

# MUTATION BREEDING

R

Joint FAO/IAEA Division  
of Nuclear Techniques  
in Food and Agriculture  
and FAO/IAEA Agriculture and  
Biotechnology Laboratory, Seibersdorf  
International Atomic Energy Agency  
Vienna



CONTENTS



No. 11

December 1999

## OILSEED CULTIVARS DEVELOPED FROM INDUCED MUTATIONS AND MUTATIONS ALTERING FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

C.R. BHATIA, K. NICHTERLEIN and M. MALUSZYNSKI

Plant Breeding and Genetics Section  
Joint FAO-IAEA Division  
International Atomic Energy Agency  
P. O. Box 100, A-1400, Vienna, Austria

### ABSTRACT

One hundred and sixty-three cultivars of annual oilseed crops, developed using induced mutations, have been officially approved and released for cultivation in 26 countries. The maximum number of cultivars have been released in soybean (58), followed by groundnut (44), sesame (16), linseed (15), rapeseed (14), Indian mustard (8), castorbean (4), white mustard (3) and sunflower (1). The majority (118 of 163) of the cultivars have been developed as direct mutants and 45 of 163 by using the induced mutants in a crossing programme. While in soybean 53 out of 58 cultivars were selected as direct mutants, in groundnut 22 from 44 were developed after hybridization. Eighty-three cultivars were developed directly by exposing seeds to gamma or X-rays. Attempts have been made to infer the successful dose range, defined as the range which led to the development, registration and release of the maximum number of mutant cultivars for gamma and X-rays. The successful dose ranges in Gy for the main oilseed crops are: soybean 100-200, groundnut 150-250, rapeseed 600-800, Indian mustard 700 and sesame 100-200. The main characteristics of the new cultivars, besides higher yield, are altered plant type, early flowering and maturity and oil content. Mutants altering fatty acid composition have been isolated in soybean, rapeseed, sunflower, linseed and minor oil crops. New cultivars having altered fatty acid composition have been released in rapeseed, sunflower and linseed. The latter, previously grown for non-edible oil, has been converted to a new edible oil crop.

## INTRODUCTION

Oilseed crops, grown all over the world, are an important source of edible and non-edible oils and fatty acids [61]. Edible oils constitute an important component of the human diet. They provide a concentrated source of energy and serve as substrate for the biosynthesis of other molecules. The addition of vegetable oils, or their use as a cooking medium, makes other foods more palatable and tasty. There are strong regional preferences for the use of vegetable oils in food. Non-edible oils have been traditionally used to light lamps and as lubricants. In industry they are used for manufacturing soaps, cosmetics, paints, varnishes and coatings [30]. The use of vegetable oils for lighting and as lubricants declined with the availability of cheaper petroleum products. However, after the “oil crisis” of the seventies, there was considerable interest to develop vegetable oils as a renewable source of hydrocarbons and as “Biofuels” [42; 1]. The use of vegetable oils, as a renewable resource of starting material for industrial production, is being explored for agricultural diversification in food surplus regions. Soybean, cotton seed, sunflower, rapeseed and sesame are the major annual crops providing edible oils, while castorbean and linseed oil are the source of non-edible oils. Cotton is mainly grown for its fibre and is not included under oilseed crops. Coconut, oilpalm and olive are the perennial sources of edible oils. Polyploidy and mutations have played a significant role in the evolution of oilseed crops. In recent years, induced mutations have been extensively used for genetic enhancement of the annual oilseed crops, and specially to modify the fatty acid composition of *Brassica* species, sunflower, linseed and soybean [35]. The role of mutation breeding for quality improvement of oilseed crops was reviewed by [60]. Current information, on oilseed crop cultivars developed by using induced mutations, as well as mutants with significant changes in the fatty acid composition, is summarised in this review.

## METHODOLOGY

The methodology followed is essentially similar to that developed by Kamra (personal communication, 1997) for the cereals data base. The data included is based on the information appearing in the Mutation Breeding Newsletter Vol. 1 (May 1972) to Vol. 43 (October 1997) and in the records of the Plant Breeding and Genetics Section of the Joint FAO/IAEA Division.

Successful dose can be defined as the dose which led to the selection of mutants which will be directly released as mutant varieties. However, considering all the variables, it is advantageous to present a dose range which led to successful development of a maximum number of new cultivars. The potential sources of error are:

- Insufficient or incorrect information provided by the breeders responsible for developing the new cultivars
- Incorrect measurement of the radiation dose.

The dose in chemical mutagen treatments is much more complex. It is expressed as mutagen concentration x time (duration of soaking). Temperature, pH, post-treatment washing and soaking alter the actual mutagen concentration. Most breeders just mention the mutagen concentration without stating the duration of treatment. Hence, with the available information it is not possible to draw any conclusions regarding the successful dose.

## **OILSEED CULTIVARS DEVELOPED WITH THE HELP OF INDUCED MUTATIONS**

Oilseed cultivars developed with the help of induced mutations are listed in Table 1. Crops and countries are in alphabetical order, while within a country the listing is based on the year of approval in an ascending order. A total of 163 cultivars have been released in 26 countries listed in Table 2. Data on the number of cultivars in each crop is summarised in Table 3. Maximum number of cultivars have been released in soybean (58), followed by groundnut (43), sesame (16), and linseed (15), rapeseed (14), Indian mustard (8), castorbean (4), white mustard (3) and sunflower (1). A number of medium and high oleic acid content hybrids have been developed by the seed companies in sunflower, however, the source of the trait could not be ascertained in the available information.

## **MUTAGENS USED TO PRODUCE NEW CULTIVARS**

Mutagens used for the development of new cultivars are given in Table 3. Ninety-five cultivars have been developed using radiations, 45 using mutants in hybridization and 20 with chemical mutagen treatments. Considerable variation with respect to the use of induced mutations in the development of new cultivars is seen. In soybean 91% (53/58) of the cultivars have been developed as direct mutants and only five by using the mutants in crossing. In groundnut 50% (22/44) of the cultivars have been developed as direct mutants and the other half after using the mutants in crossing. In sesame, rapeseed and Indian mustard a majority of the new cultivars have originated as direct mutants. In linseed ten cultivars have been released utilizing two mutants that increase the linoleic acid content by decreasing the linolenic content of the oil. Gamma and x-ray treatment of seeds led to the development of 83/163 cultivars.

## **SUCCESSFUL DOSE RANGE OF GAMMA/X-RAYS**

Successful dose can be defined as the dose which led to the selection of mutant which will be directly released as a new mutant cultivar. Successful doses for gamma and X-rays are listed in Table 4. The number of cultivars resulting from the dose in Gy are given in brackets. The successful dose range shows a wide variation for all crops. Successful dose ranges which can be used in future mutation experiments aiming at the development of new cultivars, are given. In soybean where 38 cultivars have been developed as direct mutants, following gamma or x-ray treatments, exposures between 100 - 200 Gy resulted in 18 cultivars. In groundnut exposure between 150 - 250 Gy resulted in 11 cultivars. Both rapeseed and Indian mustard require higher doses close to 700 Gy.

## **CHARACTERS MODIFIED IN MUTANT CULTIVARS**

The most frequent and other characters of the new cultivars are listed in Table 5. The most common improved traits of the new cvs, after higher yield, are altered plant type, early flowering/maturity and oil content. Mutations affecting fatty acid composition have been utilised for the development of new cultivars in rapeseed, linseed and sunflower. A number of other traits have also been altered in the mutant cultivars. These include increased resistance/tolerance to diseases and insect pests, pod shattering and seed coat colour. Low

glucosinolates, low erucic acid and low linolenic acid have contributed to the development of “Canola” type rapeseed [60]. In linseed, fatty acid mutants have been utilised to develop “Linola” cultivars that yield edible oil suitable for human consumption with 65 to 76% of linoleic acid. The fatty acid composition of “Linola” oil is reported to be similar to the premium polyunsaturated oils such as sunflower, safflower and maize [8; 9]

## MUTATIONS ALTERING FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

The first induced mutants altering fatty acid composition were reported by Rakow [53]. Mutants with reduced or increased levels of linolenic acid were isolated in rapeseed. This and subsequent research on induction of mutations for fatty acid composition were discussed by Röbbelen [60]. The role of induced mutagenesis in modification of the fatty acid composition has been reviewed recently by Velasco *et al.* [76]. A large number of mutants with altered fatty acid composition have been isolated in soybean (Table 6), sunflower (Table 7), *Brassica* species (Table 8), linseed/flax (Table 9), and in minor oil crops (Table 10). Several such mutant lines have been registered as germplasm. Induced mutants altering the fatty acid composition have been extensively used in the breeding programmes to develop new cultivars. However, it was not always possible to ascertain the source of the altered fatty acid character in the new cultivars or hybrids, especially those developed by the seed industry, and trace it back to the original mutant.

