TRAINING FOR EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

2.34. The personnel involved in equipment qualification activities (including contractors and personnel involved in the oversight of these activities) should receive suitable training so that they possess the necessary skills, knowledge and attitudes. This training should be part of the equipment qualification programme.

2.35. A systematic approach to training should be used to design, develop, implement and evaluate the training provided.

2.36. Key training elements for personnel involved in establishing and preserving equipment qualification include the following:

- (a) Training specific to the job, task and procedure;
- (b) Integration of the details of equipment qualification into the hands-on training for maintenance of each equipment type, including criteria to be used when inspecting for degradation;
- (c) A description of roles and responsibilities in relation to equipment qualification.

3. DESIGN INPUTS FOR EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

GENERAL

3.1. The design inputs that are necessary for equipment qualification should be established and documented in a specification that includes the following:

- (a) The performance requirements necessary to accomplish the intended safety functions;
- (b) The specified environmental conditions and operating conditions expected in operational states and accident conditions, including for seismic events;
- (c) The safety class (see SSG-30 [9]) assigned to the equipment and the corresponding supplemental classifications (e.g. seismic classification, quality classification);
- (d) The acceptance criteria for equipment qualification.

IDENTIFICATION OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

3.2. The design requirements for equipment should specify the performance requirements³ necessary to accomplish the intended safety functions under the specified service conditions.

3.3. Equipment needed to perform safety functions in accident conditions should meet the performance requirements throughout the specified mission time.

3.4. Equipment performance requirements should be derived from the design requirements and functional acceptance criteria (e.g. in terms of operational characteristics, measurement accuracy, upper and lower limits of functional physical parameters, and response time).

3.5. Equipment performance requirements should be quantified and documented.

IDENTIFICATION OF SERVICE CONDITIONS

3.6. The equipment qualification begins with the establishment of the range of conditions and events for which the equipment is to be qualified.

3.7. A set of specified service conditions for which qualification is to be established should be determined. This may be performed by identifying boundary conditions that envelop qualification parameters.

3.8. The set of specified service conditions should include operating conditions and environmental conditions associated with all plant states. The operating conditions are generally defined by the service conditions of the systems (e.g. vibration, electromagnetic interference caused by voltage surge), operating conditions (e.g. voltage, current, temperature, pressure, radiation levels), fluid conditions (e.g. differential pressure, temperature, flow, chemical content) and environmental conditions in all plant states. The environmental conditions are generally defined by the ambient conditions associated with plant states within the area, also referred to as a 'zone', of the nuclear installation where the equipment is installed. The localized environmental conditions within these areas, (e.g. temperature and radiation levels) should be considered, where appropriate.

³ Examples of performance requirements include requirements for accuracy, resolution, range, sample rate and response time.

Other stressors (e.g. wear, operational cycles, temperature cycles) causing ageing degradation should also be considered.

3.9. The set of specified service conditions should consider the most challenging operational states, accident conditions (with margins) and equipment operating modes (e.g. continually energized or normally deenergized, loaded or unloaded).

3.10. Differences between the specified service conditions and actual conditions can be addressed through additional considerations (e.g. by establishing exclusion zones to prevent the adverse impact of electromagnetic interference on the performance of the equipment).

3.11. Modelling and/or simulations of specified service conditions should be used to derive the parameters needed as inputs for the qualification process. Recommendations on conducting such modelling and simulations are provided in IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-2 (Rev. 1), Deterministic Safety Analysis for Nuclear Power Plants [19].

Service conditions specified for operational states

3.12. Relevant environmental conditions for operational states typically include the following:

- Ambient temperature and pressure;
- Humidity and steam;
- Radiation level;
- Submergence;
- Chemical leakages (e.g. boric acid, steam spray);
- Chemicals in the atmosphere (e.g. salt mist, oil aerosols, dust);
- Induced vibrations from neighbouring equipment or from a seismic event;
- SL-1 vibration⁴;
- Electromagnetic fields.

⁴ In general, two levels of seismic vibratory ground motion hazard, SL-1 and SL-2, should be defined as the design basis earthquake for each nuclear installation. This is to ensure the safety of the nuclear installation in the event of a rare earthquake (i.e. SL-2) and to ensure the possibility of continued operation in the event of a less severe, but more probable, earthquake (i.e. SL-1). In some States, SL-2 corresponds to an earthquake level often denoted the 'safe shutdown earthquake'. In some States, SL-1 corresponds to an earthquake level often denoted the 'operating basis earthquake'.

Seasonal and climatic variations should be taken into account when preparing the test plan.

3.13. Relevant operating conditions for operational states typically include the following:

- Power surges;
- Operating cycles (e.g. electrical, mechanical, water hammer);
- Electrical loading parameters (e.g. voltage, frequency, current);
- Mechanical loads (e.g. thrust; torque; displacement; non-seismic vibration including flow induced vibration, condensing mode vibration and quenching vibration);
- Process fluid conditions (e.g. pressure, temperature, chemical composition, flow rate, water hammer);
- Chemical composition;
- Loads and duty cycles;
- Self-heating;
- Submergence;
- Electromagnetic interference.

3.14. The test conditions for equipment qualification should, at a minimum, bound the service conditions associated with the mounting location of the equipment. Consideration should be given to cases where the temperature or radiation levels may occasionally deviate from specified service conditions (e.g. hot spots).

3.15. The evaluation of equipment performance for operational states should involve demonstrating its functional capability when experiencing a combination of service condition extremes.

Electromagnetic interference

3.16. Electromagnetic interference, including radiofrequency interference, can be caused by electrical equipment, electrical surges (e.g. voltage spikes resulting from switching transients or lightning) and electrostatic discharges.

3.17. Electromagnetic interference can affect electrical equipment including instrumentation and control systems and components. Equipment qualification for electromagnetic interference should address the combination of the system design and the component design to minimize the coupling of electromagnetic interference between the source and other electrical components.

3.18. Detailed equipment qualification specifications and acceptance criteria for electromagnetic interference should be determined in accordance with international industry standards or, alternatively, on the basis of individual system requirements. A list of international standards relating to equipment qualification is provided in the Annex.

3.19. A site survey of sources of electromagnetic interference should be performed during normal operation and should include monitoring for the effects of operating and maintenance activities to establish and verify the basis for equipment qualification.

3.20. Electromagnetic fields within a specified location within a nuclear installation may change with time as a result of the operation of equipment or replacement of equipment in the area (zone). Therefore, when changes to electrical inputs or electrical equipment occur within an area (zone), additional site survey measurements of electromagnetic fields should be performed to identify and quantify sources of electromagnetic interference in order to ensure that the status of qualified equipment will be preserved.

Service conditions specified for equipment located in mild environments

3.21. Equipment qualification for items located in mild environments should be achieved by providing evidence that the equipment meets specified acceptance criteria, including those of recognized industry associations. When seismic testing is used to qualify equipment located in mild environments, pre-ageing (see para. 4.23) prior to the seismic tests is necessary only where significant ageing mechanisms exist.

3.22. The equipment qualification parameters for items located in mild environments can be derived from the service conditions associated with the heating, ventilation and air-conditioning systems and potential consequences of accidents for those areas. When estimating these equipment qualification parameters, a margin should be included to take into account malfunctions and occasional variations in the performance of the heating, ventilation and air-conditioning systems and the potential consequences of accidents for items located in mild environments.

Service conditions specified for harsh environments resulting from design basis accidents

3.23. Harsh environments result from design basis accidents such as loss of coolant accidents, high energy line breaks and main steam line breaks. The accident conditions for design basis accidents are characterized by changes in temperature, pressure, humidity, radiation levels, submergence and vibrations or by simultaneous changes in process fluid conditions, chemical composition and mechanical loads. Other postulated initiating events might need to be considered in the equipment qualification programme if they produce conditions that are more severe than those produced by loss of coolant accidents or high energy line breaks.

3.24. The bounding thermodynamic profiles and chemical effects associated with each postulated initiating event should be derived from the design basis and the safety analysis report for the nuclear installation.

3.25. Service conditions resulting from postulated initiating events such as an SL-2 earthquake or aircraft crash should be considered in the equipment qualification programme.

3.26. Equipment qualification should take into account the mission time for the equipment in applicable accident conditions.

