International Conference on Managing Soils for Food Security and Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation, 23-27 July 2012, Vienna, Austria

Effect of elevated carbon dioxide on nitrogen dynamics in grain crop and legume pasture systems – FACE experiments and a meta-analysis

Deli Chen and Raymond Lam Melbourne School of Land and Environment The University of Melbourne









Global climate change





eCO₂ effects on photosynthetic and growth parameters



- Elevated [CO₂] increased photosynthetic carbon assimilation by 23–46%
- Increased dry mass production of various functional group (20–28%), but not for C₄ species
- Change in water use and C input (to soil)
- Consequent impact in soil N dynamics



(Ainsworth & Long 2005)



Possible eCO₂ effects on soil N dynamics



Adopted from National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service



FACE facility to study the eCO₂ agroecosystems







Experimental layout



16 experimental areas

- 12 m diameter in 2008;16 m in 2009
- $\begin{array}{l} & 8 \mbox{ elevated } [{\rm CO}_2] \ (550 \ \mu \mbox{mol mol}^{-1}); \\ & 8 \mbox{ ambient } [{\rm CO}_2] \ (390 \ \mu \mbox{mol mol}^{-1}) \end{array}$
- 8 normal sowing (NS);8 late sowing (LS)





- PVC microplot (diameter 0.24 m; height 0.25 m) inserted to 0.20 m depth
- ¹⁵N-enriched (10.22 atom%) granular urea applied at 50 kg N ha⁻¹
- ¹⁵N atom% analysis by IRMS





• Elevated [CO₂] increased total biomass and N uptake in a normal growing season.



• The removal of N in the grain under elevated $[CO_2]$ (75 -118 kg N ha⁻¹) > ambient $[CO_2]$ (63 -101 kg N ha⁻¹). Lam et al, 2012, Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 92:133–144



• Elevated [CO₂] generally had no significant effect on fertilizer N recovery in plant or in soil.

| | Fertilizer N recovery (%) | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--|
| - | plant | soil | plant | soil | plant | soil | |
| | 2008NS | | 2008LS | | 2009NS | | |
| Rainfed | | | | | | | |
| Ambient [CO ₂] | 45.9 | 27.8 | 4.0 | 82.0 | 38.5 | 30.5 | |
| Elevated [CO ₂] | 47.2 | 25.8 | 4.1 | 77.9 | 42.3 | 26.5 | |
| Irrigated | | | | | | | |
| Ambient [CO ₂] | | | 24.5 | 60.5 | 47.5 | 22.5 | |
| Elevated [CO ₂] | | | 31.9 | 53.9 | 44.2 | 25.9 | |
| _ | Analysis of variance (ANOVA) | | | | | | |
| [CO ₂] | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | |
| Irrigation regime | | | *** | *** | 0.06 | * | |
| $[CO_2] \times Irrigation regime$ | | | ns | ns | ns | * | |

Lam et al, 2012, Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst , 92:133–144



- Static chambers (diameter 0.24 m; height 0.25 m)
- N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ were analysed by gas chromatography





- Elevated $[CO_2]$ increased the emissions of N₂O (92-134%) and CO₂ (16-46%), but had no significant effect on CH₄ flux.
- Supplementary irrigation appeared to reduce N₂O emissions (36%), suggesting the reduction of N₂O to N₂ in denitrification process (WFPS > 70%).

| | N_2O (µg N m ⁻² h ⁻¹) | CO ₂ (mg C m ⁻² h ⁻¹) | CH ₄ (µg C m ⁻² h ⁻¹) |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|
| Ambient [CO ₂] | | | |
| Rainfed | 27.7 (± 8.6) | 259.7 (± 25.7) | -0.56 (± 0.97) |
| Supplementary irrigated | 15.6 (± 3.8) | 327.6 (± 37.3) | 0.29 (± 0.71) |
| Elevated [CO ₂] | | | |
| Rainfed | 53.3 (± 14.6) | 379.7 (± 46.7) | 7.06 (± 5.99) |
| Supplementary irrigated | 36.5 (± 9.8) | 378.7 (± 40.6) | -0.24 (± 1.37) |