TABLE 1. Cultivars developed with the help of induced mutations in different oilseed crops

Name of new variety	Country and year of release	Mutagenic treatment or cross with <u>mutant</u>	Main improved attributes of the variety	Reference MBNL
<i>Arachis hypogaea L. (groundnut)</i>				
Virginia No. 3	Argentina 1979	Gamma rays	Large pods; higher oil yield	30:20
Colorado Irradiado	Argentina 1972	X-rays, 200 Gy	Higher yield; higher oil content;	7:13
Virginia No.5	Argentina 1980	Selected from segregating material from Florida	better resistance to disease	15: 9
Yueyou No.22	China 1968	Cross: (Fushi x Fuhuasheng) Fushi is Beta ray induced mutant	Dwarf plant type; higher pod number and yield	19: 2
Yueyou No.33	China 1971	Selection from <u>Yueyou 22</u>	Higher yield	37:20
Changua No.4	China 1972	Gamma rays, 150 Gy recurrent irradiation	Early flowering; cold and drought tolerant; ‘dense’ pods	27:19
Yueyou 551	China 1972	Cross: <u>Yueyou 22</u> x Yueyou 431	Dwarf type; larger number of pods, higher yield	25: 9
Yueyou 551-38	China 1975	Selection from <u>Yueyou 551</u>	Higher yield	37:21
Yueyou 551-116	China 1975	Selection from <u>Yueyou 551</u>	Higher yield; 6.6 to 12.8 % over Yueyou 551	37:21
Yueyou 551-6	China 1975	Selection from <u>Yueyou 551</u>	Higher yield; large pods;	37:21
Yangxuan 1	China 1978	Cross: Yueyou 1 x <u>Yueyou 551</u> na		37:20
Yuxexuan 58	China 1978	Selection from <u>Yueyou 551</u>	Higher yield, 8.5% over Yueyou 551	37:21
Yueyou 169	China 1980	Cross: <u>Yueyou 551-6</u> x 76/30	Luxurious growth; large and thick dark leaves, large pods; 5.6% higher yield over Yueyou	37:21
Yueyou 187	China 1981	Cross: (Yueyou 1 x <u>Yangxuan 1</u> ) x <u>Yueyou 551</u>	Relatively tall; more flowers; large pods; 9-10% higher yield over Yueyou 551	37:21

Yueyou 187-93	China 1982	Cross: (Yueyou 1 x Yangxuan 1) x <u>Yueyou 551</u>	Relatively tall; more flowers and large pods; 9-10%, higher yield over Yueyou 551	37:21
Lainong Shanyou 27	China 1984 China 1985	Laser, seed Cross: <u>Yuexuan 58</u> x Yueyou 320-14	Early maturity, higher yield Uniform emergence, many branches; thin shell, rust resistance; 10% higher yield over Yueyou 551-116	37:19 37:20
Xianghua No. 1 Fu 22	China 1985 China 1985	Cross: <u>Yueyou 551</u> x <u>Furong</u> Gamma rays	Early maturity, yield Resistance to <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> causing aflatoxin development	41:24 37:19
Fu 21	China 1985	Gamma rays, 200 Gy	Short stem; more branches; higher yield; better resistance to bacterial wilt	29:20*
P-12	China 1986	Cross: (Changda 6 x <u>ma 143</u> ) x Baisa 1016	Higher yield, reduced plant height, greater peg strength	37:20
Luhua 6	China 1986	Gamma rays, 240 Gy	13.6% higher yield; and 10 days earlier than parent variety	34:26
Luhua No. 7	China 1986	Gamma rays	Improved lodging, water logging and vertical plant type; large pods	32:19
78961 Ganhua No. 1 8130	China 1988 China 1990 China, 1993	Cross: <u>ma143</u> x <u>RH77-4-2</u> Gamma rays, 200 Gy Gamma rays 250 Gy, Cross: (Luhua 4 RP1) x RP1	shattering resistance; medium maturity; Early maturity; reduced plant height Early; higher yield	37:19 41:23
Luhua 13 Luhua 15	China 1994 China 1997	Cross: involving <u>Luhua 6</u> Gamma rays; 250 Gy Cross (7896 x Runner) x irradiated Runner M1	High yield; good flavour; export quality	44:
Huaya 16	China, 1999	Gamma rays, 250 Gy	High yield; seed shape; leaf colour. High yield; maturity, improved seed quality	44:
MH-2	India 1973	na	Higher yield and shelling percent, wider adaptability	44: 37:20
TG 3 TG 1 (Vikram)	India 1973 India 1973	X-rays, 150 Gy X-rays, 150 Gy	<i>Cercospora personata</i> Higher yield; more pods per plant Large pods and kernels; suitable for HPS export	3:11 3:11

TG 14	India 1976	Inter mutant cross	Uniform maturity; higher yield under irrigation	12:15
TG 17	India 1977	Inter mutant cross	Higher yield; short plants; no secondary branches	12:15
BP-2	India 1979	Gamma rays, 450 Gy	Higher yield; semi-erect; gigas type; large kernels	32:19
BP-1	India 1979	Gamma rays, 450 Gy	Higher yield; semi-erect; large kernels	32:19
Co-2	India 1985	EMS, 0.2%	Higher yield; and shelling percentage; resistance to Tikka disease	26:12
TAG 24	India 1989	Cross: (TG 18A x M-13) x (line x TG-9)	Higher yield; resistance to bud necrosis	41:24
Somnath	India 1989	Cross: TG 18A x M-13	Early; large kernel size; higher oil content	41:24
TG 26	India 1996	Cross involving mutant derivatives	Semi-dwarf plant type; early maturity; higher HI; seed dormancy; field tolerance to major diseases	43:25
Sim Padetha ANK-G1(Tissa) N.C. 4-X	Myanmar 1982 Sri Lanka 1995 USA 1959	Gamma rays, 400 Gy Gamma rays, 200 Gy X-rays	10-15 days early Higher yield; low input requirements	20:16 43:39
B 5000	Vietnam 1985	Gamma rays, 50Gy	High yield; tough hulls resists damage 3:7 during harvest and transport; good quality Vigorous growth; early maturity; higher shelling percentage; white testa	3:7 31: 9
<b><i>Brassica juncea oleifera</i> (Indian mustard)</b>				
Shambal (BAU-M/248)	Bangladesh, 1984	EMS 0.64%	13.6% higher yield, 10 days early	34:27
Agrani	Bangladesh, 1991	Gamma rays 700 Gy	Earliness, blight resistance	42:26
Safal	Bangladesh, 1991	Gamma rays 700 Gy	Yield, oil content, blight resistance	42:27
RLM 198	India, 1975	Radiation	Increased yield and oil content	7:13
RLM 514	India, 1980	Gamma rays	Yield, large seed size, early maturity, high oil content, shattering resistance	17:13

RL 1359	India, 1987	Cross: <u>RLM 514</u> x Varuna	Short duration, high yield, large seed size erect plant type, relatively more tolerant to aphids	31:11
TM-2	India, 1978	X-rays 750 Gy	High yield, pod architecture	43:39
TM-4	India, 1978	Cross: Varuna x <u>TM-1</u>	Seed coat colour, high yield and oil %	43:39
<b><i>Brassica</i> sp. (white mustard)</b>				
Svalof's Primex	Sweden, 1950	X-rays 350 Gy	Higher seed yield and oil content	IAEA, 1974
Seco	Sweden, 1961	Cross: <u>Primex</u> x Rumanian white mustard	Yield, crude fat, early maturity, stalk stiffness, resistant to shattering	6:14
Trico	Sweden, 1967	Selection from <u>Primex</u>	Increased seed yield and oil content over <u>Primex</u>	6:14
<b><i>Brassica napus</i> (rapeseed)</b>				
Binasharisha-3	Bangladesh, 1997	Gamma rays 800 Gy	Early maturity, high seed and oil yield	44:
Binasharisha-4	Bangladesh, 1997	Gamma rays 700 Gy	Early maturity, high seed and oil yield	44:
Stellar	Canada, 1987	Cross: ( <u>Mil</u> x Regent) x Regent	Linolenic acid 3%, linoleic acid 28%, low erucic acid, and low glucosinolates	33:22
Apollo	Canada, 1993	Mil = EMS induced mutant of Oro	Linolenic acid content 1.7%, shorter hydrogenation time, greater stability higher yield over Stellar	CJPS, 75:203
Ganyou No. 5	China, 1984	Gamma rays, 1400 Gy	Short plant height, longer inflorescence, and siliqua, larger siliqua diameter	32:20
Huyou No. 4	China, 1970	Gamma rays, 600 Gy	growth period reduced by 10-20 days, resistance to white rust and <i>Sclerotinia</i> Cold tolerant, disease resistance, higher and stable yield	27:19

Xinyou No. 1	China, 1979	Gamma rays 700 Gy	Good seedling growth, tight stature tolerant to cold, drought, salinity and alkaline soils, good quality	27:19
Xiyou No. 1	China, 1979	Gamma rays 80 Gy, F <sub>1</sub> seed	Early maturity, disease and cold resistance suitable for rice-rice-rape	32:20
Hua-Yellow No. 1	China	Gamma rays	multiple cropping	41:25
Abasin 95	Pakistan, 1996	Gamma rays 1400 Gy	Viability	44:
Regina varraps	Sweden 1953	X-rays, 350 Gy	Early flowering	IAEA 1974
elite A	Sweden 1962	X-rays, 450 Gy	Higher seed yield and percent oil	
Regina varraps	USSR, 1989	DMS 0.0006%	Higher seed yield and percent oil	IAEA 1974
elite F	USSR, 1990	MNH 0.0025%	Oil content, disease resistance	41:25
Tismenitskii			Oil content, insect resistance	41:25
Ivana				
 <i>Glycine max L. (soybean)</i>				
Cerag No. 1	Algeria 1979	Gamma rays, 300 Gy	Mutant selected in 1974 in Romania by I. Nicolae; early; resistant to spring cold; very productive; drought resistant; short plant type; white flowers; yellow seeds	14:11
Nitrobean-60	Australia 1995	EMS 1%, 6 h	Hyper nodulation; nitrogen carry over; nitrate tolerant nodulation	43:44
Boriana	Bulgaria 1981	Gamma rays 100 Gy + 1% EMS for 4 h	Maturing in 105-110 d; 30 d earlier; and 6% higher yield than parent cv Beeson; 5% higher protein	23:18
Zarya	Bulgaria 1984	Gamma rays 80 Gy	Early; high yield and protein content	32:23
Bisser	Bulgaria 1984	Gamma rays 100 Gy + EMS 0.1%	High yield, and protein content; early; stem resistant to lodging	31:20
Tainung No. 1	China(Taiwan) 1962	Thermal neutrons	Vigorous variety with less pod shedding tendency; long branches; higher yield	3:34