Service conditions resulting from design extension conditions with core melting

3.27. Service conditions resulting from design extension conditions with core melting should be specified through a consideration of appropriate accident profiles that describe the harsh ambient conditions (e.g. pressure, temperature, humidity, radiation dose and dose rates at various stages of the severe accident, exposure to toxic gases, flooding levels) in which the equipment needs to perform its safety functions.

3.28. The thermodynamic profile of the containment should consider the potentially harsh environmental conditions that are likely to exist prior to the occurrence of a severe accident and should be estimated through simulation using severe accident codes. As well as determining the environmental conditions associated with design extension conditions, this approach can help to determine accident monitoring instrumentation ranges (including margins) and mission times. Annex I to Ref. [20] provides examples for calculating environmental parameters for containment during a severe accident.

3.29. Representative environmental conditions for equipment performance during design extension conditions with core melting should be estimated using modelling applied to locations inside the containment that are subjected to such conditions, as well as for locations outside the containment. On the basis of the results of the modelling, test profiles for each of the parameters should be developed to support the assessment of the capability of the equipment to perform reliably.

3.30. The mission time for each item of equipment used for monitoring the integrity of fission product barriers, or each item of equipment used for mitigating the consequences of severe accidents and each item of equipment used for monitoring their adequate performance should be derived from analyses of the various stages of the severe accident. This equipment needs to remain functional beyond the achievement of a safe state and should have a reliability commensurate with the functions it is required to fulfil.

PRELIMINARY SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT

3.31. The selection of equipment should initially be performed by means of a preliminary suitability assessment showing that the selected equipment is generally capable of meeting the functional and performance requirements while operating within specified service conditions.

3.32. To undertake the preliminary suitability assessment, the following information should be provided:

- (a) A description of the equipment used to perform safety functions;
- (b) The design requirements, service conditions and performance requirements for the equipment, derived from the safety design of the nuclear installation;
- (c) The criteria for assessing equipment suitability;
- (d) The criteria for installation, electrical and mechanical interfaces, and maintenance.

3.33. The preliminary suitability assessment should consider the functional characteristics of the equipment, the expected performance under the specified service conditions and other aspects such as electrical safety performance, conformity with product standards, and testing and maintenance criteria.

3.34. If the preliminary suitability assessment reveals deficiencies in terms of meeting the design requirements for given service conditions, supplemental

qualification steps are needed. The selection of supplemental qualification steps should be described and justified.

4. ESTABLISHING EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

4.1. Equipment qualification should be based on a selection of the following methods:

- (a) Type tests;
- (b) Analysis;
- (c) Evaluation of operating experience;
- (d) Where appropriate, an assessment of equipment capability for design extension conditions;
- (e) A combination of the above methods.

The specific combination of methods selected will depend on the equipment assembly or component under consideration. For example, in the qualification of pre-existing items⁵, more emphasis might be placed on past operating experience. For items that are not required to operate in accident conditions or after an earthquake, more emphasis might be placed on analysis.

4.2. The method or combination of methods and the assumptions used for equipment qualification should be justified.

QUALIFICATION BY TYPE TESTING

General

4.3. Qualification by type testing refers to a test or a series of tests on a representative sample of the equipment (including its interfaces) that simulates the effects of significant ageing mechanisms in normal operation. Type testing for

⁵ A 'pre-existing item' is an item that has been qualified in accordance with an industry standard for a similar application under similar or more severe service conditions.

equipment qualification is performed with equipment (including any software) functioning in a state representative of its intended use in actual operation.

4.4. If it is necessary to test separately for different environmental parameters (e.g. separate tests for the effects of radiation and for those of temperature), the sequence in which these tests are conducted should be that which most accurately simulates the worst degree of deterioration due to ageing during service life followed by exposure to accident conditions.

4.5. Equipment qualification results obtained by type testing undertaken in accordance with industry standards should be used to demonstrate that the equipment meets the performance requirements and fulfils the intended safety functions under specified service conditions.

Test specification for equipment qualification by type testing

4.6. Type testing should be performed in accordance with a well defined test specification that has been documented as part of the equipment qualification programme. The test specification should address individual tests or test sequences with respect to one or more testing areas (e.g. environmental, seismic, electromagnetic interference) and should provide information on conducting the qualification tests.

4.7. The test specification should include the following:

- (a) A description of the specimen, including a unique means of identification;
- (b) Any dimensions and tolerances that might impact the performance of the specimen;
- (c) Applicable regulatory requirements and industry codes and standards;
- (d) A description of the test facilities to be used (e.g. heating ovens, chambers to test the effects of loss of cooling accidents, shake tables to simulate earthquake motion);
- (e) The quality assurance procedures to be applied;
- (f) The scope of the equipment qualification (e.g. seismic, environmental, electromagnetic);
- (g) A description of the test parameters to be monitored, the test acceptance criteria, the format of test data and the methods to be used for data analysis;
- (h) Specifications for the test assembly, measurement devices and their accuracy, mounting and interfaces;
- (i) The need for auxiliary equipment to be included in the test specifications (e.g. test connections, test equipment leads, power supplies);

- (j) The need for any witnessing (e.g. by independent experts) or hold points during the testing;
- (k) Actions to be taken to correct deviations or failures;
- (1) Maintenance activities and replacements during the tests (e.g. replacement of gaskets after ageing);
- (m) The test documentation to be prepared by the testing facility and/or the independent organizations, as appropriate.

4.8. The test specifications should outline the service conditions to be simulated, along with the applied margins for each test step.

- 4.9. The test specifications should include the following information:
- (a) The test setup.
- (b) The test conditions and margins to be applied.
- (c) The performance of safety functions by the equipment to be demonstrated throughout the tests.
- (d) The test sequences and/or the test steps, including the equipment performance characteristics to be tested.
- (e) The acceptance criteria for each test step (e.g. opening and closing times, response time, accuracy), to demonstrate that the equipment performance requirements have been met.
- (f) The normal operating status of the equipment (e.g. energized or deenergized).
- (g) Ranges in equipment performance requirements for each test step, to demonstrate the satisfactory performance of the safety functions for different plant states.
- (h) Boundaries and interfaces between items subject to equipment qualification. The interfaces should be defined on the basis of mechanical and electrical design criteria, as appropriate.
- (i) Data recording and test equipment accuracy.
- (j) Applicable mission times.
- (k) Specified qualified life.
- (l) Special conditions specified for qualified equipment, where applicable.

Test specimens for equipment qualification by type testing

4.10. The test specimens and their assembly and mounting should be accurate representations of the type or series of the equipment to be qualified, in terms of electrical or mechanical attributes, geometrical dimensions, installed configuration and electrical and mechanical interfaces.

4.11. An evaluation should be performed to determine how many test specimens need to be tested to ensure an accurate representation of the performance of the equipment to be qualified.

4.12. The test specimen description should contain sufficiently detailed information to demonstrate that it corresponds to the type or series of equipment in the design specification.

4.13. Test specimens should be subjected to ageing mechanisms prior to being tested for postulated initiating events.

4.14. A description of the test setup should provide detailed information to properly conduct the testing. This should include information on the assembly, mounting and functional testing of the equipment to be tested.

4.15. Scale models and a grouping method may be used to simulate the actual configuration of the equipment. Scale models should be representative of the configuration and material properties of the equipment to be qualified. The use of scale models should be justified; in particular, it should be demonstrated that the use of scale models will not adversely impact the results of the equipment qualification tests. When a grouping method is applied, grouping analysis should be additionally performed to demonstrate that the selected item is representative of the group.

4.16. Test specimens for assemblies may consist of individual modules that are tested separately. The interfaces between the modules should be properly identified and comprehensively described, and the individual modules should be tested with overlapping interfaces.

4.17. Individual modules or components may be tested separately, but for certain tests, such as for electromagnetic interference, tests of the whole assembly (e.g. instrumentation and control cabinet, electrical switchgear) should be performed to fully investigate the possible interactions.

4.18. The electromagnetic interference tests may be performed on a test specimen different from that which is subjected to tests for operational ageing and seismic events and other design basis events.

4.19. The test specimen used during equipment qualification testing should not be considered for use in safety applications following qualification, unless it has been demonstrated that the testing has not adversely affected the ability of the
specimen to perform safely during its qualified life and that any margin has not been significantly reduced.