Lam et al, 2012, The Journal of Agricultural Science



Site location





Experimental layout – soybean N₂ fixation



12 experimental areas

- 4 m diameter
- 6 elevated [CO₂] (550 μmol mol⁻¹);
 6 ambient [CO₂] (415 μmol mol⁻¹)
- 6 plots for Zhonghuang 13 (ZH 13)6 for Zhonghuang 35 (ZH 35)





Biomass of soybean





Effect of eCO₂ on biological N₂ fixation

 Elevated [CO₂] increased %Ndfa for Zhonghuang 13 from 59 to 79%, but not Zhonghuang 35.

 Elevated [CO₂] increased the amount of N fixed by Zhonghuang 13 from 165 to 275 kg N ha⁻¹, but not for Zhonghuang 35.

Lam, et al, 2012. Effect of elevated carbon dioxide on growth and nitrogen fixation of two soybean cultivars in northern China. Biology & Fertility of Soils,48:603–606.





Meta-analysis of "N dynamics in grain crop and legume pasture systems under elevated CO₂" 366 observations from 127 studies

Lam et al, 2012, Globe Change Biology, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02758.x



- Response metric: natural log of the response ratio (r = response at elevated [CO₂]/response at ambient [CO₂])
- Percentage change due to elevated $[CO_2]$: $(r-1) \times 100$
- Weighting function (by replication)
- Significant [CO₂] effects if the confidence intervals did not overlap with zero.
- Categorical variables: plant functional group (C₃ non-legume, legume or C₄) and legume type (grain legume or pasture legume)
- N input: low (<150kgN/ha) and high (>150kgN/ha)
- MetaWin 2.1

eCO₂ effects on grain parameters

THE UNIVERSITY OF

MELBOURNE













 eCO_2 effects on fertilizer N recovery and N₂O emission





[CO₂]-induced changes in N budget in various cropping systems

| | [CO ₂]-induced changes in | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|--|
| | grain N removal (I) | | N ₂ O emission (II) | | amount of N fixed (III) | | net effect | |
| | mean | 95% CI | mean | 95% CI | mean | 95% CI | (– –) | |
| | | | kg | N ha ⁻¹ season ⁻¹ – | | | | |
| | 40.4 | | 0.00 | | 0 | N 1 A | 10.0 | |
| C ₃ non-legume | 12.4 | 4.6 to 20.4 | 0.22 | -0.06 to 0.50 | 0 | NA | -12.6 | |
| grain legume | 59.6 | 35.8 to 86.7 | 0.60 | 0.13 to 1.06 | 25.0 | 5.3 to 53.0 | -35.2 | |
| pasture legume | 0 | NA | -0.04 | -0.12 to 0.05 | 53.0 | 28.3 to 81.1 | 53.0 | |
| C ₄ | 11.8 | 1.5 to 22.1 | 0.16 | -0.04 to 0.36 | 0 | NA | -12.0 | |

The estimation was made based on the assumption that elevated $[CO_2]$ does not affect ammonia volatilization, N leaching plus runoff, removal by grazing and N deposition. Although predicted shifts in human diets and increasing per-capita consumption from 2000 to 2050 are associated with increased atmospheric N deposition onto global agricultural land (14 Tg yr⁻¹), the increase will be counterbalanced by the corresponding increases in ammonia volatilization (12 Tg yr⁻¹) and N leaching plus runoff (3 Tg yr⁻¹) (Bouwman *et al.* 2011)



- Elevated [CO₂] reduced grain N concentration, but increased N removal in grain cropping systems.
- Extra N will be required to maintain soil N availability and sustain crop yield.
- The extra N could come from increased rates of fertilizer N application, or greater use of legume intercropping and legume cover crops.
- Increase in agricultural greenhouse gas emissions may negate part of the predicted increase in the entire terrestrial C sink.

Thank you.