Tainung No. 2	China(Taiwan) 1962	X-rays	Vigorous variety with less pod shedding tendency; short internodes; large seeds; adaptable to acid or alkaline soils	3:34
Heinoun No. 4	China 1967	Gamma rays 100 Gy	Compact; branched type	25:10
Heinoun No. 5	China 1967	Gamma rays 100 Gy	Good root system; short internodes, larger number of branches and pods	25:10
Heinoun No. 7	China 1967	Gamma rays; 100Gy	Higher branch number; short internodes; drought tolerance; wide adaptability	25:10
Heinoun No. 8	China 1967	Gamma rays, 100 Gy	10 days earlier than the parent cv. Dongmoun No.4; humidity tolerance	25:10
Heinoun No. 6 Fengshou No. 11	China 1967 China 1970	Seeds, Gamma rays, 100 Gy Gamma rays, 140 Gy	Tall plants; tolerance to drought Matures 30 days earlier than parent; strong stem; resistance to lodging; large number of branches	27:20 27:20
Heinoun No. 16	China 1970	Gamma rays, 100 Gy	Large number of branches; short internodes tolerance to drought; wide adaptability	25:11
Tiefeng 18	China 1970	Gamma rays, 120 Gy	Suitable for high fertility soils; lodging resistance higher yield; good quality	25:11
Heinong No. 26	China 1975	Cross: <u>HAR 63-2294</u> x Xiaoqiujuang No.1	Good stature; tolerant to cold, drought, and water logging; good quality	25:11
Mushi No. 6	China 1980	Gamma rays, F <sub>2</sub> (Fengshou No.10 x Jilin No.3)	Early maturity; lodging resistance; strong plants higher yield; better quality	25:11
Liadou No. 3	China 1983	Gamma rays, ( <u>6405</u> x Amuson)	Early maturity; strong stem; resistant to lodging; virus and <i>Sclerotophthora macrospora</i> ; tolerant to water logging	27:20
Wei 7610-13	China 1983	Gamma rays and fast neutrons	Maturity 6 d earlier; resistant to sporotrichosis; 20% increase in yield	32:23
Heinong 28	China 1986	Seeds, thermal neutrons, $5 \times 10^{11} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (Heinong No. 16 x Zyuushoo Nagaka)	Growth period 121 d; strong stem; higher yield; good quality; protein 38.7%; fat 21.3%	30:21

Heilong 32	China 1987	Thermal neutrons, $5 \times 10^{11}$ thn/cm <sup>2</sup> , dry seeds (F <sub>4</sub> of Har 70-5072 x Har No. 53)	High yield; resistant to virus; drought tolerant; good adaptability; 22.8% fat; 40.7% protein	32:22
Heilong 31	China 1987	Thermal neutrons $5 \times 10^{11}$ thn/cm <sup>2</sup> , F <sub>4</sub> (Har 70-5072 x Har No. 53)	Tolerant to diseases and drought; high yield; growing period 119 d; TGW 180 g; 41.4% protein; 23.2% fat.	32:22
Heinong 34	China 1988	Cross: Heinong 16 x Tokachinogaha	High yield, high protein	44:
Liaonong 1	China 1988	Gamma rays, 200 Gy; F <sub>2</sub> seeds 7-10 days earlier; 10% higher yield than check cv. "Heihe 3"		34:29
Fengdou	China 1988	Gamma rays; 200 Gy; F <sub>2</sub> (Qunxuan 1 x Qun Ying Dou) x Tiefeng 9]	110-120 d till maturity	34:29
Heinong 35	China 1990	Cross: Hei Nong 16	High yield, high protein content	44:
Sui Nong 12	China 1996	Gamma rays, 120 Gy	Increased yield	44:
Heinong 41	China 1997	Cross: <u>mutant</u> x <u>mutant</u>	Increased yield, large seed size, tolerance to alkaline soils	44:
Aida Dorado	CSFR 1984 GDR 1988	Seeds, EMS Seeds, NMH 1mM	Matures 10 days earlier than Dunajka (140 days)	26:13
Noventa Muria	Hungary 1989 Indonesia 1987	Gamma rays, 100-300 Gy Gamma rays, 200 Gy	Higher grain yield; longer stem; higher insertion of the lowest pod	34:29
Tidar	Indonesia 1987	Selection from gamma ray induced mutant G-2120 from AVRDC	Extremely early High yield; short stature; better lodging resistance	41: 8 35:35
Tenger Raiden	Indonesia 1991 Japan 1966	Gamma rays, 200 Gy Gamma rays, 100 Gy	Early maturity (7 d); high yield; yellowish green seed coat colour	42:27 6: 8
Raiko	Japan 1969	Gamma rays, 10 Gy	nematode resistance of the parent cv Early maturity; short stem; resists lodging; IAEA 1986 higher yield; maintains nematode resistance of the parent cv Nemashirau	

Nambushirome	Japan 1977	<u>Raiden</u> x Kitaminagaha					
Wase-suzunari	Japan 1983	Gamma rays, 100 Gy	Intermediate maturity; long leaves; high yield; resistant to cyst nematode	12:13			
Kosuzu	Japan 1986	Gamma rays, 100 Gy	Very early; maintaining good quality and high yield of parent cv Okushirome	32:23			
Ryokusui KEX-2	Japan 1990 Rep. of Korea 1973	Gamma rays, 200 Gy X-rays, 240 Gy	Early; lodging resistance; maintaining most of the other characters of parent	32:22			
Bangsa-Kong	Rep. of Korea 1985	Seeds, X-rays, 250 Gy	cv Natto-Kotubu	42:27			
Doi Kham	Thailand 1986	Gamma rays, 300 Gy	Lateness; eating quality	4:14			
TAEK C10 TAEK A3 Universal 1	Turkey 1994 Turkey 1994 USSR 1965	Gamma rays, 100 Gy Gamma rays, 200 Gy Gamma rays	Early maturity; 11 days early; higher yield (16%); large seed size	26:13			
Chudo Gruzil 7 Diokuriye Kartuli 7 Mutant 2 Arkadiya Odes Luchezarnaya Paripatskaya	USSR 1974 USSR 1980? USSR 1980? USSR 1980? USSR 1986 USSR 1990 USSR 1991	Gamma rays Gamma rays Gamma rays Gamma rays DMS, 1mM, seeds MNH ENH, 0.125%	High yield due to large number of pods; smaller seed size for good soybean sprout; resistant to soybean virus N <i>pachyrhizii</i> ; 15% higher yield than the parent SJ4 in rainy season with rust incidence; larger seed size	33:24			
Mageva (Lastochka-out) DT 83	USSR 1991 Vietnam 1987	Chemical mutagen EI, 0.04%	Oil content; earliness; yield Yield; protein; pod position Lodging resistance; can be used as grain crop or as green fodder. Surpasses initial variety by 500 kg/ha in grain yield	43:45 43:45 19:14			
			na	37:25			
			na	37:25			
			na	37:25			
			na	37:25			
			Early ripening; good for rainfed conditions	31:20			
			Earliness	40:13			
			Disease resistance - bacteriosis, ascochyrosis and peroniosis	40:13			
			Earlyness; disease resistance Seed colour; yield	40:13 43:44			

DT 90	Vietnam 1993	Gamma rays, 180 Gy $F_1$ from (G7002 x Cocchum)	Yield; protein content	43:44
DT 84	Vietnam 1994	Gamma rays, 180 Gy $F_1$ from(DT-80 x DH-4)	Yield; cold tolerance	43:44
S-31	Vietnam 1995	Gamma rays, 180 Gy +EI 0.04%	Yield; cold tolerance	43:44

### *Helianthus annuus L. (sunflower)*

Pervenets	USSR, 1977	DMS	High oil content, altered fatty acid composition	13:20
-----------	------------	-----	--	-------

### *Linum usitatissimum L. (flax, linseed)*

Wallaga	Australia, 1992	Chemical mutagen treatment: two mutants with reduced linolenic acid crossed to isolate double mutant <u>Zero</u> x Croxton	Linola* type, low linolenic acid, yellow seed coat color	Green and Dribnenki, [19]
Eyre	Australia, 1992	<u>Zero</u> x <u>Glenelg</u>	Linola* type	Green and Dribnenki, [19]
Argyle	Australia, 1994	<u>Zero</u> x	Linola* type	Green and Dribnenki, [19]
Linola 947	Canada, 1993	McGregor/ <u>Zero</u> //CPI 84495/3/ McGregor	Linola* type	Dribnenki and Green, [8]
Linola 989	Canada, 1993	McGregor/ <u>Zero</u> //CPI 84495/3/ McGregor	Linola* type	Dribnenki <i>et al.</i> [9]
Redwood 65 Dufferin	Canada, 1965 Canada, 1979	X-rays Cross: <u>Redwood 65</u> x (4013 x Raja)	Oil content Similar to Redwood 65 with higher oil content and better resistance to <i>Melampsora lini</i>	5:13 18:17
Heiya No. 4	China, 1978	Cross of Gamma ray induced mutant x 6409-640	Early maturity, resistant to lodging tolerant to moisture, salinity and alkalinity	27:20