Demonstration of safety functions during type tests for equipment qualification

4.20. Functional tests should be used to demonstrate the ability of equipment to perform the intended safety functions over the full range of specified service conditions.

4.21. While the complete equipment qualification process should cover all the intended safety functions, a single functional test may be used to test just one aspect of the ability to perform these functions. For example, a containment penetration has two safety functions — electrical functions and containment pressure boundary functions — and these functions may be tested separately.

4.22. The performance of a safety function may also be demonstrated by using indirect test methods, for example testing environmental seal materials (e.g. a gasket compression set) using functional acceptance criteria.

Simulation of ageing effects (pre-ageing) in type tests for equipment qualification

4.23. Any anticipated significant ageing mechanisms should be simulated during testing for equipment qualification. The ageing that is expected during operational states may be simulated by accelerated ageing (e.g. thermal, radiation; see paras 4.25–4.30) to determine the qualified life of the equipment.

4.24. The sequence of equipment ageing should consider sequential, simultaneous and synergistic effects to provide the most accurate simulation of ageing degradation.

Accelerated thermal ageing

4.25. Thermal ageing may be simulated by exposing test specimens to higher temperatures for a specified duration (accelerated thermal ageing). The rate of accelerated thermal ageing should be documented and justified (e.g. to manage effects of diffusion limited oxidation).

4.26. The Arrhenius ageing model⁶ (isothermal ageing at elevated temperature) is considered an acceptable method for performing accelerated thermal ageing. The elevated test temperature used should be below the threshold value at which significantly different chemical or physical reactions might occur.

4.27. The parameters used during the accelerated ageing process should be documented and justified. For example, the material activation energy, the temperature applied during the tests, the duration of the test and the material sensitivity should all be documented and justified.

Accelerated radiation ageing

4.28. Simulation of radiation ageing should be limited to gradual permanent changes to material characteristics over time and differentiated from transient changes that might occur because of exposure to radiation.

4.29. The total dose that might be received should be simulated for operational states and accident conditions. The applied dose rate should be equally distributed and low enough to ensure that the accelerated radiation ageing remains realistic.

4.30. Unless otherwise stated (e.g. in national requirements), the simulation of radiation ageing should be performed under ambient temperature conditions. This might include testing at elevated temperatures where these are representative of the service environment.

Non-seismic vibration and mechanical shocks

4.31. Non-seismic vibration and mechanical shocks (including vibration from pipes, pumps and running motors, and vibration due to hydrodynamic loading) that produce significant degradation (e.g. fatigue, wear) should be considered, where applicable.

4.32. Non-seismic vibration should be included in the ageing process prior to the seismic tests, if such vibration is considered to be severe enough to cause mechanical ageing.

⁶ The Arrhenius ageing model is a simplified model characterizing the kinetics of chemical reactions (i.e. the degradation process), which predicts how the time taken to reach component failure varies with temperature. It assumes that short term thermal ageing at a high temperature results in the same degradation as long term ageing at a lower temperature.

Simulation of other stressors

4.33. Other stressors (e.g. wear, operational cycles, temperature cycles) causing ageing degradation should be considered for inclusion in the type testing.

Simulation of seismic conditions in type testing for equipment qualification

4.34. Seismic effects should be simulated, if necessary, on aged specimens (i.e. specimens that have already been subjected to simulated operating conditions) prior to testing for accident conditions.

4.35. The mechanical load conditions during seismic events (e.g. hydrodynamic events) that are applied to equipment qualification methods should be developed taking into account an SL-2 earthquake and the associated mechanical loads, as specified in SSG-67 [16]. This should be considered in the equipment qualification for both harsh environments and mild environments. A list of international standards relating to seismic qualification of equipment is provided in the Annex.

4.36. When appropriate, test specimens should be restrained and anchored in a manner that accurately represents the installed configuration and should be energized and subjected to electrical and mechanical loading.

Simulation of specified service conditions in type testing for equipment qualification

4.37. The type testing sequence should place the specimen in its worst state of deterioration that can occur in service during the qualified life, prior to being subjected to a simulation of accident conditions.

4.38. Test specimens should be subjected to the environmental conditions that might result from the postulated initiating events specified in the design basis of the nuclear installation. The simulation of such environmental conditions by performing sequential tests is acceptable (e.g. radiation levels and thermodynamic loads in accident conditions, as appropriate for the mission time of the equipment).

4.39. The total radiation dose resulting from operational states and accident conditions may be applied either in a single exposure or in a series of exposures, provided that this results in the most accurate simulation of applicable ageing effects.

4.40. The conditions resulting from postulated initiating events should be defined in terms of the thermodynamic profiles and chemical effects to be simulated. These conditions include, for example, temperature, pressure, humidity, submergence and chemical composition for the necessary mission time.

4.41. Tested specimens should be energized and subjected to loads in a manner that accurately represents the installed configuration.

4.42. The successful performance of the safety functions during the simulation of the postulated initiating events for the necessary mission time should be verified and documented.

Margins for test profiles in type testing for equipment qualification

4.43. Margins should be applied during the equipment qualification process to take into account test instrument inaccuracies, production variations and modelling uncertainties. The type tests should include provisions to verify that the type tests for equipment qualification include an adequate margin. Information on suitable margins for conducting type tests on electrical equipment important to safety is provided in IEC/IEEE 60780-323 [21].

4.44. Increasing test durations is an acceptable means of adding margins in testing. Increasing the number of test cycles (e.g. test cycles for wear, operational cycles) may also be an acceptable means of adding margins.

QUALIFICATION BY ANALYSIS

4.45. Qualification by analysis should include a justification of the methods, models and assumptions used. The validity of the mathematical models used for equipment qualification might be justified on the basis of experimental data, test data or operating experience. In the case of using test data, the test certificate should include details of the test methodology and parameters used.

4.46. Qualification by analysis may be used to extrapolate existing equipment qualification results to address changes in equipment, material composition, service conditions, performance requirements and installations, and to reassess the qualified life of equipment.

4.47. Qualification by analysis may be used to extend the results of equipment qualification testing to represent an entire family of equipment of the same or

similar type, if it can be shown that the tested equipment is representative of other equipment in the same family (e.g. cables, series of motors of the same type, sizes of process instrumentation).

4.48. Qualification by analysis alone is recommended only for the analysis of the structural integrity of the equipment and its mounting; it is not recommended for analysing equipment functionality. Exceptions could be made for oversized equipment or the limitations of the test facility.

4.49. Qualification by analysis may be used to demonstrate that an item of equipment can be qualified on the basis of the qualification of other equipment to equivalent or more stringent conditions.

QUALIFICATION BY OPERATING EXPERIENCE

4.50. Operating experience may be used to help demonstrate the reliability of equipment to perform safety functions.

4.51. The validity of any operating experience feedback provided by the manufacturer should be confirmed by a third party (i.e. another organization with relevant experience of the use of the equipment). It should also be ensured that adequate documentation of the service conditions that relate to the operating experience is available.

4.52. The data from operating experience should be based on service conditions and performance requirements that are equivalent to, or more severe than, those of the equipment to be qualified.

4.53. Equipment cannot be qualified on the basis of operating experience feedback only, and this should therefore be combined with other qualification methods.

COMBINED METHODS

4.54. Equipment qualification may be achieved through a combination of type testing, analysis and operating experience. For example, where type testing of a complete assembly is not possible, component testing supplemented by analysis could be used. In some cases, the overall equipment qualification is dependent on the qualification of the most limiting individual component within that equipment.

4.55. If not all the components within the equipment are subject to degradation from the effects of specified service conditions, it may be possible to demonstrate that some components can be qualified through a material analysis.

4.56. The specific combination of methods selected will depend on the system or component under consideration. The combination of methods used for equipment qualification should be justified and documented.

ASSESSMENT OF EQUIPMENT CAPABILITY FOR DESIGN EXTENSION CONDITIONS WITH CORE MELTING

4.57. Paragraph 5.29 of SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1) [1] states (footnote omitted):

"[T]he features that are designed for use in, or that are capable of preventing or mitigating, events considered in the design extension conditions...Shall be capable of performing in the environmental conditions pertaining to these design extension conditions, including design extension conditions in severe accidents, where appropriate".

4.58. Equipment should have the capability, as appropriate, to perform its intended safety functions for the necessary mission time in severe accident conditions.

4.59. The mission time for each item of equipment used for mitigation or for monitoring in a severe accident should be derived from the analyses of the various stages of the severe accident. For example, some equipment may be needed to perform a safety function during a design basis accident and also to remain functional throughout design extension conditions with core melting.

4.60. The specific functions of the equipment to be accomplished at each stage of a severe accident should be defined. The capability of the equipment to reliably perform those functions in such severe accident conditions should be assessed.

4.61. Type testing may be used as far as reasonably practicable to support the prediction of the behaviour of equipment under simulated severe accident loads.

4.62. A technical basis that may be considered for assessing the capability of equipment to perform in severe accident conditions is provided in Ref. [20].

5. PRESERVATION OF EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

GENERAL

5.1. Requirement 13 of SSR-2/2 (Rev. 1) [2] states:

"The operating organization shall ensure that a systematic assessment is carried out to provide reliable confirmation that safety related items are capable of the required performance for all operational states and for accident conditions."

5.2. Furthermore, paragraph 4.48 of SSR-2/2 (Rev. 1) [2] states:

"A programme to establish, to confirm and to maintain required equipment qualification shall be launched from the initial phases of design, supply and installation of the equipment. The effectiveness of equipment qualification programmes shall be periodically reviewed."

5.3. To meet the above requirements, qualified equipment should be designed, manufactured, procured, stored, installed, commissioned, inspected, operated, maintained and replaced or modified in a manner that helps to ensure that the equipment qualification is preserved for the lifetime of the installation.

5.4. Requirement 10 of SSR-2/2 (Rev. 1) [2] states that "The operating organization shall establish and implement a system for plant configuration management to ensure consistency between design requirements, physical configuration and plant documentation."

5.5. In order to meet the above requirement, configuration management (i.e. change control) should provide a systematic process to ensure that the implications of equipment qualification are appropriately considered whenever changes occur to the installation, to equipment, or to operating, maintenance or replacement activities.

5.6. The preservation of equipment qualification includes the need for the periodic replacement of component parts (e.g. seals, gaskets, lubricants, filters) that degrade easily. Such parts may need to be periodically replaced (i.e. and not to be reused) during maintenance activities specifically undertaken for equipment qualification purposes.

5.7. Factors that can adversely impact the established equipment qualification include the following:

- (a) Deviations from appropriate installation and maintenance procedures;
- (b) Changes in the design basis or safety analysis;
- (c) Changes in regulatory requirements or in licensing conditions;
- (d) Modifications to the nuclear installation;
- (e) Deviations in service conditions from those assumed in the equipment qualification;
- (f) Feedback on adverse operating and maintenance experiences;
- (g) Unavailability of qualified spare parts;
- (h) Storage conditions of the qualified equipment and spare parts;
- (i) Obsolescence of the equipment or spare parts;
- (j) Recent qualification tests or research results that challenge or modify the original assumptions or test or analysis results.

5.8. All elements of the equipment qualification programme should be evaluated when assessing the status of qualified equipment.

5.9. The qualified life of an item of equipment should be reassessed during its lifetime, taking into account progress in the knowledge and understanding of degradation mechanisms and the actual operating environment of the equipment. If the qualified life is to be extended, a thorough evaluation supported by an adequate basis for the extension should be provided.

5.10. The status of each item of qualified equipment should be preserved and properly documented throughout the lifetime of the installation. Such documentation is part of the equipment qualification programme and should typically include the following:

- (a) A list of equipment subject to qualification;
- (b) Technical specifications for the procurement of qualified equipment;
- (c) Manufacturer data in support of equipment qualification;
- (d) Specifications for the installation of equipment;
- (e) Results from monitoring the environmental conditions in areas in which equipment is located, where relevant;
- (f) Results from monitoring the condition of equipment, including visual inspections, where relevant;
- (g) Test reports relating to equipment qualification;
- (h) The summary report of the equipment qualification;

- Results of maintenance activities, including where subcomponents or sealing materials (e.g. seals, gaskets, lubricants) have been replaced, and the certificates that establish the traceability of these replacements and of the equipment qualification;
- (j) Non-conformity reports from vendors, manufacturers and operating organizations;
- (k) Records of the non-availability of replacement components from the original equipment manufacturer (obsolescence) and the acceptability of appropriately qualified substitute replacement components (see para. 5.36);
- (l) Reports of relevant operating experience;
- (m) Reports of time limited ageing analyses relating to equipment qualification (e.g. for evaluation for long term operation), or reports of another suitable equivalent analysis;
- (n) Written justification that the equipment is suitable for use in each of the intended functional applications and associated locations within the installation.

5.11. Interfaces with other programmes (see Section 7) should be identified, and procedural controls should be established to provide assurance that activities essential to preserving the status of qualified equipment are correctly performed and properly integrated into processes and work practices at the installation.

5.12. Operating experience feedback from the installation itself or from other industries should be used for identifying unanticipated ageing mechanisms or changes in the performance of equipment.

AGEING EFFECTS AND QUALIFIED LIFE

5.13. Paragraph 5.51 of SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1) [1] states:

"The design for a nuclear power plant shall take due account of ageing and wear out effects in all operational states for which a component is credited, including testing, maintenance, maintenance outages, plant states during a postulated initiating event and plant states following a postulated initiating event."

Similar provisions for addressing the effects of ageing and wear out are established in Requirement 37 of SSR-3 [3] for research reactors, and in Requirement 32 of SSR-4 [4] for nuclear fuel cycle facilities.

5.14. Paragraph 5.49 of SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1) [1] states:

"The qualification programme for items important to safety shall include the consideration of ageing effects caused by environmental factors (such as conditions of vibration, irradiation, humidity or temperature) over the expected service life of the items important to safety."

The same provisions for ageing effects are established in para. 6.84 of SSR-3 [3] for research reactors, and in para. 6.115 of SSR-4 [4] for nuclear fuel cycle facilities.

5.15. When new ageing mechanisms or increases in the effects of previously known ageing mechanisms are identified, the relevant parts of the equipment qualification programme should be reviewed to determine whether changes in the qualified life or maintenance of the equipment are needed.

5.16. Periodic preventive maintenance, predictive maintenance, equipment calibration, surveillance, testing, condition monitoring, corrective action, identification of trends in equipment failures, and operating experience reviews are acceptable methods for identifying and mitigating unanticipated ageing degradation that was not accounted for when establishing the original equipment qualification.

5.17. The results of processes that identify ageing-related failures or significant material degradation of qualified equipment should be used to assess the need to revise the maintenance, surveillance and replacement programmes that are related to equipment qualification. These revisions should be reflected in the equipment qualification.

MONITORING OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

5.18. An analysis of the installation's zones, rooms and equipment should be carried out to determine where measurements of environmental conditions should be made. This analysis should take into account the stressors acting on the equipment (e.g. service temperature, radiation, submergence, local vibration, electromagnetic interference, radio frequency interference, toxic chemical exposure) to determine whether the actual environmental conditions are more severe than assumed.

5.19. Trends in the service conditions should be assessed to determine the impact on the condition of qualified equipment and to identify corrective actions, if necessary.

5.20. The monitoring of environmental conditions in the nuclear installation during operation should verify the following:

- (a) The assumptions in the equipment qualification are consistent with the ambient conditions in the part of the installation in which the equipment is installed.
- (b) The design limits of the equipment are not exceeded.
- (c) The status of qualified equipment remains valid.

5.21. Monitoring of environmental conditions may also be used to support the evaluation of remaining qualified life by determining if an item of equipment is suitable for continued service.

MONITORING THE CONDITION OF QUALIFIED EQUIPMENT

5.22. Monitoring the condition of qualified equipment, also referred to as 'condition monitoring', provides information regarding the rate of ageing degradation of qualified equipment. Condition monitoring includes visual inspection and the measurement of parameters that indicate the physical state of the equipment and enable assessment of its ability to perform its intended functions under specified service conditions. Condition monitoring supports activities necessary for preserving the status of qualified equipment.