Ningya No. 10	China, 1982	Gamma rays 100 Gy	29:20
Heiya No. 6	China, 1984	Cross: <u>r7107-2-4</u> x <u>r7005-21-6-7</u> As for Wallaga	32:26
Coniston	UK, 1992	As for Wallaga	32:26
Derwent	UK, 1992	Linola* type	Green and Dribnenci, [19]
Zarya 87	USSR, 1984	Late flowering, high yield	31:26
Baltyuchai	USSR, 1991	Disease and lodging resistance	41:27
M-5	USSR, 1991	Disease and lodging resistance	41:27
<b><i>Ricinus communis L. (castorbean)</i></b>			
Aruna	India, 1969	Thermal neutrons 1400 rad	IAEA 1974
Sowbhagya (157-B)	India, 1976	Cross: Aruna x dwarf mutant of HC-6 x dwarf mutant of HC-6 x Mauthners dwarf	11:17
RC8	India, 1978	Gamma rays, 400 Gy	Growth period longer than Aruna; higher TKW
Khersonskaya 10	USSR, 1981	Chemical mutagen	Oil content, yield
<b><i>Sesamum indicum L.(sesame)</i></b>			
Ningya No. 10	China, 1982	Gamma rays 100 Gy	27:24
Cairo White 8	Egypt, 1992	Gamma rays 200 Gy	42:34
Sinai White 48	Egypt, 1992	Gamma rays 200 Gy	42:34
Kalika (BM 3-7)	India, 1980	EMS	Dwarf plant type

UMA	India, 1990	Chemical mutagen, 10% Arsenic-Q	Uniform, early maturity, oil content 43:53
USHA	India, 1990	Chemical mutagen, 10% 10% Arsenic-Q	Yield, uniform maturity, disease resistance Earliness, oil content 43:53
Babil	Iraq, 1992	Gamma rays, 50 Gy	Capsule size, habit, oil content 43:52
Eshtar	Iraq, 1992	Gamma rays, 40 Gy	Earliness, oil content 43:52
Rafidin	Iraq, 1992	Gamma rays, 40 Gy	Disease resistance 43:53
Ahnsangae	Korea, 1984	Gamma rays	Protein content, lodging and disease resistance 42:34
Suwonkkae	Korea, 1992	Cross: Kyum (local) x <u>ME-93-4</u>	High oil quality, yield Oil quality and yield Determinate growth, seed retention 42:34
Suwon 155	Korea, 1998	Gamma rays, 200 Gy	Disease resistance 44: NaN3, 2mM; 3hrs Cross: <u>dt-45</u> x Hansumkkae (dt-45 mutant from Israel with determinate growth habit)
Yangbaekkae	Korea, 1995	NaN3	Disease resistance 44: Disease resistance, yield 43:52
Pungsankkae	Korea, 1996	Gamma rays, 200 Gy	
Seodunkkae	Korea, 1997		
ANK-S2	Sri Lanka, 1995		

\* Linola is a trade mark for a new form of linseed that has been bred to produce an oil suitable for human consumption developed by the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research organization (CSIRO), Australia and United grain growers of Canada. Yellow seeded Linola types are designated as Solin types in Canada. CJPSS = Canadian Journal of Plant Sciences

TABLE 2. Cultivars of oilseed crops developed with the help of induced mutations in different countries

Country	Released mutant cultivars
Algeria	1
Argentina	3
Australia	4
Bangladesh	5
Bulgaria	3
Canada	6
China	58
CSFR	1
Egypt	2
GDR	1
Hungary	1
India	22
Indonesia	3
Iraq	3
Japan	6
Korea	8
Myanmar	1
Pakistan	1
Sri Lanka	2
Sweden	5
Thailand	1
Turkey	2
UK	2
USA	1
USSR	16
Vietnam	5

TABLE 3. Mutagenic treatments used for the development of cultivars in oilseed crops

Species	Total No. of cultivars	As direct mutants induced by:				Using induced mutations in crossing program
		Gamma or X- rays	Neutrons	Radiations not specified	Chemical mutagens	
<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>	44	18		2	1	1 (laser) 22
<i>Brassica</i> spp.	3	2			1	1
<i>Brassica juncea</i>	8	4		1		2
<i>Brassica napus</i>	14	10		2		2
<i>Glycine max</i>	58	38	5		8	5
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	1			1		
<i>Linum usitatissimum</i>	15	2			3	10
<i>Ricinus communis</i>	4	1	1		1	1
<i>Sesamum indicum</i>	16	9		5		2

Total number of cultivars may be higher than the sum of the figures given under each due to incomplete information

TABLE 4. Successful gamma or x-ray doses used for the development of cultivars in different oilseed crops

Crop species	No. of cvs. developed using gamma or x-rays	Successful doses in Gy (No. of cultivars)	Successful dose range in Gy
<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>	18	50(1); 150(3); 200(5); 240 (1); 250(2); 400(1); 450(2)	150-250(11)
<i>Brassica</i> spp.	2	350(1)	
<i>Brassica juncea</i>	4	700(2); 750(1)	700 (2)
<i>Brassica napus</i>	10	350(1); 450(1); 600(1); 700(2), 800(1); 1400(2)	600-800(4)
<i>Glycine max</i>	38	80(1); 100(11); 120(2); 140(1); 200(4); 240(1); 250(1); 300(2)	100-200(18)
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	nil		
<i>Linum usitatissimum</i>	2	100(1)	
<i>Ricinus communis</i>			
<i>Sesamum indicum</i>	8	40(2); 50(1); 100(1); 200(3)	100-200(4)

Successful dose used is not known for some cultivars. Therefore the total number of cultivars in columns 2 and 3 are not the same

TABLE 5. Most frequent and other characters of the cultivars obtained in different oilseed crops using induced mutations

Crop species	Most frequent characters, after yield*	Other characters
<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>	Plant type, large pods and kernels, early flowering HI, and maturity	Higher shelling percentage, thick or thin pod cover, higher resistance/tolerance to diseases, oil content
<i>Brassica</i> spp.	Oil content, plant type	Early maturity, stalk stiffness
<i>Brassica juncea</i>	Early maturity, oil content	Seed coat colour, large seed size, blight resistance, relative tolerance to aphids, pod architecture, pod shattering
<i>Brassica napus</i>	Oil content, plant type, early flowering/ maturity	Siliqua characters, tolerance to cold, drought, salinity, low linolenic acid, low erucic acid, low glucosinolates
<i>Glycine max</i>	Plant type, early flowering / maturity	Seed size, seed coat colour, non-shattering pods, hyper-nodulation, nitrate tolerance, root system, disease resistance, cold and drought tolerance, oil and protein content
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Higher oil content, altered fatty acid composition	
<i>Linum usitatissimum</i>	Early maturity, resistance to stress conditions, higher oil percent	Resistance to lodging, oil quality
<i>Ricinus communis</i> <i>Sesamum indicum</i>	Early maturity, plant type Plant type, branching pattern, early flowering	Non shattering pods, oil content Capsule size and number, seed coat colour, disease resistance, lodging resistance, oil content

\* All new cultivars are approved on the basis of their higher yield.

TABLE 6. Fatty acid mutants in soybean

Parent cultivar; mutagen used; mutated gene	Main characteristics	Reference
<b>Palmitic acid (16:0)</b>		
<b>Parent A1937</b> NMU	Palmitic acid, 12.1%	
A1937NMU-85 ( <b>A21</b> ) <i>fap2-B</i>	Palmitic acid >180 g/kg	Fehr <i>et al.</i> [11] Schnebly <i>et al.</i> [64]
NMU173 ( <b>A22</b> ) <i>fap3</i>	Palmitic acid, 7.7%	Fehr <i>et al.</i> [12] Schnebly <i>et al.</i> [64] Hartman <i>et al.</i> [23]
<b>Parent Elgin</b> EMS	Palmitic acid, 110 g/kg	
ElginEMS421( <b>A24</b> ) <i>fap4</i>	Palmitic acid >180 g/kg	Fehr <i>et al.</i> [12] Schnebly <i>et al.</i> [64]
<b>A18</b> (A22 x C1726)	Palmitic acid <40 g/kg <sup>1</sup> , increase in oleate. Low palmitate content in roots, leaves and stem	Fehr <i>et al.</i> [12] Schnebly <i>et al.</i> [64]
<b>A19</b> (A21 x A24)	Palmitic acid >250 g/kg, decrease in oleate and linoleate, high palmitate content in vegetative parts	Schnebly <i>et al.</i> [64]
<b>A24</b> <i>fap4 fap4</i>	High palmitic acid, 17.9%	Schnebly <i>et al.</i> [64]
ELLP2 <i>fap</i>	Low palmitic acid, 6.9%	Stojsin <i>et al.</i> [66]
ELLP2 x C1762 <i>fap fap1</i>	Low palmitic acid, 4.4%	Stojsin <i>et al.</i> [66]
<b>Parent Bay</b> X-rays (210 - 250 Gy)	Palmitic acid, 11.4%	Takagi <i>et al.</i> [71]
J3 <i>sop1</i>	Reduced palmitic acid, 5.3%	Takagi <i>et al.</i> [71]
J10 <i>sop2</i>	Elevated palmitic acid, 16.0%	Takagi <i>et al.</i> [71]