5.23. Appropriate periodic condition monitoring should be implemented to determine whether actual degradation due to ageing is occurring at a higher rate than expected, which would indicate that corrective actions may be necessary to ensure that the status of qualified equipment is preserved. The results of condition monitoring should also be used to investigate the following:

- (a) Whether service conditions are more severe than previously assumed;
- (b) Whether the initial assumptions on ageing contain uncertainties that were not originally taken into account;
- (c) Whether ageing mechanisms have been identified that were not fully evaluated or simulated when the equipment qualification was established.

5.24. Appropriate condition indicators for a given type of equipment should be selected to help detect changes caused by significant ageing mechanisms. These condition indicators should be measurable, linked to the functional degradation of the qualified equipment and capable of indicating a consistent observable trend.

5.25. Premature failures, degradations and performance anomalies of equipment important to safety should be identified and documented. These deficiencies should be addressed through a corrective action programme.

5.26. As qualified equipment approaches the end of its qualified life, additional periodic monitoring of its condition should be implemented to determine whether actual ageing is occurring at a slower rate than expected, which would indicate that it may be possible to extend the qualified life of the equipment.

5.27. The combination of monitoring environmental conditions and monitoring the condition of equipment should be used to support the reassessment of the qualified life of equipment.

PERIODIC SURVEILLANCE OF QUALIFIED EQUIPMENT

5.28. Procedures for periodic surveillance of qualified equipment should be implemented to ensure the following:

- (a) That operation and maintenance activities do not compromise the status of qualified equipment by changing its configuration, mounting orientation (horizontal or vertical supports), or electrical, pneumatic or hydraulic interfaces;
- (b) That systems and components continue to meet their performance requirements;
- (c) That abnormalities in the configuration of the equipment are detected, and that corrective actions are completed in a timely manner to preserve the status of qualified equipment;
- (d) That criteria for identifying premature ageing degradation are specified.

5.29. During periodic surveillance, if unexpected degradation is observed, the effect of this degradation on the capability of the equipment to perform its intended safety function should be evaluated.

MAINTENANCE RELATING TO QUALIFIED EQUIPMENT

5.30. Maintenance activities should be performed to preserve the status of qualified equipment, in accordance with the equipment qualification programme and surveillance procedures.

5.31. To preserve the status of qualified equipment, the maintenance programme should include the following:

- (a) Maintenance documentation that describes the maintenance activities necessary to support the preservation of equipment qualification.
- (b) The establishment of an appropriate preventive maintenance schedule. Maintenance intervals should be set to ensure that the qualified life of the equipment is preserved.
- (c) The identification of any trends in condition indicators associated with qualified equipment and the detection of any initial indications that the performance of the equipment is degrading.
- (d) The replacement of equipment and components that have exceeded their qualified life.
- (e) A means by which operating personnel can identify that the equipment is qualified.

5.32. All maintenance work on qualified equipment should be subject to appropriate oversight to ensure that qualified replacement parts are used, that appropriate maintenance procedures are followed and that the status of qualified equipment is preserved.

PROTECTIVE BARRIERS FOR QUALIFIED EQUIPMENT

5.33. Where protective barriers, enclosures, shields or sealing devices are provided for protecting qualified equipment from possible environmental conditions, the integrity of these barriers should be maintained as part of the equipment qualification programme. Controls should be implemented to ensure that these barriers remain effective and in their proper configuration for the lifetime of the installation.

5.34. Any protective barriers that can be removed should be clearly marked as being elements of the equipment qualification programme.

PROCUREMENT AND STORAGE OF QUALIFIED EQUIPMENT

5.35. Qualified equipment and spare parts should be procured in accordance with the procurement criteria specified in the applicable equipment qualification summary report. The procurement criteria should contain the specifications and specified service conditions for the equipment to be purchased.

5.36. Replacement equipment should be identical to the original qualified equipment. If this is not possible, the replacement equipment should be evaluated to determine whether it is acceptable, and the conclusions of this evaluation should be documented. Equipment qualification documentation should be updated, as necessary, to reflect any substitutions that alter the bases for qualification, configuration, maintenance or procurement.

5.37. Requirement 11 of GSR Part 2 [6] states that "The organization shall put in place arrangements with vendors, contractors and suppliers for specifying, monitoring and managing the supply to it of items, products and services that may influence safety."

5.38. The arrangements with vendors and manufacturers of qualified equipment should also be in accordance with national requirements, including any quality management requirements. Equipment may also be procured through a vendor or manufacturer who uses a commercial grade dedication process. Whatever the arrangements, the equipment should be qualified in accordance with the equipment qualification programme⁷.

5.39. Following procurement, qualified equipment should be inspected upon receipt and stored in a controlled manner to ensure that its qualified status is preserved.

5.40. Procurement documentation should reflect the responsibility of the vendor and/or the manufacturer to demonstrate that the equipment supplied is identical to that ordered by the operating organization. The procurement documentation should state that the operating organization should be notified when changes to equipment design and manufacturing occur.

5.41. Qualified equipment (including subassemblies, spare parts and materials) in storage should be marked as qualified.

⁷ For example, see the equipment qualification programme described in Ref. [22].

5.42. The storage of qualified equipment with a defined shelf life⁸ should be controlled to ensure that, upon installation, the qualified status of the equipment is preserved. In particular, a reliable means should be established to ensure that shelf life expiration dates are not exceeded.

REASSESSMENT OF THE QUALIFIED LIFE OF EQUIPMENT

5.43. The qualified life of equipment should be reassessed throughout the lifetime of the installation to take into account changes in the actual service conditions, such as temperature and radiation levels, and developments in the knowledge and understanding of degradation mechanisms.

5.44. If the qualified life of equipment is to be extended, the technical basis for this should be provided. In addition, any conclusions regarding the status of qualified equipment should be re-evaluated to take into account any changes in performance requirements or installation conditions.

5.45. The technical basis for extending the qualified life of equipment should be evaluated to determine whether any changes in documented material composition and parameters, or in assumed environmental conditions, load cycles and other parameters, are needed to support this evaluation.

5.46. Methods such as re-evaluation of the conservativism of assumptions made in the original equipment qualification, type testing of naturally aged equipment with additional ageing to support the extension of the qualified life, and equipment replacement and refurbishment should be used for reassessing qualified life.

5.47. Changes in the stressor intensity (e.g. changes in temperature and radiation levels) may also be evaluated to reassess the qualified life. Consequently, the evaluation of data from monitoring environmental conditions and the condition of equipment can be used to reassess the qualified life of equipment.

5.48. Methods chosen for the reassessment of the qualified life of equipment should be justified and documented.

⁸ The 'shelf life' is the maximum time period between manufacturing and installation during which the equipment may be in storage prior to installation, so as to avoid the potential loss of important engineering properties.

6. EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION PROGRAMME

6.1. An assessment of the effectiveness of the equipment qualification programme should be performed. This assessment typically includes reviews of the following:

- (a) Compliance with the governmental, legal and regulatory framework for safety;
- (b) The adequacy of qualification documentation in terms of programme implementation and technical accuracy;
- (c) The effectiveness of interfaces with other programmes;
- (d) The effectiveness of training relevant to equipment qualification;
- (e) The effectiveness of corrective actions;
- (f) Maintenance activities relevant to equipment qualification;
- (g) Audits of vendor and manufacturer quality management programmes and processes relevant to equipment qualification.

6.2. The primary responsibility for conducting periodic audits and ongoing surveillance of the equipment qualification programme rests with the operating organization. In some States, the regulatory body conducts periodic audits of selected elements of the equipment qualification programme as part of its safety verification activities.

6.3. The assessment of the effectiveness of the equipment qualification programme should include the evaluation of activities performed by the following organizations:

- (a) The operating organization;
- (b) Vendors and manufacturers of qualified equipment;
- (c) Third party providers of equipment qualification services;
- (d) Equipment qualification testing facilities (e.g. accredited laboratories).

6.4. The following types of audit of the equipment qualification programme should be performed:

(a) Audits covering all aspects and activities of the equipment qualification programme. These audits are usually performed when the programme is first established and as a part of a periodic safety review of the nuclear installation or a review for licence renewal.

- (b) Audits covering selected aspects and activities of the equipment qualification programme. These audits are conducted more frequently and often in response to incidents suggesting possible weaknesses in specific areas.
- (c) Audits covering vendor and manufacturer quality management programmes and processes relevant to equipment qualification.
- (d) Periodic regulatory inspections to ensure that equipment qualification activities are being performed in accordance with the national regulatory framework for initial licensing and long term operation of the installation.

6.5. The assessment of the effectiveness of the equipment qualification programme should be an active and ongoing process that considers the following:

- (a) Whether a list of equipment subject to qualification is available and up to date.
- (b) Whether the methods and criteria used in the equipment qualification programme reflect licensing conditions and the design basis.
- (c) Whether the original assumptions regarding the safety, operability and performance of equipment were reasonable and remain valid.
- (d) Whether the equipment qualification documentation is available in an auditable and traceable form, provides evidence of qualification for each item of equipment in the equipment qualification list and includes a system for locating supporting documentation.
- (e) Whether the supporting documentation is traceable and includes the following:
 - (i) Test and analysis documentation;
 - (ii) Evaluation of operating experience and information from feedback programmes;
 - (iii) Procurement documents;
 - (iv) Quality assurance data from the manufacturing of qualified equipment;
 - (v) Criteria for the storage, transport and installation of qualified equipment;
 - (vi) Criteria for the surveillance and maintenance of qualified equipment.
- (f) Whether there is sufficient evidence of the following:
 - (i) The technical basis and assumptions used in the modelling of qualified life (e.g. activation energy levels, material compositions, assumed environmental conditions, other parameters) remain valid.
 - (ii) The installed equipment matches the qualified equipment.
 - (iii) The equipment is installed correctly (e.g. mounting, connections and conduit seals comply with the qualified configuration documentation, actuators and hydraulic or pneumatic lines are connected and arranged in accordance with design requirements).

- (iv) The equipment and any protective barriers are appropriately maintained.
- (v) Corrective actions are identified and performed in a timely manner.
- (vi) Personnel are capable of identifying the characteristics of ageing degradation effects.
- (g) Whether the measures necessary to preserve the status of qualified equipment during its service life are documented in appropriate procedures or instructions (e.g. for the storage and handling of qualified spare parts; for installation, surveillance, maintenance and component replacement) and are implemented.
- (h) Whether the relevant personnel have appropriate qualifications and training to establish and preserve equipment qualification.
- (i) Whether the maintenance and testing of qualified equipment, surveillance and inspection of equipment conditions, and monitoring of environmental conditions have been established to ensure that the ageing degradation and functional capability of qualified equipment remain acceptable, and whether a feedback process is in place to address any unanticipated degradation that has been identified.
- (j) Whether a programme is in place to analyse premature degradation or failures of qualified equipment, and to implement appropriate corrective actions, including revisions of conclusions on the status of qualified equipment.
- (k) Whether an operating experience programme is in place to collect and review information relevant to the status of qualified equipment. Such information includes operating experience from the nuclear installation and from other installations, reports of significant events, feedback from vendors and manufacturers, research and development results, and guidance from the regulatory body.
- (1) Whether the equipment qualification programme reflects the as-built design of the installation, including any recent modifications.
- (m) Whether there is adequate evidence that controls implemented within the equipment qualification programme (e.g. corrective actions, configuration management) are effective.

7. INTEGRATION OF EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION INTO SAFETY PROGRAMMES AND PROCESSES

INTERFACES BETWEEN THE EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION PROGRAMME AND OTHER PROGRAMMES

7.1. The equipment qualification programme should have clearly defined interfaces with other programmes and processes, and activities should be coordinated to ensure the status of qualified equipment is preserved. These other programmes and processes include the following:

- (a) Licensing;
- (b) Management system (including the supply chain);
- (c) Operation, including work and task planning;
- (d) Configuration management;
- (e) Operating experience feedback;
- (f) Ageing management⁹ and long term operation;
- (g) Surveillance, testing and maintenance;
- (h) Radiation protection;
- (i) The chemistry programme;
- (j) The corrective action programme;
- (k) Packaging and transport of equipment;
- (1) Procurement and storage of equipment;
- (m) Training of personnel;
- (n) Outage planning and scheduling (where appropriate);
- (o) Engineering (e.g. replacement parts engineering and design engineering).

SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT

7.2. Recommendations on the format and content of the safety analysis report are provided in IAEA Safety Standards Series Nos SSG-61, Format and Content of the Safety Analysis Report for Nuclear Power Plants [23], and SSG-20, Safety Assessment for Research Reactors and Preparation of the Safety Analysis

⁹ Paragraphs 4.23–4.31 of SSG-48 [13] and para. 7.8 of SSG-10 [14] provide recommendations on the integration and review of equipment qualification within the framework of the ageing management programme.

Report [24]. With regard to equipment qualification, the safety analysis report should include the following:

- (a) Information regarding the safety functions of the equipment that is subject to equipment qualification;
- (b) Information on the location of qualified equipment;
- (c) Information on the mission times of qualified equipment in accident conditions;
- (d) The bases for determining specified service conditions;
- (e) The bases for defining areas within the installation with different environmental conditions;
- (f) The variations in environmental conditions expected in operational states and in accident conditions (e.g. vibration, temperature, pressure, electromagnetic interference, radiation levels, humidity);
- (g) Any unusual environmental conditions that can reasonably be anticipated or that can arise from specific activities such as the periodic testing of the containment leak rate;
- (h) Information on approaches to the qualification of a particular type of equipment, the qualification programme, the documents in which qualification results are given and conclusions about qualification.

Any changes that affect the above items should be reflected in updates to the safety analysis report.

MODIFICATIONS TO NUCLEAR INSTALLATIONS

7.3. The process for making modifications to the installation should ensure that the equipment qualification documentation is updated to reflect any design changes.

7.4. Any modification involving qualified equipment should be carefully planned before the modification is implemented. This includes ensuring the following:

- (a) That all documentation affected by the modification, such as the safety analysis report, operational limits and conditions, drawings, operating procedures and emergency procedures, periodic maintenance and testing procedures and equipment indexes, has been updated and is available. Documents should not be released for use until the modification has been completed.
- (b) That the as-built configuration of modified systems is reflected in the design basis documentation.

7.5. Modifications that only involve items not important to safety but that might affect items important to safety should also be evaluated for their possible impact on qualified equipment. The results of such evaluations should be documented.

7.6. Further recommendations on controlling modifications to nuclear installations are provided in IAEA Safety Standards Series Nos NS-G-2.3, Modifications to Nuclear Power Plants [25], and SSG-24, Safety in the Utilization and Modification of Research Reactors [26].

REFERENCES

- [1] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Safety of Nuclear Power Plants: Design, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1), IAEA, Vienna (2016).
- [2] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Safety of Nuclear Power Plants: Commissioning and Operation, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-2/2 (Rev. 1), IAEA, Vienna (2016).
- [3] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Safety of Research Reactors, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-3, IAEA, Vienna (2016).
- [4] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Safety of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Facilities, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-4, IAEA, Vienna (2017).
- [5] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Safety Assessment for Facilities and Activities, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 4 (Rev. 1), IAEA, Vienna (2016).
- [6] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Leadership and Management for Safety, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 2, IAEA, Vienna (2016).
- [7] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Application of the Management System for Facilities and Activities, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GS-G-3.1, IAEA, Vienna (2006).
- [8] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, The Management System for Nuclear Installations, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GS-G-3.5, IAEA, Vienna (2009).
- [9] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Safety Classification of Structures, Systems and Components in Nuclear Power Plants, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-30, IAEA, Vienna (2014).
- [10] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Design of Electrical Power Systems for Nuclear Power Plants, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-34, IAEA, Vienna (2016).
- [11] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Design of Instrumentation and Control Systems for Nuclear Power Plants, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-39, IAEA, Vienna (2016).