<b>Parent Century</b>	Palmitic acid, 11.2%	
EMS		
C1726	Palmitic acid, 8.5%	Erickson <i>et al.</i> [10]
<i>fap1</i>		Wilcox and Cavins, [83]
		Schnebly <i>et al.</i> [64]
C1727	Increased palmitic acid, 17.7%	Wilcox and Cavins, [83]
<i>fap2</i>		Nickell <i>et al.</i> [36]
		Fehr <i>et al.</i> [12]
A22 x C1726	Low palmitic acid, 4.4%	Fehr <i>et al.</i> [11]
 <b>(AX5152-34)</b>		Horejsi <i>et al.</i> [25]
<i>fap1 fap3</i>		
A22 x C1726	Low palmitic, 4.4%	Fehr <i>et al.</i> [11]
 <b>(AX5152-105)</b>		Horejsi <i>et al.</i> [25]
<i>fap1 fap3</i>		
 <b>Parent FA26315</b>	Palmitic acid, 9.3%	
EMS		
PA1	Increased palmitic acid, 15%	Bubeck <i>et al.</i> [5]
 <b>Parent FA47437</b>	Palmitic acid, 10%	
EMS		
PA2	Increased palmitic acid, 13.5%	Bubeck <i>et al.</i> [5]
 <b>Parent FA47451</b>	Palmitic acid, 9.0%	
EMS		
PA3	Increased palmitic acid, 15.5%	Bubeck <i>et al.</i> [5]
 <b>Parent FA 27019</b>	Palmitic acid, 9.0%	
EMS		
PA4	Increased palmitic acid, 13.7%	Bubeck <i>et al.</i> [5]
(genes not determined)		
	<b>Stearic acid (18:0)</b>	
 <b>Parent FA8077</b>	Stearic acid, 4.4%	
Sodium azide		
A6	High stearic acid, 28.1%	Hammond and Fehr, [22]
<i>fas<sup>a</sup></i>		
 <b>Parent Coles</b>	Stearic acid. 4.3%	
EMS		
FA41545 (A10)	High stearic acid, 15.5%	Graef <i>et al.</i> [17]
<i>fas<sup>b</sup></i>		

<b>Parent FA9886</b>	Stearic acid, 3.2%	
EMS		
A81-606085 (A9)	High stearic acid, 18.7%	Graef <i>et al.</i> [17]
<i>fas</i>		
<b>Parent FA26625</b>	Stearic acid, 5.1%	
EMS		
ST1	High stearic, 28.7%	Bubeck <i>et al.</i> [5]
<b>Parent FA 47394</b>	Stearic acid 3.8%	
EMS		
ST2	High stearic acid, 27.7%	Bubeck <i>et al.</i> [5]
<b>Parent FA 47445</b>	Stearic acid 3.5%	
EMS		
ST3	High stearic acid, 23.5%	Bubeck <i>et al.</i> [5]
<b>Parent FA47437</b>	Stearic acid, 3.3%	
EMS		
ST4	High stearic acid, 22.9%	Bubeck <i>et al.</i> [5]
<b>Parent Bay</b>	Stearic acid, 3.3%	
X-rays (210-250 Gy)		
M25	High stearic acid, 17.3%	Rahman <i>et al.</i> [49]
<i>st<sub>2</sub></i>		Rahman <i>et al.</i> [47]
KK2	High stearic, 6.6%	Rahman <i>et al.</i> [47]
<i>st<sub>1</sub></i>		
<b>Oleic acid (18:1)</b>		
<b>Parent Bay, Ol</b>	Oleic acid, 22.5%	
X-rays (210-250 Gy)		
M11	High oleic acid >300g/kg	Rahman <i>et al.</i> [46]
<i>ol<sup>a</sup></i>	allele dominant over <i>ol</i> of M23	
	<i>Ol</i> allele of Bay completely dominant	
	over <i>ol<sup>a</sup></i>	
M23	High oleic acid, 46.1%	Rahman <i>et al.</i> [48]
<i>ol</i>	<i>Ol</i> allele of cv. Bay	Rahman <i>et al.</i> [46]
	partially dominant over <i>ol</i>	Takagi and Rahmam, [72]
<b>Linolenic acid (18:3)</b>		
<b>Parent Bay, Lin</b>	Linolenic acid, 8.3%	
X-rays (210 - 250 Gy)		
M5(M923)	Low linolenic acid, 4.8%,	Takagi <i>et al.</i> [70]
<i>fan(M5)</i>	yield similar to Bay	Rahman <i>et al.</i> [51]
		Rahman <i>et al.</i> [44]
		Rahman <i>et al.</i> [43]

IL-8 <i>fan(IL-8)</i>	Low linolenic acid, 4.50%	Rahman <i>et al.</i> [50]
M24 <i>fanxa (M24)</i>	Lower linolenic acid 62 g/kg, 80-90 g/kg of Bay	Rahman <i>et al.</i> [43] Wasala <i>et al.</i> [80]
M5 x M24 <i>fan(M5) fanxa</i>	Linolenic acid, 2.4%	Rahman <i>et al.</i> [43]
B739 <i>lin<sup>h</sup></i>	High linolenic acid, 18.4% compared to 9.4% in Bay	Rahman <i>et al.</i> [52] Takagi <i>et al.</i> [69]
KL8 <i>fanx(KL8) 1997</i>	Low linolenic acid (6.5%)	Rahman and Takagi [45] Rahman <i>et al.</i> [43]
M5 x KL8 <i>fan fanx(KL8)</i>	Low linolenic acid segregants from the cross 1997	Rahman and Takagi [45]
<b>Parent C1640</b> EMS	Linolenic acid, 4.3%	
RG10 mutation at <i>Fan</i> locus	Low linolenic acid, <25 g/kg shows additive gene action with <i>fan</i> allele from C1640	Stojsin <i>et al.</i> [67]
<b>Parent Century</b> EMS	Linolenic 8.0%	
C1640 <i>fan</i> (C1640)	Low linolenic, 3.8% Inherited as quantitative trait when crossed to PI479750 ( <i>FanFan</i> ); close to RFLP marker pB194-1 and PB124 on linkage group B2  Linkage group 17, <i>Fas-Fan-Idh2</i> $21.6 \pm 1.7$ units from <i>Fas</i> $26.2 \pm 1.2$ units from <i>ID2</i>	Wilcox and Cavins, [82] Erickson <i>et al.</i> [10] Wang <i>et al.</i> [79] Nickell <i>et al.</i> [36] Wilcox <i>et al.</i> [84] Brummer <i>et al.</i> [4]  Rennie and Tanner, [54, 55, 56]  Rennie <i>et al.</i> [57]
<b>Parent 9525</b> EMS	Linolenic acid 6.2%	
A5(M923)	Low linolenic acid, 4.1%	Hammond and Fehr, [21]
	Low in linoleic and linolenic, and high in oleic DNA encoding omega-3 fatty acid desaturase gene is missing	Hildbrand and Pfeiffer, [24] Byrum <i>et al.</i> [7]

## **Parent A5**

A6	Linolenic acid lower than A5	Fu, [16]
A16 (A5 x A23) <i>fan</i> (A5) <i>fan3</i> (A23)	Low linolenic acid <25 g/kg continuous distribution, quantitative character	Fehr <i>et al.</i> [13]
A17 (A5 x A23) <i>fan</i> (A5) <i>fan fan3</i> (A23)	Low linolenic acid <25 g/kg continuous distribution, quantitative character	Fehr <i>et al.</i> [13]
A23	Low linolenic acid 5.6%	Fehr <i>et al.</i> [13]
C1640	Low in linolenic, high linoleic	Wilcox and Cavins, [81]
LOLL	2.7% linolenic acid, yield reduction	Wasala <i>et al.</i> [80]
MS382	2.7% linolenic acid, yield reduction	Wasala <i>et al.</i> [80]
MOLL (K18 x M5)	3.7% linolenic acid	Wasala <i>et al.</i> [80]
GLLA ( <i>fan fan</i> )	Low linolenic acid	Renie <i>et al.</i> [57]
N83-375	5.5% LNA	Mounts <i>et al.</i> [33]
N89-2009	2.9% LNA	Mounts <i>et al.</i> [33]
N85-2176	1.9% LNA	Mounts <i>et al.</i> [33]

## **Registered germplasm with changes in fatty acid composition**

A5	Low linolenic acid, 4.1%, compared to 6.3% of the parent, EMS induced mutant	Hammond and Fehr, [21]
A6	High stearic acid 28.1%, and low oleic acid 19.8% compared to 4.4% and 42.8% of parent. Sodium azide induced mutant	Hammond and Fehr, [22]
C1726 (PI 532833) <i>fap1</i>	Palmitic acid, 8.5% EMS induced mutant of cv. Century	Wilcox and Cavins, [83]
C1727(PI 532834) <i>fap2</i>	Palmitic acid, 17.2% EMS induced mutant of cv. Century	Wilcox and Cavins, [83]
N79-2007-12 PI 568260	Reduced palmitic acid, 60 mg/g Derived from high oleic acid selection population	Burton <i>et al.</i> [6]
N87-2122-4	Reduced palmitic acid, 53 mg/g	Burton <i>et al.</i> [6]

PI568261	Derived from high oleic acid selection population	
BARC-12 PI578058	Low linoleic acid Selected from cross N85-2176 x N852124	Leffel, [29]
N94275 PI1602455	Palmitic acid, 40 g/kg	Burton <i>et al.</i> [6]
C1943 PI599811	Palmitic acid, 40 g/kg	Burton <i>et al.</i> [6]

---

TABLE 7. Fatty acid mutants in sunflower

Parent cultivar; mutagen used; mutant gene	Main characteristics	Reference
<b>Palmitic acid (16:0)</b>		
<b>Parent Zarya</b> Gamma rays	Palmitic acid 7.0% (check cv.)	
275HP	Palmitic acid 25.1%	Ivanov <i>et al.</i> [26]
<b>Parent RHA 274</b> NMU/EMS		
RHA 274 LP1 <i>fap1</i>	Lower palmitic acid 47 g/kg	Vick and Miller, [77] Miller and Vick, [32]
<b>Parent BDS 2-691, <i>P1 p2 p3</i></b> X-rays	Palmitic acid 5.4%	
CAS-5 <i>p1, p2, and p3</i>	High palmitic acid 25.2% two alleles at each locus with partial dominance of low palmitic. High palmitic types are homologous for <i>p1</i> and, at least, one of the other two	Osorio <i>et al.</i> [40] Perez-Vich <i>et al.</i> [41]
<b>Parent BSD-2-423</b> X-rays	Palmitic acid 3%, oleic acid 88%	
CAS-12	High palmitic acid 30% Reduced oleic acid 56% High palmitoleic acid 7%	Fernandez-Martinez <i>et al.</i> [14] Anon. [2]
<b>Stearic acid (18:0)</b>		
<b>Parent HA 821</b> NMU/EMS		
HA821 LS-1 <i>fas1</i>	Low stearic acid, 41g/kg	Miller and Vick, [31]
<b>Parent RHA 274</b> NMU/EMS	Stearic acid 3.8%	
M430	Stearic acid 2.0%	Vick and Miller, [77]
RHA 274 LS-2 <i>fasx fas2</i>	Low stearic acid 20g/kg	Miller and Vick, [31]

<b>Parent RDF 1-532</b>	Stearic acid 5.5%	
EMS/NaN <sub>3</sub>		
CAS-3	Stearic acid 26.0%	Osorio <i>et al.</i> [40]
CAS-4	Stearic acid 11.3%	Osorio <i>et al.</i> [40]
CAS-8	Stearic acid 9.9%	Osorio <i>et al.</i> [40]
	<b>Oleic acid (18:1)</b>	
<b>Parent V1M1K-8931</b>	Oleic acid 35.9%	
DMS		
Pervenets	Oleic acid 79.3%	Soldatov, [65]
<b>Parent HA 382</b>	Oleic acid 18.9%	
EMS/NMU		
M4229	Oleic acid 86.2%	Vick and Miller, [77]
<b>Registered germplasm</b>		
<b>RHA 274 (restorer)</b>		
NMU/EMS		
RHA 274(LP1)	Low palmitic	Miller and Vick, [32]
RHA 274 (LP2)	Low palmitic	Miller and Vick, [32]
<b>HA821(maintainer)</b>		
NMU/EMS		
HA 821 (LP1)	Low palmitic	Miller and Vick, [32]
<b>RHA 274 (restorer)</b>		
NMU/EMS		
RH274 (LS1)	Low stearic	Miller and Vick, [31]
RH274 (LS2)	Low stearic	Miller and Vick, [31]
<b>HA382 (maintainer)</b>		
NMU/EMS		
HA 382 (LS1)	Low stearic	Miller and Vick, [31]
HA 382 (LS2)	Low stearic	Miller and Vick, [31]
<b>HA 821 (maintainer)</b>		
NMU/EMS		
HA 821 (LS1)	Low stearic	Miller and Vick, [31]

TABLE 8. Fatty acid mutants in *Brassica* species

Parent cv. mutagen used mutant gene	Main characteristics	Reference
<b><i>Brassica napus</i></b>		
	<b>Palmitic acid (16:0)</b>	
<b>Parent Wotan</b> EMS	Palmitic acid 4.7%	
M4963	Palmitic acid 9.0%	Rucker and Röbbelen, [63]
<b>Oleic acid (18:1)</b>		
<b>Parent Cascade</b> EMS	Oleic acid 64.8% Linolenic acid 17.6% PUFA 27.4%	
X-82	Oleic acid >80.2% Linolenic acid 3-5% PUFA <6%	Auld <i>et al.</i> [3]
<b>Parent Wotan</b> EMS	Oleic acid 60.3% Linolenic acid 9.9%	
M457	Oleic acid 71.0% Linolenic acid 3.1%	Rucker and Röbbelen, [63]
M19782	Oleic acid 80.3% Linolenic acid 6.2%	Rucker and Röbbelen, [63]
<b>Linoleic acid (18:2)</b>		
<b>Parent Oro</b> EMS	Linoleic acid 21.5%	
M11	Linoleic acid 37.9%	Röbbelen and Nitsch, [62]
<b>Linolenic acid (18:3)</b>		
<b>Parent Oro</b> EMS/X-rays		
M57	Linolenic acid 5.2%	Röbbelen and Nitsch, [62]
M364	Linolenic acid 19.1%	Röbbelen and Nitsch, [62]
M6	Linolenic acid 3.5 %	Röbbelen and Nitsch, [62]
<b>Parent M57</b> EMS	Linolenic acid 5.6%	

M47	Linolenic acid 3.2%	Röbbelen and Nitsch, [62]
<i>Brassica rapa</i>	<b>Linoleic acid (18:1) and linolenic acid (18:3)</b>	
<b>Parent R-500</b> EMS	Linoleic acid 11.9% Linolenic acid 8.6%	
M30	Linoleic acid 2.1% Linolenic acid 3.0%	Auld <i>et al.</i> [3]
<i>Brassica juncea</i>	<b>Linolenic acid (18:3)</b>	
<b>Parent Zem-1</b> EMS/Gamma rays	Linolenic acid 15.0%	
446-3	Linolenic acid 9.0%	Oram and Kirk, [39]
<i>Brassica carinata</i>		
<b>Parent C-101</b> EMS	Oleic acid 9.4% Linoleic acid 18.3% Linolenic acid 12.9% Erucic acid 44.0%	
	<b>Oleic acid (18:1)</b>	
N2-3591	Oleic acid 23.3% Linoleic acid 8.3% Linolenic acid 6.6%	Velasco <i>et al.</i> [74]
N2-3667	Oleic acid 15.6% Linoleic acid 9.1%	Velasco <i>et al.</i> [74]
	<b>Linolenic acid (18:3)</b>	
N2-4961	Linolenic acid 6.3%	Velasco <i>et al.</i> [74]
	<b>Erucic acid (22:1)</b>	
N2-6230	Erucic acid 54.9%	Velasco <i>et al.</i> [75]
N2-7397	Low erucic acid 7.3%	Velasco <i>et al.</i> [73]

TABLE 9. Fatty acid mutants in linseed

Parent cultivar; mutagen used; mutant gene	Main characteristics	Reference
<b>Linolenic acid(18:3)</b>		
<b>Parent Glenelg</b> EMS $Ln1^l Ln1^l Ln2^l Ln2^l$	Linolenic acid 34.1%	Green, [18]
M1589 $Ln1^0 Ln1^0 Ln2^l Ln2^l$	Linolenic acid 37.1%	Green and Marshall, [20] Green, [18]
M1722 $Ln1^l Ln1^l Ln2^0 Ln2^0$	Linolenic acid 21.4%	Green and Marshall, [20] Green, [18]
Double zero (M1589 x M1722) $Ln1^0 Ln1^0 Ln2^0 Ln2^0$	Linoleic acid 2.6%	Green, [18] Dribnenki and Green, [8]
<b>Parent Raulinus</b> EMS	Linolenic acid 55.4%	
Line 1	Linolenic acid 38.9%	Nichterlein <i>et al.</i> [34]
<b>Parent McGregor</b> EMS	Palmitic acid 6.8% Oleic acid 17.9% Linoleic acid 15.8% Linolenic acid 54.5%	
E67	Palmitic 28.4% Linolenic acid 54%	Rowland and Bhatty [59]
E1929	Oleic acid 34.7% Linoleic acid 30.1% Linolenic acid 25.2%	Rowland and Bhatty [59] Ntiamoah and Rowland, [37]
E1747 Two independent recessive genes	Linoleic acid 70.3% Linolenic acid 2.0%	Rowland, [58] Ntiamoah <i>et al.</i> [38]

Yellow seeded, low linolenic acid cultivars yielding edible oils are referred as Solin culivar in Canada [15]. The edible and non-edible cultivars with brown seeds can be easily separated during handling.

TABLE 10. Fatty acid mutants in minor oil crops

Crop; parent cultivar; mutagen used; mutant gene	Main characteristics	Reference
<b><i>Cuphea viscosissima</i></b>		
Inbred line Balough SN 912		
EMS	Caproic acid (6:0) 0.8% Caprylic acid (8:0) 20.7% Capric acid (10:0) 68.6% Lauric acid (12:0) 2.2% Myristic acid (14:0) 0.8%	Knapp and Tagliani, [27]
<i>mcm-1</i>	Eliminates: 6:0 0.0% 8:0 0.5% 10:0 6.7%	Knapp and Tagliani, [27]
<i>cpy-1</i>	Eliminates: 6:0 0.0% 8:0 3.9% Increases: 12:0 14.3% 14:0 7.4%	Knapp and Tagliani, [27]
VS-6 (PI 534911)	8:0 175 g/kg	Tagliani <i>et al.</i> [68]
EMS	10:0 711 g/kg 12:0 22 g/kg 14:0 9 g/kg Palmitic acid (16:0) 18 g/kg	
VS-6-CPR-1 (PI 574621*)	Oil percentage 1 - 2% less than VS-6 8:0 245 g/kg 10:0 383 g/kg 12:0 63 g/kg 14:0 142 g/kg 16:0 82 g/kg	Tagliani <i>et al.</i> [68]
VS-6-CPR-2	6:0 16 g/kg 8:0 294 g/kg 10:0 398 g/kg 12:0 63 g/kg 14:0 113 g/kg	Knapp <i>et al.</i> [28]
VS-6-CPR-4 (PI 574622*)	Oil percentage 14% less than VS-6 8:0 224 g/kg 10:0 659 g/kg 12:0 28 g/kg	Tagliani <i>et al.</i> [68]