- [12] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Instrumentation and Control Systems and Software Important to Safety for Research Reactors, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-37, IAEA, Vienna (2015). (A revision of this publication is in preparation.)
- [13] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Ageing Management and Development of a Programme for Long Term Operation of Nuclear Power Plants, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-48, IAEA, Vienna (2018).
- [14] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Ageing Management for Research Reactors, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-10, IAEA, Vienna (2010). (A revision of this publication is in preparation.)
- [15] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, IAEA Safety Glossary: Terminology Used in Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection, 2018 Edition, IAEA, Vienna (2019).
- [16] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Seismic Design for Nuclear Installations, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-67, IAEA, Vienna (2021).
- [17] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) — Part 4-1: Testing and Measurement Techniques — Overview of IEC 61000-4 Series, IEC TR 61000-4-1:2016, IEC, Geneva (2016).
- [18] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Protection against Internal Hazards in the Design of Nuclear Power Plants, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-64, IAEA, Vienna (2021).
- [19] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Deterministic Safety Analysis for Nuclear Power Plants, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-2 (Rev. 1), IAEA, Vienna (2019).
- [20] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Assessment of Equipment Capability to Perform Reliably under Severe Accident Conditions, IAEA-TECDOC-1818, IAEA, Vienna (2017).
- [21] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, INSTITUTE OF ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, Nuclear Facilities — Electrical Equipment Important to Safety — Qualification, IEC/IEEE 60780-323:2016, IEC, Geneva, (2016).
- [22] ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, Plant Support Engineering: Nuclear Power Plant Equipment Qualification Reference Manual, EPRI TR 1021067 (Rev.1), Palo Alto, CA (2010).
- [23] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Format and Content of the Safety Analysis Report for Nuclear Power Plants, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-61, IAEA, Vienna (2021).
- [24] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Safety Assessment for Research Reactors and Preparation of the Safety Analysis Report, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-20, IAEA, Vienna (2012). (A revision of this publication is in preparation.)
- [25] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Modifications to Nuclear Power Plants, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. NS-G-2.3, IAEA, Vienna (2001). (A revision of this publication is in preparation.)

[26] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Safety in the Utilization and Modification of Research Reactors, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-24, IAEA, Vienna (2012). (A revision of this publication is in preparation.)

Annex

INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS RELATING TO EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

A-1. Requirement 9 of IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1), Safety of Nuclear Power Plants: Design [A-1] states that "Items important to safety for a nuclear power plant shall be designed in accordance with the relevant national and international codes and standards."

A–2. A large number of national and international standards exist that establish detailed criteria, methods, processes and practices concerning design methodologies and system characteristics that support compliance with the requirements established in SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1) [A–1]. It is expected that designers, operating organizations and regulatory bodies will take advantage of such design standards.

A–3. Two organizations are responsible for most of the internationally used standards for instrumentation and control systems in nuclear installations: the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Subcommittee 45A and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Nuclear Power Engineering Committee. Each organization has developed a number of design standards that support the common principles underlying the requirements established in SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1) [A–1] and the recommendations provided in this Safety Guide.

A-4. A concerted effort was made to avoid conflicts between the recommendations provided in this Safety Guide and the standards of IEEE and IEC. Members of both the IEC and the IEEE standards committees participated in the development of this Safety Guide, and both standards organizations reviewed drafts to help identify and eliminate conflicts.

A–5. There are important differences between the IEC and the IEEE standards. The IEC standards take the IAEA Safety Requirements publications and Safety Guides as fundamental inputs for their development. As a result, the IEC standards deal with items important to safety and use IAEA recommendations and guidance on instrumentation and control systems as a basis. In contrast, the IEEE standards focus mostly on items important to safety. The IEEE standards can be applied to safety related items (i.e. items important to safety that are not safety systems) using a graded approach.

A-6. Table A-1 lists the IEC and IEEE standards that relate directly to the recommendations provided in this Safety Guide. Table A-1 is not intended to provide a complete list, but it identifies the entry points into the sets of IEC and IEEE standards. Table A-1 also contains a relevant standard issued by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

Standard No.	Standard title	
IEC 60515:2007 [A-2]	Nuclear power plants — Instrumentation Important to Safety — Radiation Detectors — Characteristics and Test Methods	
IEC 60772:2018 [A–3]	Nuclear Power Plants — Instrumentation Systems Important to Safety — Electrical Penetration Assemblies in Containment Structures	
IEC/IEEE 60980-344:2020 [A–4]	Nuclear Facilities — Equipment Important to Safety — Seismic Qualification	
IEC 61513:2011 [A–5]	Nuclear Power Plants — Instrumentation and Control Important to Safety — General Requirements for Systems	
IEC 62003:2020 [A–6]	Nuclear Power Plants — Instrumentation, Control and Electrical Power Systems — Requirements for Electromagnetic Compatibility Testing	
IEC 62342:2007 [A-7]	Nuclear Power Plants — Instrumentation and Control Systems Important to Safety — Management of Ageing	
IEC TR 61000-4-1:2016 [A-8]	Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) — Part 4-1: Testing and Measurement Techniques — Overview of the IEC 61000-4 Series	
IEC 61000-6-1:2016 [A–9]	Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) — Part 6-1: Generic Standards — Immunity Standard for Residential, Commercial and Light-Industrial Environments	
IEC/IEEE 60780-323:2016 [A–10]	Nuclear Facilities — Electrical Equipment Important to Safety — Qualification	
IEEE 308-2020 [A-11]	IEEE Standard Criteria for Class 1E Power Systems for Nuclear Power Generating Stations	

TABLE A–1. INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS RELATING TO EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

TABLE A–1. INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS RELATING TO EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION (cont.)

Standard No.	Standard title	
IEEE 334-2006 [A–12]	IEEE Standard for Qualifying Continuous Duty Class 1E Motors for Nuclear Power Generating Stations	
IEEE 344-2013 [A–13]	IEEE Standard for Seismic Qualification of Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations	
IEEE 382-2019 [A–14]	IEEE Standard for Qualification of Safety-Related Actuators for Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear Facilities	
IEEE 383-2015 [A–15]	IEEE Standard for Qualifying Electric Cables and Splices for Nuclear Facilities	
IEEE 420-2013 [A–16]	IEEE Standard for the Design and Qualification of Class 1E Control Boards, Panels, and Racks Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations	
IEEE 535-2013 [A–17]	IEEE Standard for Qualification of Class 1E Vented Lead Acid Storage Batteries for Nuclear Power Generating Stations	
IEEE 572-2019 [A–18]	IEEE Standard for Qualification of Class 1E Connection Assemblies for Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear Facilities	
IEEE 603-2018 [A–19]	IEEE Standard Criteria for Safety Systems for Nuclear Power Generating Stations	
IEEE 627-2019 [A–20]	IEEE Standard for Qualification of Equipment Used in Nuclear Facilities	
IEEE 649-2006 [A–21]	IEEE Standard for Qualifying Class 1E Motor Control Centers for Nuclear Power Generating Stations	
IEEE 1205-2014 [A–22]	IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring, and Mitigating Aging Effects on Electrical Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear Facilities	
IEEE 1682-2011 [A–23]	IEEE Standard for Qualifying Fiber Optic Cables, Connections, and Optical Fiber Splices for Use in Safety Systems in Nuclear Power Generating Stations	

TABLE A–1. INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS RELATING TO EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION (cont.)

Standard No. Standard title ASME QME-1-2017 Qualification of Active Mechanical Equipment Used in Nuclear Facilities

REFERENCES TO THE ANNEX

- [A-1] INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Safety of Nuclear Power Plants: Design, IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-2/1 (Rev. 1), IAEA, Vienna (2016).
- [A-2] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, Nuclear Power Plants — Instrumentation Important to Safety — Radiation Detectors — Characteristics and Test Methods, IEC 60515:2007, IEC, Geneva (2007).
- [A-3] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, Nuclear Power Plants — Instrumentation Systems Important to Safety — Electrical Penetration Assemblies in Containment Structures, IEC 60772:2018, IEC, Geneva (2018).
- [A-4] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, Nuclear Facilities — Electrical Equipment Important to Safety — Seismic Qualification, IEC/IEEE 60980-344:2020, IEC, Geneva (2020).
- [A-5] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, Nuclear Power Plants — Instrumentation and Control Important to Safety — General Requirements for Systems, IEC 61513:2011, IEC, Geneva (2011).
- [A-6] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, Nuclear Power Plants — Instrumentation, Control and Electrical Power Systems — Requirements for Electromagnetic Compatibility Testing, IEC 62003:2020, IEC, Geneva (2020).
- [A-7] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, Nuclear Power Plants — Instrumentation and Control Systems Important to Safety — Management of Ageing, IEC 62342:2007, IEC, Geneva (2007).
- [A–8] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) — Part 4-1: Testing and Measurement Techniques — Overview of IEC 61000-4 Series, IEC TR 61000-4-1:2016, IEC, Geneva (2016).
- [A-9] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) — Part 6-1: Generic Standards — Immunity Standard for Residential, Commercial and Light-Industrial Environments, IEC 61000-6-1:2016, IEC, Geneva (2016).
- [A-10] INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION, INSTITUTE OF ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, Nuclear Facilities — Electrical Equipment Important to Safety — Qualification, IEC/IEEE 60780-323:2016, IEC, Geneva (2016).