VS-6-CPR-5	6:0 21 g/kg 8:0 295 g/kg 10:0 389 g/kg 12:0 63 g/kg 14:0 110 g/kg	Knapp <i>et al.</i> [28]
VS-6-CLM-1	6:0 11 g/kg 8:0 251 g/kg 10:0 652 g/kg 12:0 16 g/kg	Knapp <i>et al.</i> [28]
VS-6-CPY-1 (PI 574623*)	Oil percentage 1 - 2% less than VS-6 8:0 39 g/kg 10:0 709 g/kg 12:0 143 g/kg 14:0 74 g/kg	Tagliani <i>et al.</i> [68]
VS-6-MYR-1 (PI 574624*)	Oil percentage 1 - 2% less than VS-6 8:0 205 g/kg 10:0 704 g/kg 12:0 23 g/kg 14:0 3 g/kg	Tagliani <i>et al.</i> [68]
CPR-1 x CLM-1 F <sub>3</sub> line VS 320	8:0 334 g/kg 10:0 396 g/kg	Knapp <i>et al.</i> [28]
CPR-4 x CPR-5 F <sub>3</sub> line VS 321	8:0 247 g/kg 10:0 361 g/kg	Knapp <i>et al.</i> [28]

***Camelina sativa***

CA13X2S-44

Gamma rays

M<sub>3</sub> lines

Significantly high linolenic acid up to 40.8% in M<sub>3</sub> lines compared to 34-36% in control, and erucic acid less than 2% compared to less than 4% in control

---

\* These are registered germplasm lines.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anonymous. 1994. Designer Oil Crops Breeding, Processing and Biotechnology. VHC, Weinheim.
- [2] Anonymous. 1997. List of new mutant cultivars; *Glycine max* L. (soybean). MBNL. **43**: 44-45
- [3] Auld, D. L., M. K. Heikkinen, D. A. Erickson, J. L. Sernyk and J. E. Romero, 1992. Rapeseed mutants with reduced levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids and increased levels of oleic acid. Crop Sci. **32**: 657-662
- [4] Brummer, J. C., A. D. Nickell, J. R. Wilcox and R. C. Shoemaker, 1995. Mapping of Fan locus controlling linolenic acid content in soybean oil. J.Hered. **86**: 245-247
- [5] Bubeck, D. M., W. R. Fehr and E. G. Hammond, 1989. Inheritance of palmitic and stearic acid mutants of soybean. Crop Sci. **29**: 652-656
- [6] Burton, J. W., R. F. Wilson and C. A. Brim, 1994. Registration of N79-2077-12 and N87-2122-4, two soybean germplasm lines with reduced palmitic acid in seed oil. Crop Sci. **34**: 313
- [7] Byrum, J. R., A. J. Kinney, K. L Stecca, D. J. Grace and B. W. Diers, 1997. Alteration of omega-3 fatty acid desaturase gene is associated with reduced linolenic acid in A5 of soybean genotype. Theor.Appl.Genet. **94**: 356-359
- [8] Dribbenki, J. C. P. and A. G. Green, 1995. Linola<sup>TM</sup> '947' low linolenic flax. Can.J.Plant Sci. **75**: 201-202
- [9] Dribbenki, J. C. P., A. G. Green and G. N. Atlin, 1996. Linola<sup>TM</sup> 989 low linolenic flax. Can.J.Plant Sci. **76**: 329-331
- [10] Erickson, E. A., J. R. Wilcox and J. F. Cavins, 1988. Inheritance of altered palmitic acid percentage in two soybean mutants. J.Hered. **79**: 465-468
- [11] Fehr, W. R., G. A. Welke, E. G. Hammond, D. N. Duvick and S. R. Cianzio, 1991. Inheritance of elevated palmitic acid content in soybean seed oil. Crop Sci. **31**: 1522-1524
- [12] Fehr, W. R., G. A. Welke, E. G. Hammond, D. N. Duvick and S. R. Cianzio, 1991. Inheritance of reduced palmitic acid in seed oil of soybean. Crop Sci. **31**: 88-89
- [13] Fehr, W. R., G. A. Welke, E. G. Hammond, D. N. Duvick and S. R. Cianzio, 1992. Inheritance of reduced linolenic acid content in soybean genotypes A16 and A17. Crop Sci. **32**: 903-906
- [14] Fernandez-Martinez, J. M., M. Mancha, J. Osorio and R. Garces, 1997. Sunflower mutant containing high levels of palmitic acid in high oleic background. Euphytica. **97**: 113-116
- [15] Frith, D., 1994. Meet Solin - Canada's alternative flax. Flax Focus. **7**: 1
- [16] Fu, Y. Y., 1998. Fatty acids in biosynthetic point MGDG of 19:3 of low linoleate mutants A5 of soybean. Soybean Sci. **17**: 212-218
- [17] Graef, G. L., W. R. Fehr and E. G. Hammond, 1985. Inheritance of three stearic acid mutants of soybean. Crop Sci. **25**: 1076-1079
- [18] Green, A. G., 1986. Genetic control of polyunsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis in flax (*Linum usitatissimum*) seed oil. Theor.Appl.Genet. **72**: 654-661
- [19] Green, A. G. and J. C. P. Dribbenki, 1994. Linola - a new premium polyunsaturated oil. Lipid Technology. March/April: 29-33
- [20] Green, A. G. and D. R. Marshall, 1984. Isolation of induced mutants in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) having reduced linolenic acid content. Euphytica. **33**: 321-328
- [21] Hammond, E. G. and W. R. Fehr, 1983. Registration of A5 germplasm line of soybean (Reg. No. GP44). Crop Sci. **23**: 192
- [22] Hammond, E. G. and W. R. Fehr, 1983. Registration of A6 germplasm line of soybean (Reg. No. GP45). Crop Sci. **23**: 192-193
- [23] Hartman, R. B., W. R. Fehr, G. A. Welke, E. G. Hammond, D. N. Duvick and S. R. Cianzio, 1997. Association of elevated palmitate content with agronomic and seed traits of soybean. Crop Sci. **36**: 1466-1470
- [24] Hildebrand, D. F. and T. W. Pfeiffer, 1991. Results from crosses of low linoleate soybean mutants. Soybean Genet.News. **18**: 310-311

- [25] Horejsi, T. F., W. R. Fehr, G. A. Welke, E. G. Hammond, D. N. Duvick and S. R. Ciancio, 1994. Genetic control of reduced palmitic acid in soybean. *Crop Sci.* **34**: 331-334
- [26] Ivanov, I. A., D. Petakov, V. Nikolova and E. Pentchev, 1988. Sunflower breeding for high palmitic acid content in the oil. In: 12th Intern. Sunflower Conf. International Sunflower Association, Novi Sad. pp.463-465
- [27] Knapp, S. J. and L. A. Tagliani, 1991. Two medium chain fatty acid mutants of *Cuphea viscosissima*. *Plant Breed.* **106**: 338-341
- [28] Knapp, S. J., J. M. Crane, L. A. Tagliani and M. B. Slabaugh, 1997. *Cuphea viscosissima* mutants with decreased capric acid. *Crop Sci.* **37**: 352-357
- [29] Leffel, R. C., 1994. Registration of BARC-12, a low linolenic acid soybean germplasm line. *Crop Sci.* **34**: 1426-1427
- [30] Lühs, W. and W. Friedt, 1994. Non-food uses of vegetable oils and fatty acids. In: Designer Oil Crops. VCH, Weinheim. pp.73-119
- [31] Miller, J. F. and B. A. Vick, 1999. Inheritance of reduced stearic and palmitic acid content in sunflower seed oil. *Crop Sci.* **39**: 346-367
- [32] Miller, J. F. and B. A. Vick, 1999. Registration of three low palmitic acid and five low stearic acid sunflower genetic stocks. *Crop Sci.* **39**: 305-306
- [33] Mounts, T. L., K Warner, G. R List, W. E. Neff and R. F. Wilson, 1994. Low-linolenic soybean oils - alternatives to frying oils. *J.Amer.Oil Chem.Soc.* **71**: 495-499
- [34] Nichterlein, K., R. Marquard and W. Friedt, 1988. Breeding for modified fatty acid composition by induced mutations in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Plant Breed.* **101**: 190-199
- [35] Nichterlein, K., L. van Zanten, M. Maluszynski, B. Ahloowalia and E. Weck, 1996. FAO/IAEA programmes on improvement of oil crops by induced mutations and related biotechnologies. In: EUCARPIA Section Oil and Protein Crops. Symposium on Breeding of Oil and Protein Crops. Institute of Oilseed Crops UAAS, Zaporozhye. pp.159-164
- [36] Nickell, A. D., J. R. Wilcox and J. F. Cavins, 1991. Genetic relationships between loci controlling palmitic and linolenic acid in soybean. *Crop Sci.* **31**: 1169-1171
- [37] Ntiamoah, C. and G. G. Rowland, 1997. Inheritance and characterization of two linolenic acid EMS induced McGregor mutant flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Can.J.Plant Sci.* **77**: 353-358
- [38] Ntiamoah, C., G. G. Rowland and D. C. Taylor, 1995. Inheritance of elevated palmitic acid in flax and its relationship to the low linolenic acid. *Crop Sci.* **35**: 148-152
- [39] Oram, R. N. and J. T. O. Kirk, 1993. Induction of mutations for higher seed quality in Indian mustard. In: Proc. 10<sup>th</sup> Australian Plant Breeding Conf. Imrie, B.C. and J.B. Hacker (Eds.)Gold Cosat. pp.187-191
- [40] Osorio, J., J. Fernandez-Martinez, M. Mancha and R. Garces, 1995. Mutant sunflowers with high concentration of saturated fatty acids in the oil. *Crop Sci.* **35**: 739-742
- [41] Perez-Vich, B., J. Fernandez, R. Graces and J. M. Fernandez-Martinez, 1999. Inheritance of high palmitic acid content in the seed oil of sunflower mutant CAS-5. *Theor.Appl.Genet.* **98**: 496-501
- [42] Quick, G. R., 1989. Oilseeds as energy crops. In: Oil Crops of the World - Their Breeding and Utilization. Röbbelen, G., R.K. Downey and A. Ashri (Eds.) McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., New York. pp.118-131
- [43] Rahman, S. M., T. Kinoshita, T. Annai, S. Arima and Y. Takagi, 1998. Genetic relationships of soybean mutants for different linolenic acid contents. *Crop Sci.* **38**: 702-706
- [44] Rahman, S. M., T. Kinoshita, T. Annai and Y. Takagi, 1997. Genetic relationships of soybean mutants for different linolenic acid contents. *Crop Sci.* **38**: 702-706
- [45] Rahman, S. M. and Y. Takagi, 1997. Inheritance of reduced linolenic acid content in soybean seed oil. *Theor.Appl.Genet.* **94**: 299-302
- [46] Rahman, S. M., Y. Takagi and T. Kinoshita, 1996. Genetic control of high oleic acid content in the seed oil of two soybean mutants. *Crop Sci.* **36**: 1125-1128
- [47] Rahman, S. M., Y. Takagi and T. Kinoshita, 1997. Genetic control of high stearic acid content in seed oil of two soybean mutants. *Theor.Appl.Genet.* **95**: 772-776

- [48] Rahman, S. M., Y. Takagi, K. Kubota, K. Miyamoto and T Kawakita, 1994. High oleic acid mutant in soybean induced by x-irradiation. *Biosci.Biotech.Biochem.* **58**: 1070-1072
- [49] Rahman, S. M., Y. Takagi, K. Kubota, K. Miyamoto and T Kawakita, 1996. High stearic soybean mutant induced by x-irradiation. *Biosci.Biotech.Biochem.* **59**: 922-923
- [50] Rahman, S. M., Y. Takagi and T. Kumamaru, 1996. Low linoleate sources at the *Fan* locus in soybean lines M-5 and IL-8. *Breed.Sci.* **46**: 155-158
- [51] Rahman, S. M., Y. Takagi, K. Miyamoto and T Kawakita, 1994. Inheritance of low linolenic acid content in soybean mutant line M-5. *Breed.Sci.* **44**: 379-382
- [52] Rahman, S. M., Y. Takagi and S. Towata, 1994. Inheritance of high linolenic acid content in soybean mutant line B739. *Breed.Sci.* **44**: 267-270
- [53] Rakow, G., 1973. Selektion auf Linol- und Linolensäuregehalt in Rapssamen nach mutagener Behandlung. *Z.Pflanzenzüchtg.* **69**: 62-82
- [54] Rennie, B. D. and J. W. Tanner, 1989. Mapping of a second fatty acid locus to soybean linkage group 17. *Crop Sci.* **29**: 1081-1083
- [55] Rennie, B. D. and J. W. Tanner, 1991. New allele at the *Fan* locus in soybean line A5. *Crop Sci.* **31**: 297-301
- [56] Rennie, B. D. and J. W. Tanner, 1998. Genetic analysis of low linolenic acid levels in line PI 123440. *Soybean Genet.NewsL.* **16**: 25-26
- [57] Rennie, B. D., J. Zilka, M. M. Crammer and R. I. Buzzell, 1988. Genetic analysis of low linolenic acid levels in soybean line PI 361088B. *Crop Sci.* **28**: 655-657
- [58] Rowland, G. G., 1991. An EMS-induced low-linolenic-acid mutant in McGregor flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Can.J.Plant Sci.* **71**: 393-396
- [59] Rowland, G. G. and R. S. Bhatty, 1990. Ethyl methanesulphonate induced fatty acid mutations in flax. *J.Amer.Oil Chem.Soc.* **67**: 213-214
- [60] Röbbelen, G., 1990. Mutation breeding for quality improvement - a case study for oilseed crops. *Mut.Breed.Rev.* **6**: 1-44
- [61] Röbbelen, G., R. K. Downey and A. Ashri. (1989) Oil Crops of the World - Their Breeding and Utilization. McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., New York
- [62] Röbbelen, G. and A. Nitsch, 1975. Genetical and physiological investigations on mutants for polyenoic fatty acids in rapeseed, *Brassica napus* L. I. Selection and description of new mutants. *Z.Pflanzenzüchtg.* **75**: 93-105
- [63] Rucker, B. and G. Röbbelen, 1997. Mutants of *Brassica napus* with altered seed lipid fatty acid composition. In: Proc. 12<sup>th</sup> Int. Symp. Plant Lipids. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht. pp.316-318
- [64] Schnebly, S. R., W. R. Fehr, G. A. Welke, E. G. Hammond and D. N. Duvick, 1994. Inheritance of reduced and elevated palmitate in mutant lines of soybean. *Crop Sci.* **34**: 829-833
- [65] Soldatov, K. I., 1976. Chemical mutagenesis in sunflower breeding. In: Proceedings of the VIIth International Sunflower Conference. Vol. 1. Krasnodar. pp.352-357
- [66] Stojsin, D., G. R. Ablett, B. M Luzzi and J. W. Tanner, 1998. Use of gene substitution values to quantify partial dominance in low palmitic acid soybean. *Crop Sci.* **38**: 1437-1441
- [67] Stojsin, D., B. M Luzzi, G. R. Ablett and J. W. Tanner, 1998. Inheritance of low linolenic acid level in the soybean line RG10. *Crop Sci.* **38**: 1441-1444
- [68] Tagliani, L. A., J. Crane and S. J. Knapp, 1995. Registration of four fatty acid mutant lines (VS-6-CPR-1, VS-6-CPR-4, VS-6-CPY-1, and VS-6-MYR-1) of *Cuphea viscosissima* Jacq. *Crop Sci.* **35**: 1517
- [69] Takagi, Y., A. B. M. Hossain, T. Yanigata and S. Kusaba, 1989. High linolenic acid mutant in soybean induced by X-ray irradiation. *Japan.J.Breed.* **39**: 403-409
- [70] Takagi, Y., A. B. M. Hossain, T. Yanigata, T. Matsuenda and A. Murayama, 1990. Linolenic acid content in soybean improved by X-ray irradiation. *Agri.Biol.Chem.* **54**: 1735-1738
- [71] Takagi, Y., S. M. Rahaman, H. Joo and T Kawakita, 1995. Reduced and elevated palmitic acid mutants in soybean developed by X-ray irradiation. *Biosci.Biotech.Biochem.* **59**: 1788-1797

- [72] Takagi, Y. and S. M. Rahman, 1996. Inheritance of high oleic acid content in the seed oil of soybean mutant M23. *Theor.Appl.Genet.* **92**: 179-182
- [73] Velasco, L., J. Fernandez-Martinez and A. De Haro, 1995. Isolation of induced mutants in Ethiopian mustard (*Brassica carinata* Braun) with low levels of erucic acid. *Plant Breed.* **114**: 454-456
- [74] Velasco, L., J. M. Fernandez-Martinez and A. De Haro, 1997. Induced variability for C18 unsaturated fatty acids in Ethiopian mustard. *Can.J.Plant Sci.* **77**: 91-95
- [75] Velasco, L., J. M. Fernandez-Martinez and A. De Haro, 1998. Increasing erucic acid content in Ethiopian mustard through mutation breeding. *Plant Breed.* **117**: 85-87
- [76] Velasco, L., B. Perz-Vich and J. M. Fernandez-Martinez, 1999. The role of mutagenesis in the modification of the fatty acid profile of oilseed crops. *J.Appl.Genet.* **40**(3): 185-209
- [77] Vick, B. A. and J. F. Miller, 1996. Utilization of mutagens for fatty acid alteration in sunflower. In: Proc. 18<sup>th</sup> Sunflower Research Workshop. National Sunflower Association, Fargo. pp.11-17
- [78] Vollmann, J., A. Damboeck, S. Baumgartner and P. Ruckenbauer, 1997. Selection of induced mutants with improved linolenic acid content in camelina. *Fett/Lipid.* **99**(10): 357-361
- [79] Wang, X. M., H. A. Norman, J. B. St.John, T. Yin and D. F. Hildebrand, 1989. Comparison of fatty acid composition in tissues of low linoleate mutants of soybean. *Phytochem.* **28**: 411-414
- [80] Wasala, S. K., T. Kinoshita, S. Arima and Y. Takagi, 1997. Agronomic performances of low linolenic acid soybean mutant lines developed from cultivar Bay. *Bull.Fac.Agric.Saga.Univ.* **82**: 29-36
- [81] Wilcox, J. R. and J. F. Cavins, 1985. Inheritance of low linolenic acid content of the seed oil of a mutant in *Glycine max*. *Theor.Appl.Genet.* **7**: 74-78
- [82] Wilcox, J. R. and J. F. Cavins, 1987. Gene symbol assigned for linolenic acid mutant in the soybean. *J.Hered.* **78**: 410
- [83] Wilcox, J. R. and J. F. Cavins, 1990. Registration of C1726 and C1727 soybean germplasm with altered levels of palmitic acid. *Crop Sci.* **30**: 240
- [84] Wilcox, J. R., A. D. Nickell and J. F. Cavins, 1993. Relationship between *fan* allele and agronomic traits in soybean. *Crop Sci.* **33**: 87-89



Mutation Breeding Review  
Joint FAO/IAEA Division of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture  
and FAO/IAEA Agriculture and Biotechnology Laboratory, Seibersdorf  
International Atomic Energy Agency  
Wagramer Strasse 5, P. O. Box 100  
A-1400 Vienna, Austria

Printed by the IAEA in Vienna  
December 1999

00-00406