- [A-11] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard Criteria for Class 1E Power Systems for Nuclear Power Generating Stations, IEEE 308-2020, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2020).
- [A-12] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard for Qualifying Continuous Duty Class 1E Motors for Nuclear Power Generating Stations, IEEE 334-2006, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2006).
- [A-13] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard for Seismic Qualification of Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations, IEEE 344-2013, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2013).
- [A–14] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard for Qualification of Safety-Related Actuators for Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear Facilities, IEEE 382-2019, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2019).
- [A–15] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard for Qualifying Electric Cables and Splices for Nuclear Facilities, IEEE 383-2015, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2015).
- [A-16] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard for the Design and Qualification of Class 1E Control Boards, Panels, and Racks Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations, IEEE 420-2013, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2013).
- [A-17] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard for Qualification of Class 1E Vented Lead Acid Storage Batteries for Nuclear Power Generating Stations, IEEE 535-2013, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2013).
- [A–18] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard for Qualification of Class 1E Connection Assemblies for Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear Facilities, IEEE 572-2019, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2019).
- [A–19] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard Criteria for Safety Systems for Nuclear Power Generating Stations, IEEE 603-2018, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2018).
- [A-20] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard for Qualification of Equipment Used in Nuclear Facilities, IEEE 627-2019, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2019).
- [A–21] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard for Qualifying Class 1E Motor Control Centers for Nuclear Power Generating Stations, IEEE 649-2006, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2006).
- [A-22] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring, and Mitigating Aging Effects on Electrical Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear Facilities, IEEE 1205-2014, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ (2014).
- [A-23] INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, IEEE Standard for Qualifying Fiber Optic Cables, Connections, and Optical Fiber Splices for Use in Safety Systems in Nuclear Power Generating Stations, IEEE 1682-2011, Piscataway, NJ (2011).

[A-24] THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS, Qualification of Active Mechanical Equipment Used in Nuclear Facilities, ASME QME-1-2017, ASME, NY (2017).

DEFINITIONS

The following definitions apply for the purposes of this Safety Guide. Further definitions are provided in the IAEA Safety Glossary: Terminology Used in Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection: 2018 Edition:

https://www.iaea.org/publications/11098/iaea-safety-glossary-2018-edition

accelerated ageing. A method of equipment testing in which the ageing associated with longer term service conditions is simulated in a short time. Usually, accelerated ageing attempts to simulate natural ageing effects by application of stressors representing pre-service and service conditions, but with differences in intensity, duration and the manner of application.

ageing mechanism. A process that gradually changes the characteristics of a structure, system or component over time or with use (e.g. curing, wear, fatigue, creep, erosion, microbiological fouling, corrosion, embrittlement, chemical decomposition).

condition monitoring. Activities performed to assess the functional capability of equipment by measuring and tracking the condition of the equipment.

harsh environment. Environmental conditions that are significantly more severe than the conditions anticipated for operational states.

mild environment. Environmental conditions that would at no time be significantly more severe than the conditions anticipated for operational states.

mission time. The length of time for which equipment is intended to perform its intended function in accident conditions.

significant ageing mechanism. An ageing mechanism that under normal and abnormal service conditions causes degradation of equipment that makes the equipment vulnerable to failure to perform its safety function in accident conditions.

specified service conditions. Physical conditions and stressors to which the equipment is subjected during its service life. This includes normal operating conditions, process conditions, abnormal operating conditions, conditions during and following a design basis accident and design extension conditions.

CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW

Arita, S.	Hitachi-GE Nuclear Energy, Ltd., Japan
Bailey, M.	Sizewell B, United Kingdom
Bravo, J.L.	Tecnatom, Spain
Brossier, H.A.	Électricité de France, France
Duchac, A.	International Atomic Energy Agency
Gilbert, L.	Bruce Power, Canada
Gonzalez Nieto, J.J.	Tecnatom, Spain
Kataoka, K.	Nuclear Regulation Authority, Japan
Krivanek, R.	International Atomic Energy Agency
Pepper, K.	Office for Nuclear Regulation, United Kingdom
Petofi, G.	International Atomic Energy Agency
Placek, V.	Nuclear Research Institute Řež, Czech Republic
Rahn, D.	Nuclear Regulatory Commission, United States of America
Rovny, K.	Plus Energia, Slovakia
Shim, S.	International Atomic Energy Agency
Svensson, B.	Ringhals nuclear power plant, Sweden
Synak, D.	Slovenské elektrárne, Slovakia
Tao, Ge	China National Nuclear Power Company, China
Vucetic, J.	Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission, Canada
Waber, P.	Framatome, Germany



ORDERING LOCALLY

IAEA priced publications may be purchased from the sources listed below or from major local booksellers.

Orders for unpriced publications should be made directly to the IAEA. The contact details are given at the end of this list.

NORTH AMERICA

Bernan / Rowman & Littlefield

15250 NBN Way, Blue Ridge Summit, PA 17214, USA Telephone: +1 800 462 6420 • Fax: +1 800 338 4550 Email: orders@rowman.com • Web site: www.rowman.com/bernan

REST OF WORLD

Please contact your preferred local supplier, or our lead distributor:

Eurospan Group

Gray's Inn House 127 Clerkenwell Road London EC1R 5DB United Kingdom

Trade orders and enquiries:

Telephone: +44 (0)176 760 4972 • Fax: +44 (0)176 760 1640 Email: eurospan@turpin-distribution.com

Individual orders: www.eurospanbookstore.com/iaea

For further information:

Telephone: +44 (0)207 240 0856 • Fax: +44 (0)207 379 0609 Email: info@eurospangroup.com • Web site: www.eurospangroup.com

Orders for both priced and unpriced publications may be addressed directly to:

Marketing and Sales Unit International Atomic Energy Agency Vienna International Centre, PO Box 100, 1400 Vienna, Austria Telephone: +43 1 2600 22529 or 22530 • Fax: +43 1 26007 22529 Email: sales.publications@iaea.org • Web site: www.iaea.org/publications


FUNDAMENTAL SAFETY PRINCIPLES IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SF-1 STI/PUB/1273 (21 pp.; 2006)	
ISBN 92-0-110706-4	Price: €25.00
GOVERNMENTAL, LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR SAFETY	
IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 1 (Rev. 1) STI/PUB/1713 (42 pp.; 2016) ISBN 978–92–0–108815–4	Price: €48.00
LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT FOR SAFETY IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 2 STI/PUB/1750 (26 pp.; 2016) ISBN 978–92–0–104516–4	Price: €30.00
RADIATION PROTECTION AND SAFETY OF RADIATION SOURCES:	
INTERNATIONAL BASIC SAFETY STANDARDS IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 3 STI/PUB/1578 (436 pp.; 2014)	
ISBN 978–92–0–135310–8	Price: €68.00
SAFETY ASSESSMENT FOR FACILITIES AND ACTIVITIES IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 4 (Rev. 1) STI/PUB/1714 (38 pp.; 2016) ISBN 978–92–0–109115–4	Price: €49.00
PREDISPOSAL MANAGEMENT OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE	
IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 5 STI/PUB/1368 (38 pp.; 2009) ISBN 978–92–0–111508–9	Price: €45.00
DECOMMISSIONING OF FACILITIES IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 6	
STI/PUB/1652 (23 pp.; 2014) ISBN 978–92–0–102614–9	Price: €25.00
PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE FOR A NUCLEAR OR RADIOLOGICAL EMERGENCY IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 7	
STI/PUB/1708 (102 pp.; 2015) ISBN 978–92–0–105715–0	Price: €45.00
REGULATIONS FOR THE SAFE TRANSPORT OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL, 2018 EDITION	
IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSR-6 (Rev. 1) STI/PUB/1798 (165 pp : 2018)	
ISBN 978–92–0–107917–6	Price: €49.00

Safety through international standards

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY VIENNA